

Ñopo, Hugo

Working Paper

An extension of the Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition to a continuum of comparison groups

IZA Discussion Papers, No. 2921

Provided in Cooperation with:

IZA – Institute of Labor Economics

Suggested Citation: Ñopo, Hugo (2007) : An extension of the Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition to a continuum of comparison groups, IZA Discussion Papers, No. 2921, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Bonn

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/34298>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

IZA DP No. 2921

An Extension of the Blinder-Oaxaca Decomposition to a Continuum of Comparison Groups

Hugo Ñopo

July 2007

An Extension of the Blinder-Oaxaca Decomposition to a Continuum of Comparison Groups

Hugo Ñopo

*Inter-American Development Bank
and IZA*

Discussion Paper No. 2921
July 2007

IZA

P.O. Box 7240
53072 Bonn
Germany

Phone: +49-228-3894-0

Fax: +49-228-3894-180

E-mail: iza@iza.org

Any opinions expressed here are those of the author(s) and not those of the institute. Research disseminated by IZA may include views on policy, but the institute itself takes no institutional policy positions.

The Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) in Bonn is a local and virtual international research center and a place of communication between science, politics and business. IZA is an independent nonprofit company supported by Deutsche Post World Net. The center is associated with the University of Bonn and offers a stimulating research environment through its research networks, research support, and visitors and doctoral programs. IZA engages in (i) original and internationally competitive research in all fields of labor economics, (ii) development of policy concepts, and (iii) dissemination of research results and concepts to the interested public.

IZA Discussion Papers often represent preliminary work and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character. A revised version may be available directly from the author.

ABSTRACT

An Extension of the Blinder-Oaxaca Decomposition to a Continuum of Comparison Groups*

This paper proposes an extension of the Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition from two to a continuum of comparison groups. The proposed decomposition is then estimated for the case of racial wage differences in urban Peru, exploiting a novel data set that allows the capturing of mestizaje (racial mixtures).

JEL Classification: C1, J1, J7, O17

Keywords: Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition, race, gender, informality

Corresponding author:

Hugo Ñopo
Research Department
Inter-American Development Bank
1300 New York Avenue N.W.
Washington, DC 20577
USA
E-mail: hugon@iadb.org

* The findings in this paper do not necessarily represent the views of the Inter-American Development Bank or its Board of Directors. The advice of Chris Taber is especially acknowledged. This paper was motivated by discussions with Jaime Saavedra and Máximo Torero while the author was at the Grupo de Análisis para el Desarrollo (GRADE).

1 Introduction

The Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition has been a valuable tool for the analysis of wage gaps since its conception in the early 1970s (Blinder, 1973 and Oaxaca, 1973). It decomposes the differences in earnings between two groups into two additive elements: one attributed to the existence of differences in observable characteristics between the two groups and the other attributed to differences in the rewards to those characteristics. It has been extensively used during the last three decades and it has been extended in different directions to incorporate quantile analysis (Albrecht et al., 2003), dichotomous outcomes (Fairlie, 2005), censored outcomes (Bauer and Sinning, 2005), count data (Bauer et al., 2006) and non-parametric setups (Ñopo, 2007).

So far, however, the decomposition has been used to compare only pairs of groups: females and males, whites and Afro-descendants, rural and urban residents, formal and informal workers, etc. This paper extends the decomposition to a continuum of comparing groups. The applications that can be foreseen for an extension like this are numerous, as a growing literature is challenging dualistic or binary approaches to informality (Maloney, 1999, 2004), racial/ethnic divides (Ñopo et al., 2007), and rural and urban differences (World Bank, 2005), three of the areas in which the traditional Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition has been already applied. Additionally, other areas in which the number of comparing groups can be naturally modeled as a continuum could benefit from this extension of the methodology. This extension to a continuum of groups can easily be combined with the other extensions outlined above that deal with different types of outcomes.

2 The Blinder-Oaxaca Decomposition. Basic Notation and An Alternative Presentation

The basic setup of the Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition works as follows. Let the comparing groups be 1 and 0. The estimator for the outcome gap between these two groups is $\bar{y}^1 - \bar{y}^0$. A departure point for the

Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition is the estimation of the regressions $y_i^1 = \beta^1 \cdot x_i^1 + \varepsilon_i^1$ and $y_i^0 = \beta^0 \cdot x_i^0 + \varepsilon_i^0$, where x represents vector of observable characteristics, β their corresponding vectors of rewards and ε the residual terms. In this way, the estimators for the expected outcomes can be then estimated as $\bar{y}^1 = \hat{\beta}^1 \cdot \bar{x}^1$ and $\bar{y}^0 = \hat{\beta}^0 \cdot \bar{x}^0$, respectively. The estimator of the gap then becomes $\bar{y}^1 - \bar{y}^0 = \hat{\beta}^1 \cdot \bar{x}^1 - \hat{\beta}^0 \cdot \bar{x}^0$ which, after adding and subtracting $\hat{\beta}^1 \cdot \bar{x}^0$, becomes $\bar{y}^1 - \bar{y}^0 = \hat{\beta}^1 \cdot (\bar{x}^1 - \bar{x}^0) + (\hat{\beta}^1 - \hat{\beta}^0) \cdot \bar{x}^0$. The component $\hat{\beta}^1 \cdot (\bar{x}^1 - \bar{x}^0)$, denoted by Δ_x , is then interpreted as the part of the gap that is explained by differences in average observable characteristics of the individuals and the other component, $(\hat{\beta}^1 - \hat{\beta}^0) \cdot \bar{x}^0$, denoted by Δ_0 , is the part attributed to differences in the rewards for those characteristics.

The traditional notation of the Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition outlined above can also be expressed within a single regression. For this purpose, let the dummy variable t_i indicate the group to which individual i belongs ($t_i = 0$ for those individuals i that belong to the base group, $t_i = 1$ for those individuals i that belong to the comparing group). Denoting by y_i the outcome of individual i , the coefficient α_1 on the equation $y_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t_i + \varepsilon_i$ represents the wage gap between both groups (that is, $\alpha_1 = E[y|t = 1] - E[y|t = 0]$). The Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition is an attempt to explain the α_1 coefficient (the gap) on the basis of observable characteristics. For that purpose, it is necessary to estimate the equation

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 t_i + \beta_3 x_i t_i + \nu_i \quad (1)$$

where t is the dummy variable introduced above, x is a n -dimensional vector of observable characteristics, β_1 and β_3 are the corresponding n -dimensional vectors of rewards for those characteristics (β_1 for individuals of group 0 and $\beta_1 + \beta_3$ for individuals of group 1) and β_0 and β_2 are one-dimensional coefficients (intercepts). Then, α_1 can be expressed as

$$\alpha_1 = E[(\beta_0 + \beta_2) + (\beta_1 + \beta_3)x|t = 1] - E[\beta_0 + \beta_1 x|t = 0]$$

which after some re-arrangements becomes

$$\alpha_1 = (\beta_0 + \beta_2) + (\beta_1 + \beta_3) E[x|t = 1] - \beta_0 - \beta_1 E[x|t = 0]$$

$$\alpha_1 = \beta_1 (E[x|t = 1] - E[x|t = 0]) + \beta_2 + \beta_3 E[x|t = 1]$$

$$\alpha_1 = \Delta_x + \Delta_0$$

Now, $\Delta_x \equiv \beta_1 (E[x|t = 1] - E[x|t = 0])$ and $\Delta_0 \equiv \beta_2 + \beta_3 E[x|t = 1]$ can be interpreted in the traditional way. Δ_x is the component of the wage gap that is explained by the difference in average characteristics of the individuals, while Δ_0 is the component that remains unexplained and can be attributed to the existence of a combination of discrimination and unobservable characteristics. The extension of this decomposition to a continuum of comparing groups will be introduced next.

3 Decomposing "the Gap" in a Continuous Setup.

The setup outlined above in which t is a binary variable can be extended into another in which t is continuous. Provided that $y_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t_i + \varepsilon_i$; the slope coefficient α_1 can be rewritten as

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\text{cov}(y, t)}{\text{var}(t)}$$

Just for presentation purposes, without loss of generality, let us assume $E(t) = 0$ and $E(t^2) = 1$. Then $\alpha_1 = E[yt]$ or $\alpha_1 = \int_D t E[y|t] dF(t)$, where D represents the domain and F represents the cumulative density function for t . Next, using equation (1) in the new expression for α_1 will lead to

$$\alpha_1 = \int_D t (\beta_0 + \beta_1 E[x|t] + \beta_2 t + \beta_3 t E[x|t]) dF(t)$$

Using again the properties assumed on the first two moments of t we have

$$\alpha_1 = \int_D (\beta_1 + \beta_3 t) E[x|t] t dF(t) + \beta_2 \quad (2)$$

Noting that $\int_D (\beta_1 + \beta_3 t) E[x] t dF(t)$ is equivalent to $\beta_3 E[x]$, we can add the latter and subtract the former from the right-hand side of (2) and after some re-arrangements obtain

$$\alpha_1 = \left[\int_D (\beta_1 + \beta_3 t) (E[x|t] - E[x]) t dF(t) \right] + [\beta_2 + \beta_3 E[x]] \quad (3)$$

$$\alpha_1 = \widetilde{\Delta}_x + \widetilde{\Delta}_0$$

which now has an analogous interpretation to the Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition.

$\widetilde{\Delta}_x = \int_D (\beta_1 + \beta_3 t) (E[x|t] - E[x]) t dF(t)$ is the component of α_1 that can be explained by the aggregated differences in average characteristics between individuals of type t and the average individual.

$\widetilde{\Delta}_0 = \beta_2 + \beta_3 E[x]$ is the component of α_1 that remains unexplained.

The two moment conditions about t were assumed only for expositional purposes. Without imposing any of those, the decomposition would be expressed as:

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_x = \frac{\int_D (\beta_1 + \beta_3 t) (E[x|t] - E[x]) (t - E[t]) dF(t)}{\text{var}(t)}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_0 = \beta_2 + \beta_3 E[x]$$

Note that the expression for the unexplained component, $\widetilde{\Delta}_0$, as a function of β_2 , β_3 and $E[x]$, does not change.

Furthermore, instead of adding $\beta_3 E[x]$ and subtracting its equivalent form in (2) one can add the conditional versions of them $\beta_3 E[x|t=0]$ and $\int_D (\beta_1 + \beta_3 t) E[x|t=0] t dF(t)$. In such way the decomposition

becomes:

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_x = \frac{\int (\beta_1 + \beta_3 t) (E[x|t] - E[x|t=0]) (t - E[t]) dF(t)}{\text{var}(t)}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_0 = \beta_2 + \beta_3 E[x|t=0]$$

which could be interpreted in light of a slightly different counterfactual situation. The base comparing group would be formed by those with $t = 0$ instead of everybody. As a final comment, it is straightforward to verify that the restriction of t to a binary case (0 and 1) under this latter counterfactual delivers the usual Blinder-Oaxaca decomposition.

4 An Application: Racial Wage Gaps in Urban Peru

The data for this application are taken from Ñopo et al. (2007). This is a nationally representative data set on individuals in urban areas that, in addition to capturing information on human capital characteristics and labor markets outcomes, captures racial information in a novel way. Individuals' race is characterized in this data set by a vector of intensities, as observed by trained pollsters, along the White and Indigenous dimensions. Such intensities are determined on the basis of individuals' observable characteristics (skin color, hair color, hair color, shape of eyes and shape of lips, among other traits). In this way, and individual's racial characteristics of an individual are represented by a vector $(I_W, I_I) \in \mathbb{N}_{10} \times \mathbb{N}_{10}$ where a higher intensity in a particular dimension denotes more observable characteristics of the individual that make her/him resemble a typical White or Indigenous individual, respectively.

Then, the ratio $t = \frac{I_W}{I_W + I_I}$ can be interpreted as an indicator of "Whiteness" of an individual. By construction, that ratio lies within the interval $[0,1]$ and for the practical purposes of this estimation can be assumed to be a continuous indicator. Then, an earnings equation can be estimated using this indicator as a regressor, as well as sex, age (and its square), years of schooling, marital status, years of occupational experience (and its square), occupation (eight dummies for nine occupational groups), firm size (four

Figure 1: Racial Coefficient Decomposition by Different Partition Criteria

	Coefficient Alpha 1	Unexplained Component
All	0.516 (0.179)	0.076 (0.113)
<i>Geographic</i>		
Lima	0.524 (0.226)	0.228 (0.175)
Rest of the Nation	0.089 (0.275)	-0.039 (0.172)
<i>Gender</i>		
Females	0.547 (0.264)	0.184 (0.202)
Males	0.512 (0.248)	-0.032 (0.151)
<i>Type of employment</i>		
Private Wage Earners	0.661 (0.249)	0.134 (0.167)
Public Wage Earners	0.247 (0.358)	0.244 (0.290)
Self-Employed	0.516 (0.325)	0.056 (0.222)

dummies), city (a dummy that distinguishes Lima from the rest of the nation), mother's educational level (two dummies), number of sick days during the last year, migratory condition (one dummy) and hours worked per week. The results obtained for an estimation with the whole national sample are $\alpha_1 = 0.516$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}_0 = 0.076$. According to these figures, the average individual with the highest white intensity ($t=1$) earns approximately 68% ($=\exp(0.516)-1$) more than the average individual in per hour terms. This difference is partially explained by the fact that the average individual with the highest white intensity has individual characteristics that exceeds those of the average individual. After accounting for those differences in observable characteristics, there is still a difference of 8% in favor of the white individuals. Analogous regressions and decompositions were performed for different subsets of the data. The results are reported in Table 1. 1. The first column corresponds to the estimators of the slope (α_1), and the second column for the unexplained component of it ($\widetilde{\Delta}_0$); each row corresponds to a particular partition of the data, as labeled. The numbers in parenthesis are standard errors, computed by bootstrap (10,000 iterations).

The results suggest that there are more evidences of differences in pay that cannot be explained by differences in observable characteristics among those who live in Lima, females and those who work as salaried employees (either in the private sector or the public sector). However, the standard errors are such that none of these are statistically different than zero.

References

- [1] Albrecht, James; Anders Björklund and Susan Vroman (2003). "Is There a Glass Ceiling In Sweden?" *Journal of Labor Economics*, volume 21 (2003), pages 145–177.
- [2] Bauer, Thomas and Mathias Sinning (2005). "Blinder-Oaxaca Decomposition for Tobit Models." IZA Discussion Paper 1795. October 2005.
- [3] Bauer, Thomas; Silja Göhlmann, Mathias Sinning (2006). "Gender Differences in Smoking Behavior." IZA Discussion Paper 2259. August 2006.
- [4] Blinder, Alan. "Wage Discrimination: Reduced Form and Structural Estimates," *The Journal of Human Resources* 7:4 (1973), 436-55.
- [5] Fairlie, Robert (2005). "An Extension of the Blinder-Oaxaca Decomposition Technique to Logit and Probit Models." *Journal of Economic and Social Measurement* 30 (2005) 305-316.
- [6] Maloney, William (1999), "Does Informality Imply Segmentation in Urban Labor Markets? Evidence from Sectoral Transitions in Mexico", *The World Bank Economic Review*, vol. 13: 275-302.
- [7] Maloney, William (2004), "Informality Revisited", *World Development*, vol. 32:1159-1178.
- [8] Ñopo, Hugo; Jaime Saavedra and Maximo Torero. "Ethnicity and Earnings in a Mixed Race Labor Market," *Economic Development and Cultural Change*. July 2007, Volume 55, Number 4.
- [9] Ñopo, Hugo (forthcoming). "Matching as a Tool to Decompose Wage Gaps." forthcoming at *The Review of Economics and Statistics*.

- [10] Oaxaca, Ronald. "Male-Female Wage Differentials in Urban Labor Market," *International Economic Review* 14:3 (1973), 693-709.
- [11] World Bank (2005). "Beyond the City. The Rural Contribution to Development." The World Bank. Washington DC, 2005.