

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Beirne, John; Caporale, Guglielmo Maria; Schulze-Ghattas, Marianne; Spagnolo, Nicola

#### **Working Paper**

Volatility spillovers and contagion from mature to emerging stock markets

CESifo Working Paper, No. 2545

#### **Provided in Cooperation with:**

Ifo Institute – Leibniz Institute for Economic Research at the University of Munich

Suggested Citation: Beirne, John; Caporale, Guglielmo Maria; Schulze-Ghattas, Marianne; Spagnolo, Nicola (2009): Volatility spillovers and contagion from mature to emerging stock markets, CESifo Working Paper, No. 2545, Center for Economic Studies and ifo Institute (CESifo), Munich

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/26590

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



### Volatility Spillovers and Contagion from Mature to Emerging Stock Markets

# JOHN BEIRNE GUGLIELMO MARIA CAPORALE MARIANNE SCHULZE-GHATTAS NICOLA SPAGNOLO

## CESIFO WORKING PAPER NO. 2545 CATEGORY 6: MONETARY POLICY AND INTERNATIONAL FINANCE FEBRUARY 2009

An electronic version of the paper may be downloaded

• from the SSRN website: www.SSRN.com

• from the RePEc website: www.RePEc.org

• from the CESifo website: www.CESifo-group.org/wp

### Volatility Spillovers and Contagion from Mature to Emerging Stock Markets

#### **Abstract**

This paper examines volatility spillovers from mature to emerging stock markets and tests for changes in the transmission mechanism—contagion—during turbulences in mature markets. Tri-variate GARCH-BEKK models of returns in global (mature), regional, and local markets are estimated for 41 emerging market economies (EMEs), with a dummy capturing parameter shifts during turbulent episodes. LR tests suggest that mature markets influence conditional variances in many emerging markets. Moreover, spillover parameters change during turbulent episodes. Conditional variances in most EMEs rise during these episodes, but there is only limited evidence of shifts in conditional correlations between mature and emerging markets.

JEL Code: F30, G15.

Keywords: volatility spillovers, contagion, stock markets, emerging markets.

John Beirne
European Central Bank
Kaiserstrasse 29
Germany - 60311 Frankfurt am Main
John.Beirne@ecb.int

Marianne Schulze-Ghattas International Monetary Fund Mschulzeghattas@imf.org Guglielmo Maria Caporale Centre for Empirical Finance Brunel University UK - Uxbridge, Middlesex, UB8 3PH guglielmo-maria.caporale@brunel.ac.uk

Nicola Spagnolo
Centre for Empirical Finance
Brunel University
Nicola.Spagnolo@brunel.ac.uk

The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Central Bank or the International Monetary Fund. Marianne Schulze-Ghattas was visiting fellow at the Financial Markets Group, London School of Economics when the research was done.

#### 1. Introduction

The literature on financial contagion is vast. The October 1987 stock market crash in the US and the 1992 ERM crisis gave rise to numerous empirical analyses of the transmission of shocks across *mature* financial markets. Research on financial contagion in *emerging* markets was boosted by the emerging market crises of the 1990s, in particular the Asian crisis. Given the rapid propagation and large economic impact of these crises, contagion became virtually synonymous with turbulence in emerging markets and studies of the role of different contagion channels during these crises multiplied. While views on the precise definition of contagion differ, there is a fairly broad consensus in the empirical literature on financial contagion that contagion refers to an unanticipated transmission of shocks. Contagion should thus be distinguished from "normal" interdependencies and spillovers across asset markets.<sup>2</sup>

An important strand of the empirical research on contagion uses conditional correlation analysis to test for shifts in linkages across financial markets during crisis periods.<sup>3</sup> Following the seminal paper by King and Wadhwani (1990), subsequent studies refined this approach by addressing key features of the data generating process that affect the validity of these tests such as heteroscedasticity, endogeneity, and the influence of common factors. (King, Sentana, and Wadhwani (1994), Forbes and Rigobon (2002), Corsetti, Pericoli, and Sbracia (2005), and Caporale, Cipollini, and Spagnolo (2005)). In a related vein, Dungey, Fry, Gonzalez-Hermosillo, and Martin (2002 and 2003) estimated dynamic latent factor models to test for contagion in bond and stock markets during crisis episodes. Based on a factor model that allows for time-varying integration with global markets, Bekaert, Harvey, and Ng (2005) identified contagion as "excess correlation," that is, cross-country correlations of the model residuals during crisis episodes.

Prompted by the widespread repercussions of past financial crises in emerging markets, empirical analyses of contagion involving emerging financial markets have understandably focused on the transmission of shocks originating in these markets, rather than shocks emanating from mature markets.<sup>4</sup> Studies of linkages between mature and emerging financial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Karolyi (2003) and Pericoli and Sbracia (2003) provide comprehensive surveys. Masson (1998), Claessens, Dornbusch, and Park (2001), Kaminsky and Reinhart (2000), and Kaminsky, Reinhart, and Vegh (2003) discuss real and financial transmission channels and review different approaches to the analysis of contagion. Pericoli and Sbracia (2003) and Pritsker (2001) examine channels of financial contagion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This definition of contagion is consistent with the taxonomy of shocks proposed by Masson (1999). Pericoli and Sbracia (2003) discuss different definitions of contagion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Dungey, Fry, Gonzalez-Hermosillo, and Martin (2004) and Pericoli and Sbracia (2003) for a more comprehensive review of different methodologies applied in the contagion literature, including probability models, which examine the impact of a change in a given crisis index for one country on the crisis probability of another country, and models based on extreme value theory, which focus on correlations of extreme negative values of asset return distributions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> One exception is Serwa and Bohl (2005), who include the US stock market crashes following 9/11 and the 2002 accounting scandals in their sample of crisis events and test for contagion in three emerging and seven mature stock markets in Europe after these events. Using variants of the adjusted correlation coefficients (continued)

markets have focused primarily on the implications of market liberalization and integration for return correlations and volatility spillovers, and have generally ignored the possibility of

"shift contagion" during episodes of heightened volatility in mature markets.<sup>5</sup> Several episodes of turbulence in mature financial markets in the past decade, in particular the events of 2007-08, suggest that this may be an important gap in the empirical contagion literature.

This paper offers a first pass at filling this gap. Our analysis builds on the research discussed above but differs from existing studies in three respects. First, we apply the concept of shift contagion to the analysis of spillovers from mature to emerging stock markets and test for shifts in the transmission mechanism during episodes of turbulence in mature markets. We use the Chicago Board Options Exchange index of implied volatility (VIX)—a widely quoted indicator of market sentiment—to identify turbulent episodes in mature markets. Second, we focus on the transmission of volatility, that is, dependencies and possible contagion in the second moments. Third, we cover a large sample of 41 emerging market economies (EMEs) in Asia, Europe, Latin America, and the Middle East, which provides a rich basis for comparisons across countries and regions; most studies to date focus on relatively small sets of countries in one or two regions.

We use a tri-variate VAR-GARCH framework with the BEKK representation proposed by Engle and Kroner (1995) to model the means and variances of stock returns in local, regional, and global (mature) markets, with the latter defined as a weighted average of the US, Japan, and Europe (Germany, France, Italy, and the UK). GARCH models have been applied extensively in analyses of cross-border volatility spillovers in asset markets, though primarily in mature markets.<sup>6</sup>

While we are mainly interested in spillovers from mature markets to local emerging markets, we include a regional market—defined as a weighted average of other emerging markets in the region—in each country model to control for the transmission of shocks originating in these countries. We modify the GARCH model by including a dummy variable that allows for shifts in the parameters capturing spillovers from mature to emerging markets during episodes of turbulence in the former. This approach accommodates multiple shifts between turbulent and tranquil periods.

proposed by Forbes and Rigobon (2002) and Corsetti, Pericoli, and Sbracia (2005), they find little evidence of contagion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> These studies typically estimate factor models with variable factor loadings for returns in foreign markets to capture time-varying market integration. See Bekaert and Harvey (1995, 1997, and 2000) and Ng (2000). However, Bekaert, Harvey, and Ng (2005) extend this analysis to test for contagion during crisis episodes in emerging markets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Studies of mature markets include Fratzscher (2002), Longin and Solnik (1995), Bae and Karolyi (1994), and Hamao, Masulis and Ng (1990). Caporale, Pittis, and Spagnolo (2006), Ng (2000) and Edwards (1998) examine volatility spillovers in emerging markets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Bekaert, Harvey, and Ng (2005) adopt a similar approach.

Our analysis is based on weekly stock returns in local currency. Country samples begin in 1993 for the emerging markets in Asia, and in 1996 for Latin America and most countries in emerging Europe and the Middle East. All samples end in mid March 2008.

Likelihood Ratio (LR) tests are carried out to examine various hypotheses concerning volatility spillovers from mature stock markets to regional and local emerging markets, and from regional to local markets. Specifically, we consider the following possibilities: no volatility spillovers whatsoever from mature markets; no shift contagion, that is, no change in the transmission of volatility during turbulent periods in mature markets; no volatility spillovers during tranquil periods—a special case of volatility contagion if spillovers are present during turbulent episodes; and no volatility spillovers from regional to local markets. We also examine the model estimates of conditional variances in local emerging stock markets as well as conditional correlations between mature and local emerging markets, and test for changes during turbulent episodes in mature markets.

For the majority of the EMEs analyzed, the LR test results point to volatility spillovers from mature stock markets to local EME markets and to shifts in the spillover parameters during turbulent episodes in mature markets. There is also evidence of volatility spillovers from regional to local EME markets. Conditional variances in most, though not all, local stock markets tend to be higher during turbulent episodes in mature markets than during other periods, but the increase is not always statistically significant. We find relatively few cases of statistically significant increases in conditional correlations between mature and emerging stock markets during episodes of turbulence in the former; nearly all of these are in emerging Europe.

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 lays out the model. Section 3 provides details on the data set, and on the method used to identify turbulent episodes in mature stock markets. Section 4 outlines the hypotheses tested and discusses the results. Section 5 summarizes the main conclusions.

#### 2. Methodology

#### 2.1. Basic model

We represent the first and second moments of returns in local and regional emerging markets and in mature markets by a tri-variate VAR-GARCH(1,1) process. In its most general specification the model takes the following form:

$$x_t = \alpha + \beta x_{t-1} + u_t \tag{1}$$

where  $x_t$  = (local emerging market returns<sub>t</sub>, regional emerging market returns<sub>t</sub>, mature market returns<sub>t</sub>),  $x_{t-1}$  is a corresponding vector of lagged returns, and  $u_t$  = ( $e_{1,t}$ ,  $e_{2,t}$ ,  $e_{3,t}$ ) is a residual vector. The parameters of the mean return equations (1) comprise the constant terms  $\alpha$  = ( $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\alpha_3$ ) and the parameters of the autoregressive terms  $\beta$  = ( $\beta_{11}$ ,  $\beta_{12}$ ,  $\beta_{13}$  | 0,  $\beta_{22}$ ,  $\beta_{23}$  | 0, 0,  $\beta_{33}$ ), which allow for mean return spillovers from mature markets to regional and local emerging markets, and from regional markets to local markets.

The residual vector  $u_t$  is tri-variate and normally distributed  $u_t \mid I_{t-1} \sim (0, H_t)$  with its corresponding conditional variance covariance matrix:

$$H_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11,t} & h_{12,t} & h_{13,t} \\ h_{21,t} & h_{22,t} & h_{23,t} \\ h_{31,t} & h_{32,t} & h_{33,t} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

In the multivariate GARCH(1,1)-BEKK representation proposed by Engle and Kroner (1995), which guarantees by construction that the variance covariance matrices in the system are positive definite, H<sub>t</sub> takes the following form:

$$H_{t} = C_{0}^{t}C_{0} + \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} e_{1,t-1}^{2} & e_{1,t-1}e_{2,t-1} & e_{1,t-1}e_{3,t-1} \\ e_{2,t-1}e_{1,t-1} & e_{2,t-1}^{2} & e_{2,t-1}e_{3,t-1} \\ e_{3,t-1}e_{1,t-1} & e_{3,t-1}e_{2,t-1} & e_{3,t-1}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

Equation (3) models the dynamic process of H<sub>t</sub> as a function of its own past values H<sub>t-1</sub> and of past values of innovations (e<sub>1,t-1</sub>, e<sub>2,t-1</sub>, e<sub>3,t-1</sub>), allowing for own-market and cross-market influences in the conditional variances. The parameters of (3) are given by C<sub>0</sub>, which is restricted to be upper triangular, and two matrices A<sub>11</sub> and G<sub>11</sub>. Each of these two matrices has three zero restrictions as we are focusing on volatility spillovers (causality-in-variance) running from mature stock markets to regional and local emerging stock markets, and from regional to local emerging markets.

Given a sample of T observations, a vector of unknown parameters $\theta$ , and a 3 x 1 vector of variables  $x_t$ , the conditional density function for the model (1)-(3) is:  $f(x_t \mid I_{t-1}; \theta) = (2\pi)^{-1} \mid H_t \mid^{-1/2} \exp(-[u]_t (H_t^{-1}) u_t] / 2)$ 

$$f(\mathbf{x}_t \mid \mathbf{I}_{t-1}; \theta) = (2\pi)^{-1} \mid \mathbf{H}_t \mid^{-1/2} \exp(-\left[\mathbf{u}_t (\mathbf{H}_t^{-1}) \mathbf{u}_t\right] / 2)$$
(4)

Standard errors (SEs) are calculated using the quasi-maximum likelihood method of Bollerslev and Wooldridge (1992), which is robust to the distribution of the underlying residuals. A residual vector ut following the t-student distribution has also been considered. Results are qualitatively similar and therefore not reported. The complete set of results is available from the authors upon request.

The log likelihood function is:

$$Log-Lik = \sum_{t=1}^{T} log f(x_t | I_{t-1}; \theta).$$
 (5)

#### 2.2. Volatility contagion

Applying the concept of shift contagion (Forbes and Rigobon (2002)) to the analysis of interdependencies in second moments, we define volatility contagion as a shift in the transmission of volatility from mature to emerging stock markets during episodes of turbulence in the former. In order to test for such shifts, we include a dummy D in equation (3) that allows the parameters governing volatility spillovers from mature markets to change in these episodes. The equation for the conditional variance of returns in local emerging markets illustrates the modified variance-covariance equations:

$$\begin{split} h_{11,t} &= c_{11}^2 + a_{11}^2 \, e_{1,t-1}^2 + a_{21}^2 \, e_{2,t-1}^2 + (a_{31} + a_{31d} \cdot D)^2 \, e_{3,t-1}^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \, a_{11} a_{21} e_{1,t-1} e_{2,t-1} + 2 \, a_{11} (a_{31} + a_{31d} \cdot D) \, e_{1,t-1} e_{3,t-1} + 2 \, a_{21} (a_{31} + a_{31d} \cdot D) \, e_{2,t-1} e_{3,t-1} \\ &\quad + g_{11}^2 \, h_{11,t-1} + g_{21}^2 \, h_{22,t-1} + (g_{31} + g_{31d} \cdot D)^2 \, h_{33,t-1} \\ &\quad + 2 \, g_{11} g_{21} h_{12,t-1} + 2 \, g_{11} (g_{31} + g_{31d} \cdot D) \, h_{13,t-1} + 2 \, g_{21} (g_{31} + g_{31d} \cdot D) \, h_{23,t-1} \end{split} \tag{6}$$

Volatility spillovers from mature stock markets to local and regional emerging markets are reflected in the parameters  $a_{31}$  and  $g_{31}$ , and  $a_{32}$  and  $g_{32}$ , respectively;  $a_{31d}$  and  $g_{31d}$ , and  $a_{32d}$  and  $g_{32d}$  capture shifts in these parameters during episodes of turbulence in mature markets. Volatility spillovers from regional to local emerging markets are reflected in the parameters  $a_{21}$  and  $g_{21}$ , which do not change as we are focusing on episodes of turbulence in mature equity markets.

#### 3. Data and identification of turbulent episodes in mature markets

#### 3.1. Data set

The tri-variate GARCH model outlined in the preceding section was estimated for 41 EMEs across four geographical regions: Asia, emerging Europe and South Africa, Latin America, and the Middle East and North Africa.

The model for each EME consists of local stock returns, a weighted average of returns in other EMEs in the region, and a weighted average of mature market returns. Weekly returns were calculated as log differences of local currency stock market indices for weeks running from Wednesday to Wednesday to minimize effects of cross-country differences in weekend market closures. The time series for the Asian EMEs start in September 1993 and the majority of the series for Latin America, emerging Europe, and the Middle East begin in 1996. All return series end in mid-March 2008. Table 1 shows start and end dates of the return series for each EME in the country sample and for the six mature markets included in the aggregate mature market index as well as key descriptive statistics, which point to

skewness in most, and kurtosis in many of the return series. All stock market indices were obtained from Datastream.

#### Insert Table 1 here

For each EME, a regional market was defined as a weighted average of all other sample EMEs in the region. Mature market returns were calculated as a weighted average of returns on benchmark indices in the US, Japan, and Europe (France, Germany, Italy, UK). As complete time series on market capitalization are not available for all EMEs in our sample, weights are based on 104-week moving averages of US\$-GDP data from the IMF's World Economic Outlook database.

#### 3.2. Identification of turbulent episodes in mature stock markets

The definition of the crisis window can significantly affect the results of contagion tests. There is relatively broad consensus on the major emerging market crises that have been examined in the empirical contagion literature, even though dating the start and end of these crises is not straightforward. By contrast, what may be considered a "crisis" in mature financial markets is less obvious, perhaps with the exception of the 1987 US stock market crash and the 1992 ERM crisis, which have been extensively studied and precede the start of our EME data samples, and the crisis that began in 2007, which has not yet ended.

In the absence of an agreed definition of turbulence in mature financial markets, we use the Chicago Board Options Exchange index of implied volatility from options on the US S&P 500 (VIX), a widely quoted indicator of market sentiment, to identify episodes of turbulence in mature stock markets. Specifically, we define market turbulence as a period in which the VIX is either very high (30 or higher) or rising sharply (five-day moving average exceeding the 52-week moving average by 30 percent or more). Based on this definition, turbulent episodes are fairly rare events. Thirteen percent of the observations in the full data sample running from June 1993 to March 2008 fall into this category, with clusters in 1996-98, 2001, 2002, early 2003, 2007, and 2008, which are in line with anecdotal evidence. Table 2 lists the weeks in which the turbulence dummy takes the value one.

Insert Table 2 here

#### 4. Empirical analysis

#### 4.1. Hypotheses tested

<sup>9</sup> Caporale, Cipollini, and Spagnolo (2005) select the breakpoints marking the beginning of the crises in each of the Asian crisis countries endogenously. Most other studies of contagion identify crisis windows in a more ad hoc manner

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Daily data on the VIX were obtained from Datastream.

We test for volatility spillovers and contagion by placing restrictions on the relevant parameters and computing a likelihood ratio test statistic (LR) between the unrestricted and restricted models, where LR =  $-2(L_R - L_U) \sim \chi(k)$ . The tests involve joint hypotheses at two and four degrees of freedom (k). We test two sets of null hypotheses H0:

(i) Tests of no volatility spillovers or contagion to local emerging markets

H01: No spillovers and no contagion from mature stock markets:  $a_{31}=a_{31d}=g_{31}=g_{31d}=0$ . The null hypothesis assumes that volatility in local emerging stock markets is never influenced by volatility in mature markets, neither over the full sample period nor specifically during episodes of turbulence in mature markets.

H02: No contagion, that is, no shift in the transmission of volatility from mature markets to local emerging markets during episodes of turbulence in the former:  $a_{31d} = g_{31d} = 0$ .

H03: No spillovers from mature markets to local emerging markets over the full sample period:  $a_{31} = g_{31} = 0$ . This hypothesis complements H02. If we reject H03 and do not reject H02, there is no volatility contagion, only spillovers; if we do not reject H03 and reject H02, volatility is transmitted from mature markets to local emerging markets only during episodes of turbulence in the latter, which implies "shift contagion."

H04: No spillovers from regional to local emerging markets. This implies  $a_{21} = g_{21} = 0$  as we are not allowing for shifts in the transmission of volatility from regional to local emerging markets.

We test the same hypotheses, except H04, for regional emerging markets, which may act as a conduit for volatility transmission to local emerging markets.

(ii) Tests of no volatility spillovers or contagion to regional emerging markets

H05: No spillovers and no contagion from mature markets to regional emerging markets:  $a_{32} = a_{32d} = g_{32} = g_{32d} = 0$ .

H06: No shift contagion from mature markets to regional emerging markets during turbulent episodes in the former:  $a_{32d} = g_{32d} = 0$ .

H07: No spillovers from mature markets to regional emerging markets over the full sample period:  $a_{32} = g_{32} = 0$ .

Tests of the hypotheses outlined above shed light on volatility linkages between mature and emerging stock markets but they say nothing about the sign of the effects. While the concepts of spillovers and contagion are generally associated with positive linkages, negative linkages cannot be ruled out.<sup>11</sup> However, tracing the impact of "news surprises" in mature stock

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Favero and Giavazzi (2002).

markets on emerging markets is not straightforward. Given the non-linearity of GARCH models, the impact of a surprise in mature stock market depends on all other variables in the system, that is, surprises in local and regional markets as well as past variances and covariances. As such time-dependent impulse response functions are quite difficult to interpret, we simply compare the conditional variances in local emerging stock markets predicted by our model for turbulent and non-turbulent periods in the full sample 1996-2008, and sub-samples 1996-99, 2000-03, and 2004-08. We test the null hypothesis of equal conditional variances against the alternative that conditional variances in emerging markets are higher during turbulent episodes in mature markets. While these tests cannot be interpreted as evidence of positive volatility spillovers from mature markets, they provide useful information about volatility in local emerging stock markets during episodes of turbulence in mature markets.

Finally, we compute conditional correlations between local emerging and mature market returns as  $h_{13}/(\sqrt{h_{11}}\sqrt{h_{33}})$  and test for differences between conditional correlations during turbulent and non-turbulent periods in mature markets. These tests are carried out for the full sample 1996-2008, and for sub-samples 1996-99, 2000-03, and 2004-08.

#### 4.4. Discussion of Results

For most of the 41 EMEs in our country set, the estimated tri-variate VAR-GARCH(1,1) model appears to capture the evolution of conditional means and variances of local stock returns, and their interactions with regional and mature markets, quite well. Ljung-Box portmanteau (LB) autocorrelations tests of ten lags reject the null hypothesis of no autocorrelation in the standardized residuals in only six cases, and the null hypothesis of no autocorrelation in the standardized squared residuals in only one case (Table 3).

#### Insert Table 3 about here

The parameter estimates for the conditional means of emerging market returns suggest statistically significant spillovers-in-mean from mature stock markets to local markets for half of the EMEs analyzed. This includes all but one of the Asian emerging markets and nearly half of the countries in emerging Europe. By contrast, the estimates of the mean spillover parameter are insignificant (and negative) for all Latin American countries, except Brazil, and insignificant (though positive) for most countries in the Middle East and North Africa, except Egypt and Morocco. On the other hand, the estimated parameters of spillovers-in-mean from regional to local emerging markets are insignificant for all of emerging Asia, but positive and significant for half of the countries in Latin America, close to half of emerging Europe, as well as Kuwait and Lebanon in the Middle East.

The differences across regions in the parameters capturing spillovers-in-mean from regional emerging and global mature markets to local markets are striking, particularly for Asia and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Thus, impulse response functions depend on the shock and the time at which arrives.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> In order to facilitate cross-country comparisons, we drop pre-1996 data, which are available only for Asia.

Latin America.<sup>14</sup> Common factors not explicitly included in our model may explain part of this variation. Common factors relevant to the manufactures-exporting EMEs in Asia and Europe may be captured fairly well by mature market returns and, hence, are reflected in spillovers from mature markets to local emerging markets. In contrast, common factors relevant to the commodity-exporting emerging markets in Latin America may be less closely linked to mature stock markets and manifest themselves in stronger co-movements across the region and spillovers from regional to local markets.<sup>15</sup>

The estimated "own-market" coefficients of the conditional variances are statistically significant for all EMEs but one, and the estimates of  $g_{11}$  suggest a high degree of persistence, except in a few countries in Latin America and emerging Europe, and most countries in the Middle East and North Africa (Table 4.1.and 4.2). There is substantial evidence of spillovers-in-variance from mature stock markets to local emerging markets. While many of the estimated spillover coefficients have fairly large standard errors, at least one of the four parameters capturing these spillovers—in many cases one (or both) of the shift parameters—is significant for close to three quarters of the EMEs in our country sample.

#### Insert Tables 4.1 and 4.2 about here

The LR tests strongly reject the null hypothesis of no volatility spillovers whatsoever from mature markets (H01) for nearly three quarters of the EME sample, including all EMEs in Asia, except China and the Philippines; all countries in Latin America, except Venezuela; and over two thirds of the countries in emerging Europe (Table 5). These tests also suggest that the transmission of volatility changes during turbulent episodes in mature markets. Indeed, stock markets in a number of EMEs appear to be affected only during such periods. While the hypothesis of no shift in the spillover parameters during turbulent episodes in mature markets (H02) is rejected for close to three quarters of the countries, we reject the hypothesis of no volatility spillovers over the whole sample period (H03) for less than half of the EMEs covered. We find evidence of spillovers over the whole sample period but no shifts in the parameters only for four countries (Colombia, Estonia, India, and Indonesia). For the majority of the EMEs analyzed, LR tests also reject the null hypothesis of no spillovers-invariance from regional to local emerging markets (H04). In most of the estimated country

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The results for Asia are broadly in line with those obtained by Ng (2000) who emphasizes the importance of global factors relative to regional factors in Pacific Basin stock markets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> An alternative explanation for the observed differences in regional spillover effects would be that stock markets in Latin America are more interdependent than stock markets in emerging Asia; that is, idiosyncratic local shocks are more likely to become regionalized in the former than in the latter. However, empirical evidence on linkages across local markets in Asia before and after the Asian crisis does not support this view (see Caporale, Cipollini, and Spagnolo (2005)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Caporale, Pittis, and Spagnolo (2006), using a bootstrap procedure, found that the LR test has finite-sample Type-I error probabilities that do not differ significantly from the value of 0.05, with empirical rejection frequencies reasonably close to the corresponding asymptotic ones. Given these results and the large size of our country sample, we did not bootstrap the LR tests.

models, these regional markets are in turn affected by spillovers from mature markets (H05, H06, and H07) and may thus act as a conduit for volatility transmission.

#### Insert Table 5 about here

While it is difficult to quantify the impact of volatility spillovers from mature to emerging stock markets, given the non-linearity of GARCH models, we find that conditional variances of local emerging stock markets have tended to be higher during turbulent periods in mature markets than during non-turbulent periods. This difference is statistically significant in nearly half of our country sample (Table 6). Tests for the three sub-samples 1996-99, 2000-03, and 2004-08 reveal marked differences across these periods. During 1996-99, when turbulence in mature markets coincided, and indeed was likely affected, by turbulence in several emerging markets, statistically significant volatility "shifts" occurred in more than half of the EMEs outside the Middle East and North Africa. By contrast, during the mature market turbulences of 2000-03—which include 9/11, the bursting of the dotcom bubble, and the Enron/Worldcom events—conditional variances in nearly two thirds of the EMEs were, in fact, lower than during non-turbulent episodes. During 2004-08—a period featuring large capital inflows to EMEs—mature market turbulences coincide with increased local market volatility in three quarters of the country sample, but fewer than half of these shifts are statistically significant.

#### Insert Table 6 about here

We find only limited evidence of a rise in conditional correlations between returns in mature markets and local emerging market during turbulent episodes in the former (Table 7). Even though conditional correlations for the whole sample period are higher during these episodes in most EMEs, the increase is statistically significant in only seven countries, five of which are in emerging Europe (Czech Republic, Israel, Latvia, Poland, and Romania). A comparison of the three sub-samples suggests that increases in conditional correlations during turbulences in mature markets have become more common (but are still fairly rare) in the most recent period, were rare during 2000-03, and completely absent during 1996-99.<sup>17</sup>

#### Insert Table 7 about here

#### 5. Conclusions

The main objective of this study was to examine volatility spillovers, that is, causality in variance, running from mature to emerging stock markets—a relatively under-researched topic in the vast literature on financial market spillovers and contagion. We estimated trivariate GARCH-BEKK models covering returns in local emerging markets, regional

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> These results are at variance with the findings of Forbes and Rigobon (2002), who argue that conditional correlations tend to rise during crisis episodes simply on account of the rise in volatility in the crisis country. However, they are consistent with the analysis of Bartram and Wang (2005), who show that when volatility rises in the crisis *and* the non-crisis country, conditional correlations between the two markets do not necessarily increase during crisis episodes.

emerging markets, and mature markets for each of the 41 EME in our country sample, and applied LR tests to examine the presence of such spillovers. As we were particularly interested in the question of whether spillover parameters change during episodes of turbulence in mature markets, we included a dummy variable in the country models to capture possible "shift" contagion in second moments.

The results presented in this paper are a "first cut" and further analyses are no doubt needed to explore the linkages between mature and emerging stock markets during turbulences in the former. Nonetheless, our analysis provides a number of interesting insights. In particular, it suggests that spillovers from mature markets do influence the dynamics of conditional variances of returns in many local and regional emerging stock markets. Moreover, it indicates that the spillover parameters change during turbulent episodes in mature markets. We reject the null hypothesis of no spillovers or contagion for some three quarters of the EMEs analyzed, and we reject the null of no shift in the spillover parameters for most of these countries. Indeed, in a number of EMEs, spillovers from mature markets appear to be present only during turbulent episodes in these markets.

Whether a rise in mature market volatility increases or decreases volatility in local emerging markets depends on the state of the system at the time of the shock, that is, the impulse response varies over time. Given the difficulty of "aggregating" these time-variant impulse response functions, we compared the conditional variances in local emerging stock markets during turbulent and non-turbulent periods in mature markets to gain insight into the behavior of volatility in local emerging stock markets during these episodes. These comparisons suggest that in most EMEs local market volatility tended to be higher during turbulent episodes in mature markets, though the rise is not always statistically significant.

Finally, broadly in line with the evidence on conditional correlations across emerging markets during past emerging market crises, we find only limited evidence of shifts in conditional correlations between mature and emerging stock markets during episodes of turbulence in the former. Statistically significant increases in conditional correlations are largely confined to emerging Europe and the most recent sub-period of our sample.

#### References

Bae, K.-H., Karolyi, G.A.,1994. Good news, bad news and international spillovers of stock returns between Japan and the US. Pacific-Basin Finance Journal 2, 405-438.

Bartram, S.M., Wang, Y.H., 2005. Another look at the relationship between cross-market correlation and volatility. Finance Research Letters 2 (2), 75-88.

Bekaert, G., Harvey, C.R., 1995. Time-varying world market integration. Journal of Finance 50 (2), 403-444.

Bekaert, G., Harvey, C.R., 1997. Emerging equity market volatility. Journal of Financial Economics 43, 29-77.

Bekaert, G., Harvey, C.R., 2000. Foreign speculators and emerging equity markets. Journal of Finance 55 (2), 565-613.

Bekaert, G., Harvey, C.R., Ng, A., 2005. Market integration and contagion. Journal of Business 78 (1), 39-69.

Boyer, B.H., Gibson, M.S., Loretan, M., 1999. Pitfalls in tests for changes in correlations. International Finance Discussion Paper no. 597, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington DC.

Bollerslev, T., Wooldridge, J.M., 1992. Quasi-maximum likelihood estimation and inference in dynamic models with time-varying covariances. Econometric Reviews 11 (2),143-172.

Caporale, G.M, Cipollini, A., Spagnolo, N., 2005. Testing for contagion: a conditional correlation analysis. Journal of Empirical Finance 12, 476-489.

Caporale, G.M., Pittis, N., Spagnolo, N., 2006. Volatility transmission and financial crises. Journal of Economics and Finance 30 (3), pp.376-390.

Chiang, T.C., Jeon, B.N., Li, H., 2007. Dynamic correlation analysis of financial contagion: evidence from Asian markets. Journal of International Money and Finance (26), 1206-1228.

Claessens, S., Dornbusch, R., Park, Y.S., 2001. Contagion: why crises spread and how this can be stopped. In: Claessens, S., Forbes, K. (Eds), International financial contagion. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 19-41.

Corsetti, G., Pericoli, M., Sbracia, M., 2005. Some contagion, some interdependence: more pitfalls in tests of financial contagion. Journal of International Money and Finance 24, 1177-1199.

Dungey, M., Fry, R., González-Hermosillo, B., Martin, V., 2002. International contagion from the Russian crisis and the LTCM collapse. IMF Working Paper 02/74, International Monetary Fund, Washington DC.

Dungey, M., Fry, R., González-Hermosillo, B., Martin, V., 2003. Unanticipated shocks and systemic influences: the impact of contagion in global equity markets in 1998. IMF Working Paper 03/84, International Monetary Fund, Washington DC.

Dungey, M., Fry, R., González-Hermosillo, B., Martin, V., 2004. Empirical modeling of contagion: a review of methodologies. IMF Working Paper 04/78, International Monetary Fund, Washington DC.

Dungey, M., Martin, V., 2000. Measuring contagion in the East-Asian currency crisis. Australian National University Working Paper.

Edwards, S., 1998. Interest rate volatility, capital controls, and contagion. NBER Working Paper 6756, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge MA.

Engle, R.F., Kroner, K.F., 1995. Multivariate simultaneous generalized ARCH. Econometric Theory 11 (1), 122-50.

Engle, R.F., Ng, V.K., 1993. Measuring and testing the impact of news on volatility. Journal of Finance 48 (5), 1749-1778.

Favero, C. A., Giavazzi, F., 2002. Is the international propagation of financial shocks non-linear? Evidence from the ERM. Journal of International Economics 57, 231-246.

Forbes, K., Rigobon, R., 2001. Measuring contagion: conceptual and empirical issues. In: Claessens, S., Forbes, K. (Eds), International financial contagion. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 43-66.

Forbes, K., Rigobon, R., 2002. No contagion, only interdependence: measuring stock market co-movements. Journal of Finance 57 (5), 2223-2261.

Fratzscher, M., 2002. Financial market integration in Europe: on the effects of EMU on stock markets. International Journal of Finance and Economics 7, 165-193.

Hamao, Y., Masulis, R.W., Ng, V., 1990. Correlations in price changes and volatility across international stock markets. Review of Financial Studies 3 (2), 281-307.

Kaminsky, G., Reinhart, C.M., 2000. On crises, contagion, and confusion. Journal of International Economics 51 (1), 145-168.

Kaminsky, G., Reinhart, C.M., Végh, C.A., 2003. The unholy trinity of financial contagion. Journal of Economic Perspectives 17 (4), 51-74.

Karolyi, G.A., 2003. Does international financial contagion really exist. International Finance 6 (2), 179-199.

King, M., Wadhwani, S., 1990. Transmission of volatility between stock markets. Review of Financial Studies 3 (1), 5-33.

King, M., Sentana, E., Wadhwani, S., 1994. Volatility and links between national stock markets. Econometrica 62, 901-933.

Li, Xiao-Ming, Rose, L.C., 2008. Market integration and extreme co-movements in APEC emerging equity markets. Applied Financial Economics 18 (2), 99-113.

Longin, F., Solnik, B., 1995. Is the correlation in international equity returns constant: 1960-1990? Journal of International Money and Finance 14 (1),3-26.

Masson, P., 1999. Contagion: monsoonal effects, spillovers, and jumps between multiple equilibria. In: Agenor, P.R., Miller, M., Vines, D., Weber, A. (Eds), The Asian financial crisis: causes, contagion and consequences. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

Ng, A., 2000. Volatility spillover effects from Japan and the US to the Pacific Basin. Journal of International Money and Finance 19, 207-233.

Pericoli, M., Sbracia, M., 2003. A primer on financial contagion. Journal of Economic Surveys17 (4), 571-608.

Pritsker, M., 2001. The channels for financial contagion. In: Claessens, S., Forbes, K. (Eds), International financial contagion. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 67-95.

Rigobon, R. 2003. On the measurement of the international propagation of shocks: is the transmission mechanism stable? Journal of International Economics 61, 262-283.

Serwa, D., Bohl, M.T., 2005. Financial contagion, vulnerability and resistance. Economic Systems 29, 344-362.

Table 1
Data sample and key descriptive statistics 1/

| Data sample and key descri   |               |             |          |          |          |          |
|------------------------------|---------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                              | Start date 2/ | End date 2/ | Mean     | SD       | Skewness | Kurtosis |
| Emerging Asia                |               |             |          |          |          |          |
| China                        | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00197  | 0.04521  | 0.90951  | 12.09253 |
| Hong Kong SAR                | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00154  | 0.03432  | -0.49886 | 1.59793  |
| India                        | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00254  | 0.03836  | -0.48152 | 1.87077  |
| Indonesia                    | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00245  | 0.03619  | -0.17987 | 2.02423  |
| Korea                        | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00113  | 0.04199  | -0.16732 | 1.76262  |
| Malaysia                     | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00056  | 0.03569  | 0.41612  | 8.98972  |
| Pakistan                     | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00321  | 0.03963  | -0.46194 | 2.24704  |
| Philippines                  | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00067  | 0.03645  | 0.06479  | 1.55645  |
| Singapore                    | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00112  | 0.02983  | 0.01252  | 3.26267  |
| Sri Lanka                    | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00163  | 0.03249  | -0.23040 | 5.06578  |
| Taiwan                       | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00099  | 0.03508  | -0.10300 | 1.14058  |
| Thailand                     | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | -0.00019 | 0.04026  | 0.15891  | 1.46869  |
| Latin America                |               |             |          |          |          |          |
| Argentina                    | 3-Jan-96      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00223  | 0.04843  | -0.38497 | 3.21804  |
| Brazil                       | 3-Jan-96      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00417  | 0.04713  | -0.52527 | 8.03884  |
| Chile                        | 3-Jan-96      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00131  | 0.01966  | -0.21493 | 2.22802  |
| Colombia                     | 3-Jan-96      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00242  | 0.02854  | -0.52019 | 4.95411  |
| Ecuador                      | 3-Jan-96      | 12-Mar-08   | -0.00089 | 0.03558  | 0.49708  | 19.75958 |
| Mexico                       | 3-Jan-96      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00368  | 0.03472  | -0.10979 | 1.78981  |
| Peru                         | 3-Jan-96      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00417  | 0.03181  | -0.42330 | 4.52347  |
| Venezuela                    | 3-Jan-96      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00449  | 0.04656  | 0.75198  | 7.05673  |
| Emerging Europe              |               |             |          |          |          |          |
| Bulgaria                     | 1-Nov-00      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00667  | 0.03818  | 0.12418  | 5.46190  |
| Croatia                      | 15-Jan-97     | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00274  | 0.03727  | -0.41246 | 5.74537  |
| Czech Republic               | 12-Jun-96     | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00169  | 0.03053  | -0.54101 | 1.48161  |
| Estonia                      | 12-Jun-96     | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00308  | 0.04394  | -0.50995 | 7.71378  |
| Hungary                      | 12-Jun-96     | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00331  | 0.03743  | -0.53996 | 2.74571  |
| Israel                       | 12-Jun-96     | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00253  | 0.02913  | -0.22223 | 1.32490  |
| Latvia                       | 12-Jun-96     | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00199  | 0.05153  | -2.29692 | 30.33932 |
| Poland                       | 12-Jun-96     | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00220  | 0.03373  | -0.31542 | 1.68584  |
| Romania                      | 1-Oct-97      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00379  | 0.04630  | -0.30521 | 5.36750  |
| Russia                       | 12-Jun-96     | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00758  | 0.07135  | 0.04749  | 4.83145  |
| Slovakia                     | 12-Jun-96     | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00123  | 0.02799  | 0.22430  | 3.22648  |
| Slovenia                     | 12-Jun-96     | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00312  | 0.02590  | 0.29134  | 8.00201  |
| South Africa                 | 12-Jun-96     | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00694  | 0.06526  | -0.25816 | 2.75724  |
| Turkey                       | 12-Jun-96     | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00261  | 0.02805  | -0.81123 | 3.45984  |
| Middle East and North Africa |               | 12.14 00    | 0.00410  | 0.02625  | 0.06100  | 1.70/20  |
| Egypt                        | 31-Jan-96     | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00418  | 0.03625  | 0.06108  | 1.79620  |
| Jordan                       | 31-Jan-96     | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00272  | 0.02117  | 0.33736  | 2.19251  |
| Kuwait                       | 31-Jan-96     | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00303  | 0.01852  | -0.33012 | 1.56552  |
| Lebanon                      | 31-Jan-96     | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00058  | 0.03052  | 0.52233  | 4.50099  |
| Morocco                      | 31-Jan-96     | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00274  | 0.02016  | 0.02952  | 3.12903  |
| Saudi Arabia                 | 7-Jan-98      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00287  | 0.03313  | -1.99019 | 13.48295 |
| Tunisia                      | 7-Jan-98      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00183  | 0.01320  | 1.40272  | 6.87344  |
| Mature markets               |               | 12.17       | 0.00102  | 0.000.40 | 0.10562  | 2 52001  |
| France                       | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00102  | 0.02942  | -0.19563 | 3.52991  |
| Germany                      | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00163  | 0.03160  | -0.59749 | 3.79634  |
| Italy                        | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00115  | 0.02856  | -0.41960 | 1.69395  |
| Japan                        | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | -0.00062 | 0.02871  | -0.04370 | 1.02551  |
| UK                           | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00083  | 0.02255  | -0.00717 | 3.60290  |
| US                           | 1-Sep-93      | 12-Mar-08   | 0.00138  | 0.02140  | -0.16522 | 2.05805  |

<sup>1/</sup> All stock market indices are from Datastream. 2/ Week ending.

Table 2
Episodes of turbulence in mature stock markets (week ending)

| 1998   1995   1996   1997   1998   1999   2000   2001   2002   2003   2004   2005   2006   2007   2008   2008   2007   2008      |      |        |      |        |        |        | ,      |      | ٠,     |        |        |      |      |        |        |        |
|--|------|--------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|--------|------|------|--------|--------|--------|
| 13-Apr   20-Mar   5-Nov   26-Aug   10-Feb   4-Apr   24-Jul   5-Feb   14-Jun   25-Jul   23-Jan   27-Mar   12-Nov   2-Sep   11-Apr   31-Jul   12-Feb   21-Jun   1-Aug   30-Jan   3-Apr   19-Nov   9-Sep   12-Sep   7-Aug   19-Feb   19-Jul   8-Aug   6-Feb   10-Apr   26-Nov   16-Sep   19-Sep   14-Aug   26-Feb   15-Aug   13-Feb   17-Jul   24-Dec   23-Sep   26-Sep   28-Aug   5-Mar   22-Aug   12-Mar   24-Jul   30-Sep   3-Oct   4-Sep   12-Mar   29-Aug   31-Jul   7-Oct   10-Oct   11-Sep   19-Mar   5-Sep   12-Sep   14-Oct   17-Oct   18-Sep   12-Sep   12-Sep   28-Oct   31-Oct   24-Oct   25-Sep   19-Sep   28-Oct   31-Oct   20-Oct   24-Oct   24-Oct   24-Oct   23-Oct   31-Oct    | 1993 | 1994   | 1995 | 1996   | 1997   | 1998   | 1999   | 2000 | 2001   | 2002   | 2003   | 2004 | 2005 | 2006   | 2007   | 2008   |
| 27-Mar 12-Nov 2-Sep 11-Apr 31-Jul 12-Feb 21-Jun 1-Aug 30-Jan 3-Apr 19-Nov 9-Sep 12-Sep 7-Aug 19-Feb 19-Jul 8-Aug 6-Feb 10-Apr 26-Nov 16-Sep 19-Sep 14-Aug 26-Feb 15-Aug 13-Feb 17-Jul 24-Dec 23-Sep 26-Sep 28-Aug 5-Mar 22-Aug 12-Mar 24-Jul 30-Sep 3-Oct 4-Sep 12-Mar 29-Aug 31-Jul 7-Oct 10-Oct 11-Sep 19-Mar 5-Sep 12-Sep 14-Oct 17-Oct 18-Sep 12-Sep 12-Sep 28-Oct 31-Oct 24-Oct 25-Sep 19-Sep 28-Oct 31-Oct 2-Oct 24-Oct 26-Sep 7-Nov 9-Oct 24-Oct 23-Oct 31-Oct 16-Oct 11-Sep 19-Mar 31-Oct 21-Nov 16-Nov 21-Nov 5-Dec   |      | 6-Apr  |      | 13-Mar | 29-Oct | 19-Aug | 27-Jan |      | 21-Mar | 17-Jul | 29-Jan |      |      | 24-May | 7-Mar  | 9-Jan  |
| 3-Apr 19-Nov 9-Sep 12-Sep 7-Aug 19-Feb 19-Jul 8-Aug 6-Feb 10-Apr 26-Nov 16-Sep 19-Sep 14-Aug 26-Feb 15-Aug 13-Feb 17-Jul 24-Dec 23-Sep 26-Sep 28-Aug 5-Mar 22-Aug 12-Mar 24-Jul 30-Sep 3-Oct 4-Sep 12-Mar 29-Aug 31-Jul 7-Oct 10-Oct 11-Sep 19-Mar 5-Sep 12-Sep 14-Oct 17-Oct 18-Sep 19-Mar 5-Sep 12-Sep 19-Sep 28-Oct 31-Oct 24-Oct 25-Sep 19-Sep 28-Oct 31-Oct 2-Oct 26-Sep 7-Nov 9-Oct 24-Oct 31-Oct 23-Oct 31-Oct 31-Oct 23-Oct 31-Oct 23-Oct 31-Oct 23-Oct 31-Oct 23-Oct 31-Oct 23-Oct 31-Oct 31 |      | 13-Apr |      | 20-Mar | 5-Nov  | 26-Aug | 10-Feb |      | 4-Apr  | 24-Jul | 5-Feb  |      |      | 14-Jun | 25-Jul | 23-Jan |
| 10-Apr   26-Nov   16-Sep   19-Sep   14-Aug   26-Feb   15-Aug   13-Feb   17-Jul   24-Dec   23-Sep   26-Sep   28-Aug   5-Mar   22-Aug   12-Mar   24-Jul   30-Sep   3-Oct   4-Sep   12-Mar   29-Aug   31-Jul   7-Oct   10-Oct   11-Sep   19-Mar   5-Sep   12-Sep   |      |        |      | 27-Mar | 12-Nov | 2-Sep  |        |      | 11-Apr | 31-Jul | 12-Feb |      |      | 21-Jun | 1-Aug  | 30-Jan |
| 17-Jul   24-Dec   23-Sep   26-Sep   28-Aug   5-Mar   22-Aug   12-Mar   24-Jul   30-Sep   3-Oct   4-Sep   12-Mar   29-Aug   31-Jul   7-Oct   10-Oct   11-Sep   19-Mar   5-Sep   12-Sep   |      |        |      | 3-Apr  | 19-Nov | 9-Sep  |        |      | 12-Sep | 7-Aug  | 19-Feb |      |      | 19-Jul | 8-Aug  | 6-Feb  |
| 24-Jul 30-Sep 3-Oct 4-Sep 12-Mar 29-Aug 31-Jul 7-Oct 10-Oct 11-Sep 19-Mar 5-Sep 14-Oct 17-Oct 18-Sep 12-Sep 21-Oct 24-Oct 25-Sep 19-Sep 28-Oct 31-Oct 2-Oct 24-Oct 24-Oct 24-Oct 23-Oct 31-Oct 23-Oct 31-Oct 23-Oct 7-Nov 30-Oct 14-Nov 6-Nov 21-Nov 13-Nov 5-Dec  |      |        |      | 10-Apr | 26-Nov | 16-Sep |        |      | 19-Sep | 14-Aug | 26-Feb |      |      |        | 15-Aug | 13-Feb |
| 31-Jul 7-Oct 10-Oct 11-Sep 19-Mar 5-Sep 14-Oct 17-Oct 18-Sep 12-Sep 12-Sep 21-Oct 24-Oct 25-Sep 19-Sep 28-Oct 31-Oct 2-Oct 24-Oct 24-Oct 25-Sep 19-Sep 24-Oct 24-Oct 24-Oct 31-Oct 23-Oct 31-Oct 7-Nov 30-Oct 14-Nov 6-Nov 21-Nov 13-Nov 5-Dec   |      |        |      | 17-Jul | 24-Dec | 23-Sep |        |      | 26-Sep | 28-Aug | 5-Mar  |      |      |        | 22-Aug | 12-Mar |
| 14-Oct 17-Oct 18-Sep 12-Sep 12-Sep 21-Oct 24-Oct 25-Sep 19-Sep 28-Oct 31-Oct 2-Oct 26-Sep 7-Nov 9-Oct 24-Oct 31-Oct 23-Oct 7-Nov 30-Oct 14-Nov 6-Nov 21-Nov 13-Nov 5-Dec   |      |        |      | 24-Jul |        | 30-Sep |        |      | 3-Oct  | 4-Sep  | 12-Mar |      |      |        | 29-Aug |        |
| 21-Oct 24-Oct 25-Sep 19-Sep 28-Oct 31-Oct 2-Oct 26-Sep 7-Nov 9-Oct 24-Oct 16-Oct 31-Oct 23-Oct 7-Nov 30-Oct 14-Nov 6-Nov 21-Nov 13-Nov 5-Dec   |      |        |      | 31-Jul |        | 7-Oct  |        |      | 10-Oct | 11-Sep | 19-Mar |      |      |        | 5-Sep  |        |
| 28-Oct 31-Oct 2-Oct 26-Sep 7-Nov 9-Oct 24-Oct 16-Oct 31-Oct 23-Oct 7-Nov 30-Oct 14-Nov 6-Nov 21-Nov 13-Nov 5-Dec   |      |        |      |        |        | 14-Oct |        |      | 17-Oct | 18-Sep |        |      |      |        | 12-Sep |        |
| 7-Nov 9-Oct 24-Oct 16-Oct 31-Oct 23-Oct 7-Nov 30-Oct 14-Nov 6-Nov 21-Nov 13-Nov 28-Nov 5-Dec   |      |        |      |        |        | 21-Oct |        |      | 24-Oct | 25-Sep |        |      |      |        | 19-Sep |        |
| 16-Oct 31-Oct 23-Oct 7-Nov 30-Oct 14-Nov 6-Nov 21-Nov 13-Nov 28-Nov 5-Dec  |      |        |      |        |        | 28-Oct |        |      | 31-Oct | 2-Oct  |        |      |      |        | 26-Sep |        |
| 23-Oct 7-Nov 30-Oct 14-Nov 6-Nov 21-Nov 13-Nov 28-Nov 5-Dec  |      |        |      |        |        |        |        |      | 7-Nov  | 9-Oct  |        |      |      |        | 24-Oct |        |
| 30-Oct 14-Nov<br>6-Nov 21-Nov<br>13-Nov 28-Nov<br>5-Dec  |      |        |      |        |        |        |        |      |        | 16-Oct |        |      |      |        | 31-Oct |        |
| 6-Nov 21-Nov<br>13-Nov 28-Nov<br>5-Dec   |      |        |      |        |        |        |        |      |        | 23-Oct |        |      |      |        | 7-Nov  |        |
| 13-Nov 28-Nov 5-Dec  |      |        |      |        |        |        |        |      |        | 30-Oct |        |      |      |        | 14-Nov |        |
| 5-Dec  |      |        |      |        |        |        |        |      |        | 6-Nov  |        |      |      |        | 21-Nov |        |
|  |      |        |      |        |        |        |        |      |        | 13-Nov |        |      |      |        | 28-Nov |        |
| 19-Dec   |      |        |      |        |        |        |        |      |        |        |        |      |      |        | 5-Dec  |        |
|  |      |        |      |        |        |        |        |      |        |        |        |      |      |        | 19-Dec |        |

Table 3
Parameter estimates for mean equations and LB test statistics

|                       |              | Loca         | ıl markets   |             |                 |              | Regional     | markets            |                 |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------------|
|                       | $\beta_{11}$ | $\beta_{12}$ | $\beta_{13}$ | $LB_{(10)}$ | $LB^{2}_{(10)}$ | $\beta_{22}$ | $\beta_{23}$ | LB <sub>(10)</sub> | $LB^{2}_{(10)}$ |
| Emerging Asia         |              |              |              |             |                 |              |              |                    |                 |
| China                 | 0.081 ***    | 0.024        | 0.096 ***    | 12.70       | 7.75            | 0.052        | 0.126 *      | 12.70              | 7.75            |
| Hong Kong             | -0.028       | -0.041       | 0.115 *      | 10.64       | 7.89            | 0.055 ***    | 0.175 *      | 10.64              | 7.89            |
| India                 | 0.020        | 0.053        | 0.215 *      | 17.87 ***   | 3.84            | 0.072 ***    | 0.133 ***    | 17.87 ***          | 3.84            |
| Indonesia             | 0.020        | -0.017       | 0.303 *      | 21.76 ***   | 7.85            | 0.090 *      | 0.123 *      | 21.76 ***          | 7.85            |
| Korea                 | -0.058       | 0.019        | 0.211 *      | 13.63       | 10.15           | 0.032        | 0.163 *      | 13.63              | 10.15           |
| Malaysia              | -0.022       | 0.054        | 0.122 **     | 12.77       | 7.70            | 0.067 ***    | 0.154 *      | 12.77              | 7.70            |
| Pakistan              | 0.136 *      | 0.075        | 0.157 *      | 16.73 ***   | 15.13           | 0.091 **     | 0.135 *      | 16.73 ***          | 15.13           |
| Philippines           | -0.026       | 0.046        | 0.257 *      | 9.20        | 10.62           | 0.074 **     | 0.142 ***    | 9.20               | 10.62           |
| Singapore             | -0.008       | 0.008        | 0.218 *      | 8.09        | 12.42           | 0.060 ***    | 0.151 *      | 8.09               | 12.42           |
| Sri-Lanka             | 0.232 *      | 0.039        | 0.023        | 4.59        | 9.44            | 0.088 **     | 0.141 *      | 4.59               | 9.44            |
| Taiwan                | 0.012        | 0.024        | 0.137 **     | 7.81        | 15.58           | 0.029        | 0.137 *      | 7.81               | 15.58           |
| Thailand              | 0.045        | -0.027       | 0.199 *      | 8.58        | 5.58            | 0.068 ***    | 0.139 *      | 8.58               | 5.58            |
| Latin America         |              |              |              |             |                 |              |              |                    |                 |
| Argentina             | 0.008        | 0.090        | -0.047       | 10.05       | 7.65            | -0.041       | 0.116 **     | 10.05              | 7.65            |
| Brazil                | -0.115 *     | 0.037        | 0.201 **     | 12.92       | 5.20            | 0.077 **     | -0.050       | 12.92              | 5.20            |
| Chile                 | 0.155 *      | 0.074 *      | -0.055       | 11.08       | 16.02           | -0.071 ***   | 0.151 *      | 11.08              | 16.02           |
| Colombia              | 0.160 *      | 0.068 ***    | -0.019       | 8.40        | 5.15            | -0.019       | 0.078        | 8.40               | 5.15            |
| Ecuador               | 0.133 **     | 0.061        | -0.114       | 12.42       | 7.97            | -0.014       | 0.051        | 12.42              | 7.97            |
| Mexico                | -0.028       | 0.022        | -0.069       | 4.75        | 19.75           | -0.016       | 0.074        | 4.75               | 19.75           |
| Peru                  | 0.131 *      | 0.091 **     | -0.010       | 15.82       | 4.91            | -0.050       | -0.020       | 15.82              | 4.91            |
| Venezuela             | 0.123        | 0.108 **     | -0.119       | 13.41       | 3.76            | -0.048       | 0.105 ***    | 13.41              | 3.76            |
| Emerging Europe       |              |              |              |             |                 |              |              |                    |                 |
| Bulgaria              | 0.151 *      | 0.141 **     | -0.195 **    | 5.20        | 10.63           | 0.002        | 0.127 *      | 5.20               | 10.63           |
| Croatia               | 0.010        | 0.082 **     | 0.225 *      | 7.54        | 7.44            | 0.004        | 0.157 *      | 7.54               | 7.44            |
| Czech Republic        | -0.039       | 0.054        | 0.026        | 20.60 **    | 4.81            | 0.031        | 0.101 **     | 20.60 **           | 4.81            |
| Estonia               | 0.092 **     | 0.136 *      | 0.080        | 6.91        | 14.56           | 0.015        | 0.150 *      | 6.91               | 14.56           |
| Hungary               | -0.069 **    | 0.089 **     | 0.174 *      | 12.42       | 11.41           | 0.013        | 0.119 **     | 12.42              | 11.41           |
| Israel                | -0.074 ***   | 0.035        | 0.162 *      | 10.77       | 5.62            | 0.085 **     | 0.134 **     | 10.77              | 5.62            |
| Latvia                | 0.095 **     | 0.216 *      | 0.071        | 9.17        | 4.06            | 0.019        | 0.157 *      | 9.17               | 4.06            |
| Poland                | -0.074 ***   | 0.064        | 0.135 ***    | 10.05       | 7.04            | 0.030        | 0.136 **     | 10.05              | 7.04            |
| Romania               | 0.104 **     | 0.147 *      | -0.007       | 6.31        | 10.79           | 0.005        | 0.103 *      | 6.31               | 10.79           |
| Russia                | -0.001       | 0.071        | 0.116        | 8.00        | 6.83            | 0.019        | 0.149 *      | 8.00               | 6.83            |
| Slovakia              | 0.096 **     | 0.014        | -0.038       | 11.17       | 5.24            | 0.042        | 0.105 **     | 11.17              | 5.24            |
| Slovenia              | 0.059        | 0.031        | 0.075 ***    | 13.04       | 10.56           | 0.034        | 0.094 ***    | 13.04              | 10.56           |
| South Africa          | -0.049       | 0.004        | 0.019        | 9.47        | 1.62            | 0.016        | 0.144 **     | 9.47               | 1.62            |
| Turkey                | -0.132 *     | 0.127        | 0.253 **     | 15.73       | 13.61           | 0.011        | 0.088 **     | 15.73              | 13.61           |
| Middle East and North |              | 0.127        | 0.233        | 15.75       | 13.01           | 0.011        | 0.000        | 15.75              | 13.01           |
| Egypt                 | 0.079 **     | 0.071        | 0.164 **     | 6.33        | 11.84           | 0.279 **     | 0.038        | 6.33               | 11.84           |
| Jordan                | 0.124 **     | 0.060        | 0.009        | 10.37       | 13.80           | 0.198 *      | 0.056        | 10.37              | 13.80           |
| Kuwait                | 0.147 *      | 0.111 *      | 0.012        | 17.60 ***   | 7.98            | 0.222 *      | 0.048        | 17.60 ***          | 7.98            |
| Lebanon               | -0.103 ***   | 0.116 **     | 0.038        | 15.55       | 5.03            | 0.214 *      | 0.050 **     | 15.55              | 5.03            |
| Morocco               | 0.259 *      | 0.029        | 0.038        | 11.95       | 8.63            | 0.217 *      | 0.050        | 11.95              | 8.63            |
| Saudi Arabia          | 0.209 *      | -0.013       | 0.077 **     | 6.66        | 17.93 ***       | 0.217        | 0.032        | 6.66               | 17.93 ***       |
| Tunisia               | 0.101 ***    | 0.006        | 0.077        | 16.79 ***   | 5.64            | 0.130        | 0.092        | 16.79 ***          | 5.64            |

Notes: \*, \*\*\*, and \*\*\*\* denote significance at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels. Standard errors (not reported) are calculated using the quasi-ML method of Bollerslev and Wooldridge (1992), which is robust to the distribution of the underlying residuals. LB(10) and LB2(10) indicate the Ljung-Box autocorrelations test for ten lags in the standardized and standardized squared residuals; \*, \*\* and \*\*\* denote rejection of the null of no autocorrelation at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels. A residual vector  $u_t$  with a t-student distribution has also been considered. The results (not reported) are qualitatively similar. The full set of results is available upon request.

Table 4.1
Parameter estimates for variance-covariance equations: Emerging Asia and Latin America

|               |                 |                 |                 |                 | Local r         | narkets          |                    |                 |                  |                    |                 |                  | Regional           | l markets       |                  |                                    |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------------------------|
|               | a <sub>11</sub> | g <sub>11</sub> | a <sub>21</sub> | g <sub>21</sub> | a <sub>31</sub> | a <sub>31d</sub> | $a_{31} + a_{31d}$ | g <sub>31</sub> | g <sub>31d</sub> | $g_{31} + g_{31d}$ | a <sub>32</sub> | a <sub>32d</sub> | $a_{32} + a_{32d}$ | g <sub>32</sub> | g <sub>32d</sub> | g <sub>32</sub> + g <sub>32d</sub> |
| Emerging Asia |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                  |                    |                 |                  |                    |                 |                  |                    |                 |                  |                                    |
| China         | 0.275 *         | 0.953 *         | 0.002           | -0.002          | 0.006           | -0.049           | -0.043             | 0.010           | -0.026           | -0.016             | -0.199 **       | 0.934 **         | 0.735              | 0.268 **        | -0.043           | 0.225                              |
| Hong Kong     | 0.250 *         | 0.967 *         | -0.136 **       | 0.062 ***       | 0.014           | -0.140 *         | -0.126             | -0.008          | 0.086 *          | 0.078              | -0.048 ***      | 0.134            | 0.086              | 0.045           | -0.104           | -0.059                             |
| India         | 0.319 *         | 0.922 *         | 0.019           | -0.007          | 0.047           | -0.049           | -0.002             | -0.016          | -0.003           | -0.019             | -0.025          | 0.188 **         | 0.163              | 0.013           | -0.031           | -0.018                             |
| Indonesia     | 0.223 *         | 0.961 *         | 0.067 *         | -0.027 **       | 0.006           | 0.069            | 0.075              | -0.009          | -0.023           | -0.032             | -0.019          | -0.129 **        | -0.148             | 0.035 *         | 0.065 *          | 0.100                              |
| Korea         | 0.268 *         | 0.957 *         | -0.025          | 0.008           | 0.072 *         | -0.189 *         | -0.117             | -0.019 **       | 0.051 **         | 0.032              | -0.035          | 0.092            | 0.057              | 0.023           | 0.012            | 0.035                              |
| Malaysia      | 0.328 *         | 0.948 *         | 0.054 ***       | -0.013          | 0.022           | -0.062           | -0.040             | -0.007          | 0.029            | 0.022              | -0.019          | -0.053           | -0.072             | 0.028 *         | 0.039            | 0.067                              |
| Pakistan      | 0.405 *         | 0.807 *         | 0.009           | -0.025          | -0.015          | -0.055 **        | -0.070             | 0.000           | 0.015            | 0.015              | 0.055           | 0.095 ***        | 0.150              | -0.023 **       | -0.014           | -0.037                             |
| Philippines   | 0.165 *         | 0.976 *         | 0.035           | -0.018          | -0.025          | 0.089            | 0.064              | 0.004           | -0.015           | -0.011             | 0.000           | -0.122           | -0.122             | -0.008          | 0.068 ***        | 0.060                              |
| Singapore     | 0.319 *         | 0.942 *         | -0.032          | 0.024           | 0.075 *         | -0.080           | -0.005             | -0.032 **       | 0.064 *          | 0.032              | -0.015          | -0.051           | -0.066             | 0.030 *         | 0.001            | 0.031                              |
| Sri-Lanka     | 0.412 *         | 0.898 *         | 0.025           | -0.009          | 0.002           | -0.147 *         | -0.145             | -0.003          | 0.069 *          | 0.066              | 0.059           | -0.060           | -0.001             | -0.026          | 0.043 ***        | 0.017                              |
| Taiwan        | 0.293 *         | 0.933 *         | -0.057          | 0.027 *         | -0.099 *        | 0.060            | -0.039             | 0.134 *         | 0.128 **         | 0.262              | -0.037          | -0.244           | -0.281             | 0.111 ***       | 0.197 ***        | 0.308                              |
| Thailand      | 0.191 *         | 0.978 *         | -0.018          | 0.015 ***       | -0.021          | -0.184 **        | -0.205             | 0.013 ***       | 0.033 ***        | 0.046              | 0.037           | 0.225 **         | 0.262              | -0.024          | -0.011           | -0.035                             |
| Latin America |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                  |                    |                 |                  |                    |                 |                  |                    |                 |                  |                                    |
| Argentina     | 0.245 *         | 0.955 *         | 0.007           | -0.014          | 0.025           | -0.127 *         | -0.102             | -0.014 **       | 0.021 ***        | 0.007              | 0.001           | 0.127 **         | 0.128              | -0.02 ***       | 0.021 ***        | 0.004                              |
| Brazil        | 0.377 *         | 0.881 *         | 0.013           | 0.020           | 0.010           | -0.051           | -0.041             | 0.014           | 0.039 ***        | 0.053              | 0.036           | 0.035            | 0.071              | -0.04 **        | -0.01            | -0.050                             |
| Chile         | 0.332 *         | 0.918 *         | -0.058          | 0.033           | -0.076          | 0.276 *          | 0.200              | 0.015           | -0.078 *         | -0.063             | 0.073 *         | -0.13 *          | -0.060             | -0.02 ***       | 0.052 *          | 0.033                              |
| Colombia      | 0.498 *         | 0.578 *         | 0.076 **        | -0.160 *        | 0.014           | -0.006           | 0.008              | -0.066 **       | 0.038 ***        | -0.028             | 0.051           | -0.04            | 0.016              | -0.02 ***       | 0.022            | 0.007                              |
| Ecuador       | 0.775 *         | 0.791 *         | 0.003           | -0.001          | 0.059           | 0.742 *          | 0.801              | -0.031          | -0.323           | -0.354             | -0.18 **        | 0.512 *          | 0.328              | 0.352 *         | -0.01            | 0.346                              |
| Mexico        | 0.402 *         | 0.714 *         | -0.156 **       | -0.165          | -0.029          | 0.138            | 0.109              | 0.032           | 0.039            | 0.071              | -0.02           | -0.13            | -0.157             | 0.015           | 0.043            | 0.058                              |
| Peru          | 0.322 *         | 0.931 *         | 0.002           | 0.021           | -0.003          | -0.040 ***       | -0.043             | 0.011           | 0.026 **         | 0.037              | 0.059 **        | -0.02            | 0.040              | -0.02           | 0.007            | -0.017                             |
| Venezuela     | 0.633 *         | 0.631 *         | 0.031           | -0.027          | -0.001          | 0.024            | 0.023              | -0.009          | 0.007            | -0.002             | 0.022           | -0.04            | -0.017             | -0.02 ***       | 0.034            | 0.015                              |

Notes: \*, \*\*\*, and \*\*\* denote significance at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels respectively. Standard errors (S.E.) are calculated using the quasi-maximum likelihood method of Bollerslev and Wooldridge (1992), which is robust to the distribution of the underlying residuals. The covariance stationarity condition is satisfied for the models, with all eigenvalues of  $A \otimes A + G \otimes G$  less than one in modulus.

Table 4.2
Parameter estimates for variance-covariance equations: Emerging Europe and Middle East

|                     |                 |                 |                 |                 | Local n         | narkets          |                                    |                 |                  |                    |                 |                  | Regional           | markets         |                  |                                    |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------------------------|
|                     | a <sub>11</sub> | g <sub>11</sub> | a <sub>21</sub> | g <sub>21</sub> | a <sub>31</sub> | a <sub>31d</sub> | a <sub>31 +</sub> a <sub>31d</sub> | g <sub>31</sub> | g <sub>31d</sub> | $g_{31} + g_{31d}$ | a <sub>32</sub> | a <sub>32d</sub> | $a_{32} + a_{32d}$ | g <sub>32</sub> | g <sub>32d</sub> | g <sub>32</sub> + g <sub>32d</sub> |
| Emerging Europe     |                 |                 |                 |                 |                 |                  |                                    |                 |                  |                    |                 |                  |                    |                 |                  |                                    |
| Bulgaria            | 0.354 *         | 0.936 *         | -0.014          | 0.027 **        | -0.094 *        | 0.033            | -0.061                             | 0.043 *         | -0.032           | 0.011              | 0.080           | 0.052            | 0.132              | 0.000           | 0.129 *          | 0.129                              |
| Croatia             | 0.382 *         | 0.885 *         | 0.119 *         | -0.071 *        | 0.031           | 0.029            | 0.060                              | -0.034 *        | 0.020            | -0.014             | 0.053 **        | -0.09 **         | -0.039             | -0.022 ***      | 0.055 *          | 0.033                              |
| Czech Republic      | 0.442 *         | 0.840 *         | 0.210 *         | -0.102 *        | 0.100 *         | 0.193 *          | 0.293                              | -0.067 *        | 0.020            | -0.047             | 0.086 *         | -0.204 *         | -0.118             | -0.013 *        | 0.048 *          | 0.035                              |
| Estonia             | 0.353 *         | 0.929 *         | 0.048           | 0.036           | 0.029           | 0.068            | 0.097                              | -0.038 *        | -0.027           | -0.065             | -0.047          | -0.035           | -0.082             | 0.053           | 0.236 **         | 0.289                              |
| Hungary             | 0.397 *         | 0.839 *         | 0.087 **        | -0.055 *        | 0.026           | -0.042           | -0.016                             | -0.023 ***      | 0.047 **         | 0.024              | 0.066 *         | -0.014           | 0.052              | -0.019*         | 0.016            | -0.003                             |
| Israel              | 0.197 *         | 0.974 *         | 0.120           | -0.022          | -0.076          | 0.543 *          | 0.467                              | 0.049           | 0.103            | 0.152              | -0.051          | -0.108           | -0.159             | 0.059           | -0.001           | 0.058                              |
| Latvia              | 0.627 *         | 0.834 *         | 0.007           | 0.005           | 0.032 *         | -0.043 **        | -0.011                             | -0.015 *        | 0.034 *          | 0.019              | 0.081 *         | -0.04 ***        | 0.040              | -0.027 *        | 0.034 **         | 0.007                              |
| Poland              | 0.292 *         | 0.931 *         | 0.019           | -0.042          | -0.032          | 0.004            | -0.028                             | 0.001           | 0.000            | 0.001              | 0.059 ***       | -0.087           | -0.028             | -0.028 *        | 0.078 **         | 0.050                              |
| Romania             | 0.443 *         | 0.887 *         | -0.022          | 0.028           | 0.007           | 0.063 ***        | 0.070                              | 0.000           | -0.020 ***       | -0.020             | 0.099 *         | -0.137 *         | -0.038             | -0.018 ***      | 0.052 *          | 0.034                              |
| Russia              | 0.370 *         | 0.915 *         | 0.017           | -0.010          | 0.000           | -0.201 ***       | -0.201                             | 0.003           | 0.012            | 0.015              | -0.150 *        | 0.342 *          | 0.192              | 0.334           | 0.254 ***        | 0.588                              |
| Slovakia            | 0.546 *         | 0.552 *         | 0.116 **        | -0.019          | 0.054           | -0.164           | -0.110                             | -0.027          | 0.079            | 0.052              | 0.079 *         | -0.064 ***       | 0.015              | -0.025 *        | 0.039 *          | 0.014                              |
| Slovenia            | 0.523 *         | 0.653 *         | 0.001           | -0.110 **       | 0.025           | -0.152           | -0.127                             | 0.022           | 0.342 *          | 0.364              | -0.068          | 0.036            | -0.032             | 0.029           | 0.139 *          | 0.168                              |
| South Africa        | 0.337 *         | 0.769 *         | 0.038           | -0.084          | 0.028           | 0.101            | 0.129                              | -0.029          | -0.001           | -0.030             | 0.055           | -0.147           | -0.092             | -0.025 **       | 0.071 *          | 0.046                              |
| Turkey              | 0.222 *         | 0.973 *         | 0.036 ***       | -0.008 **       | 0.059 *         | -0.136           | -0.077                             | -0.010 ***      | 0.029 ***        | 0.019              | -0.001          | 0.117 **         | 0.116              | -0.007          | 0.022            | 0.015                              |
| Middle East and Nor | th Africa       |                 |                 |                 |                 |                  |                                    |                 |                  |                    |                 |                  |                    |                 |                  |                                    |
| Egypt               | -0.382 *        | -0.205          | -0.034 ***      | -0.109 **       | -0.037          | -0.218 *         | -0.255                             | -0.251          | -0.549 **        | -0.800             | -0.077          | 0.083            | 0.006              | 0.026           | -0.014           | 0.012                              |
| Jordan              | 0.492 *         | 0.551 *         | 0.075           | 0.043           | 0.031           | 0.509            | 0.540                              | -0.088          | -0.778           | -0.866             | -0.079          | -0.229           | -0.308             | 0.066           | 0.543            | 0.609                              |
| Kuwait              | 0.435 *         | 0.777 *         | 0.003           | 0.069           | -0.027          | -1.511 *         | -1.538                             | 0.051           | 0.929 *          | 0.980              | -0.102 *        | 0.568 *          | 0.466              | 0.051           | -0.274           | -0.223                             |
| Lebanon             | 0.716 *         | 0.455 *         | 0.019           | 0.040           | 0.062 **        | -0.701 *         | -0.639                             | -0.049          | 0.760 *          | 0.711              | -0.069          | 0.003            | -0.066             | 0.054 ***       | -0.086           | -0.032                             |
| Morocco             | 0.499 *         | 0.122           | 0.120 **        | -0.098          | 0.097 **        | 0.197            | 0.294                              | 0.101           | 1.027 **         | 1.128              | -0.085 *        | 0.027            | -0.058             | 0.042           | 0.006            | 0.048                              |
| Saudi Arabia        | 0.432 **        | -0.888 *        | 0.068           | -0.095 **       | -0.026          | 0.108            | 0.082                              | 0.025           | 0.010            | 0.035              | -0.099 ***      | -0.263 **        | -0.362             | -0.285 *        | 0.595 *          | 0.310                              |
| Tunisia             | 0.674 *         | 0.477 *         | -0.046          | -0.020          | 0.389 *         | -0.415           | -0.026                             | 0.547 *         | 1.468 *          | 2.015              | -0.036          | 0.196            | 0.160              | 0.151 *         | 0.007            | 0.158                              |

Notes: \*, \*\*\*, and \*\*\* denote significance at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels respectively. Standard errors (S.E.) are calculated using the quasi-maximum likelihood method of Bollerslev and Wooldridge (1992), which is robust to the distribution of the underlying residuals. The covariance stationarity condition is satisfied for the models, with all eigenvalues of  $A \circ A + G \circ G$  less than one in modulus.

Table 5
Likelihood ratio tests of restrictions on spillover parameters

|                 |  | Local ma                 | rkets                  |   | Reg   | ional markets                                 |   |
|-----------------|--|--------------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|
|                 | H01: $a_{31} = a_{31d} = g_{31} = g_{31d} = 0$ | H02: $a_{31d}=g_{31d}=0$ | H03: $a_{31}=g_{31}=0$ | H04:<br>a <sub>21</sub> =g <sub>21</sub> =0 | H05:<br>a <sub>32</sub> =a <sub>32d</sub> =g <sub>32</sub> =g <sub>32d</sub> =0 | H06:<br>a <sub>32d</sub> =g <sub>32d</sub> =0 | H07:<br>a <sub>32</sub> =g <sub>32</sub> =0 |
| Emerging Asia   |  |                          |                        |   |   |   |   |
| China           | 1.780  | 39.756 *                 | 1.324                  | 33.790 *                                    | 37.764 *  | 33.742 *                                      | 9.882 *                                     |
| Hong Kong       | 11.150 **                                      | 26.872 *                 | 6.672 **               | 5.550 ***                                   | 7.326   | 3.496   | 3.314                                       |
| India           | 52.206 *                                       | 2.948                    | 6.090 **               | 7.276 **                                    | 13.206 **   | 12.342 *                                      | 1.200 *                                     |
| Indonesia       | 10.846 **                                      | 3.588                    | 6.130 **               | 13.480 *                                    | 98.378 *  | 7.618 **                                      | 10.938 *                                    |
| Korea           | 21.106 *                                       | 13.044 *                 | 55.860 *               | 46.880 *                                    | 25.462 *  | 46.280 *                                      | 1.928                                       |
| Malaysia        | 81.752 *                                       | 16.754 *                 | 24.182 *               | 12.806 *                                    | 0.082   | 4.988 ***                                     | 25.210 *                                    |
| Pakistan        | 26.966 *                                       | 4.330                    | 1.216                  | 20.040 *                                    | 7.026   | 4.130   | 3.486                                       |
| Philippines     | 6.830  | 2.372                    | 1.632                  | 0.930                                       | 3.096   | 2.468   | 0.366                                       |
| Singapore       | 11.786 **                                      | 7.012 **                 | 6.684 **               | 3.602                                       | 19.884 *  | 1.240   | 5.124 *                                     |
| Sri-Lanka       | 11.406 **                                      | 10.240 *                 | 0.498                  | 1.662                                       | 89.932 *  | 4.430   | 2.492                                       |
| Taiwan          | 43.300 *                                       | 12.682 *                 | 80.258 *               | 12.884 *                                    | 13.854 *  | 6.516 **                                      | 10.910 *                                    |
| Thailand        | 10.316 **                                      | 9.580 *                  | 4.498                  | 2.980                                       | 11.980 **   | 10.954 *                                      | 1.660                                       |
| Latin America   |  |                          |                        |   |   |   |   |
| Argentina       | 17.862 *                                       | 11.848 *                 | 8.566 **               | 8.268 **                                    | 21.246 *  | 18.530 *                                      | 8.602 *                                     |
| Brazil          | 12.828 **                                      | 7.302 **                 | 2.208                  | 8.706 **                                    | 9.608 **  | 0.286   | 9.458 *                                     |
| Chile           | 19.294 *                                       | 18.268 *                 | 2.830                  | 0.522                                       | 21.604 *  | 16.946 *                                      | 9.560 *                                     |
| Colombia        | 14.566 *                                       | 2.556                    | 10.464 *               | 18.435 *                                    | 5.166   | 2.352   | 4.298                                       |
| Ecuador         | 14.082 *                                       | 74.614 *                 | 49.338 *               | 43.456 *                                    | 41.424 *  | 21.296 *                                      | 14.796 *                                    |
| Mexico          | 27.232 *                                       | 38.262 *                 | 0.378                  | 20.206 *                                    | 12.818 *  | 25.952 *                                      | 0.410                                       |
| Peru            | 13.832 *                                       | 5.212 ***                | 2.534                  | 7.292 **                                    | 9.696 **  | 0.564   | 9.382 *                                     |
| Venezuela       | 1.574  | 1.330                    | 0.606                  | 0.461                                       | 7.842 ***   | 3.526   | 4.446                                       |
| Emerging Europ  | ре   |                          |                        |   |   |   |   |
| Bulgaria        | 50.094 *                                       | 2.072                    | 19.348 *               | 43.402 *                                    | 28.930 *  | 24.092 *                                      | 49.354 *                                    |
| Croatia         | 24.287 *                                       | 18.668 *                 | 61.180 *               | 9.223 *                                     | 12.067 *  | 0.176   | 1.672                                       |
| Czech Republic  | 49.702 *                                       | 32.996 *                 | 25.770 *               | 42.770 *                                    | 39.600 *  | 37.404 *                                      | 17.722 *                                    |
| Estonia         | 10.805 **                                      | 1.646                    | 13.786 *               | 11.185 *                                    | 38.234 *  | 2.011   | 11.649 *                                    |
| Hungary         | 12.100 **                                      | 11.439 *                 | 3.450                  | 9.999 *                                     | 21.110 *  | 92.354 *                                      | 20.409 *                                    |
| Israel          | 1.011  | 5.804 ***                | 2.531                  | 2.073                                       | 8.594 ***   | 5.954 **                                      | 3.120                                       |
| Latvia          | 16.987 *                                       | 6.193 **                 | 91.493 *               | 35.319 *                                    | 23.503 *  | 7.801 **                                      | 74.805 *                                    |
| Poland          | 3.067  | 0.012                    | 2.737                  | 10.602 *                                    | 69.743 *  | 14.246 *                                      | 11.722 *                                    |
| Romania         | 6.028  | 0.750                    | 0.984                  | 6.644 **                                    | 23.682 *  | 13.370 *                                      | 13.748 *                                    |
| Russia          | 13.393 *                                       | 13.309 *                 | 0.110                  | 1.738                                       | 10.543 **   | 14.532 *                                      | 8.265 *                                     |
| Slovakia        | 7.836 ***                                      | 5.720 ***                | 2.690                  | 8.408 **                                    | 20.366 *  | 10.072 *                                      | 23.324 *                                    |
| Slovenia        | 28.327 *                                       | 37.146 *                 | 1.851                  | 10.898 *                                    | 16.188 *  | 12.629 *                                      | 1.930                                       |
| South Africa    | 4.288  | 3.291                    | 0.313                  | 2.899                                       | 14.373 *  | 9.584 *                                       | 6.089 *                                     |
| Turkey          | 20.014 *                                       | 123.378 *                | 18.927 *               | 7.746 **                                    | 127.490 *   | 124.630 *                                     | 126.323 *                                   |
| Middle East and |  |                          |                        |   |   |   |   |
| Egypt           | 1.774  | 18.340 *                 | 7.974 ***              | 23.660 *                                    | 4.986   | 23.478 *                                      | 6.514 *                                     |
| Jordan          | 17.476 *                                       | 14.648 *                 | 1.354                  | 2.640                                       | 9.168 **  | 0.240   | 0.434                                       |
| Kuwait          | 45.636 *                                       | 30.042 *                 | 0.448                  | 7.134 **                                    | 9.946 **  | 29.256 *                                      | 3.932                                       |
| Lebanon         | 16.522 *                                       | 16.122 *                 | 2.478                  | 12.940 *                                    | 16.640 *  | 18.214 *                                      | 1.300                                       |
| Morocco         | 1.952  | 9.288 *                  | 38.216 *               | 4.758 ***                                   | 9.864 **  | 12.054 *                                      | 5.224 *                                     |
| Saudi Arabia    | 8.185 ***                                      | 27.809 *                 | 20.044 *               | 17.256 *                                    | 7.208   | 9.962 *                                       | 32.704 *                                    |
| Tunisia         | 23.603 *                                       | 4.673                    | 17.813 *               | 1.838                                       | 23.601 *  | 10.287 *                                      | 16.691 *                                    |

Notes: The LR statistics are computed between the unrestricted and restricted models, where  $LR = -2(L_R - L_U)$ . Rejection of the null hypothesis at the 1%, 5% and 10% is denoted by \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* respectively. The chi-squared critical values at 1%, 5% and 10% for 4 degrees of freedom are 13.277, 9.488, and 7.779; and for 2 degrees of freedom are 9.210, 5.991, and 4.605.

Table 6
Tests of changes in EME conditional variances during turbulent episodes in mature markets

|                   |                    |              | ŀ                | $I0: s_{ntp} = s_{tp}$ | H1: $s_{ntp} < s_t$ | p           |                  |              |
|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|
|                   | Full sampl         | e: 1996-2008 | Sub-samp         | ole: 2004-08           | Sub-sampl           | le: 2000-03 | Sub-samp         | ole: 1996-98 |
|                   | $s_{tp} / s_{ntp}$ | Reject H0    | $s_{tp}/s_{ntp}$ | Reject H0              | $s_{tp}/s_{ntp}$    | Reject H0   | $s_{tp}/s_{ntp}$ | Reject Ho    |
| Emerging Asia     |                    |              |                  |                        |                     |             |                  |              |
| China             | 1.049              |              | 1.729            | **                     | 1.077               |             | 0.711            |              |
| Hong Kong         | 1.411              | **           | 2.131            | *                      | 1.000               |             | 1.545            | ***          |
| India             | 0.894              |              | 1.412            | ***                    | 0.579               |             | 0.879            |              |
| Indonesia         | 1.159              |              | 1.345            |                        | 0.995               |             | 1.240            |              |
| Korea             | 1.095              |              | 1.607            | **                     | 0.980               |             | 1.034            |              |
| Malaysia          | 1.524              | *            | 1.798            | **                     | 0.936               |             | 1.865            | *            |
| Pakistan          | 1.117              |              | 1.206            |                        | 0.963               |             | 1.243            |              |
| Philippines       | 1.079              |              | 1.193            |                        | 0.869               |             | 1.242            |              |
| Singapore         | 1.324              | **           | 2.404            | *                      | 0.872               |             | 1.418            | ***          |
| Sri-Lanka         | 0.791              |              | 0.447            |                        | 0.744               |             | 1.743            | **           |
| Taiwan            | 1.135              |              | 1.392            |                        | 0.874               |             | 1.272            |              |
| Thailand          | 0.930              |              | 1.168            |                        | 0.802               |             | 0.972            |              |
| Latin America     |                    |              |                  |                        |                     |             |                  |              |
| Argentina         | 1.212              | ***          | 0.940            |                        | 1.123               |             | 1.435            | ***          |
| Brazil            | 1.738              | *            | 1.295            |                        | 1.252               |             | 2.484            | *            |
| Chile             | 1.430              | *            | 2.172            | *                      | 0.893               |             | 1.461            | ***          |
| Colombia          | 1.154              |              | 1.586            | **                     | 0.915               |             | 1.037            |              |
| Ecuador           | 0.323              |              | 0.372            |                        | 0.280               |             | 0.324            |              |
| Mexico            | 1.377              | **           | 1.309            |                        | 1.041               |             | 1.867            | *            |
| Peru              | 1.628              | *            | 2.256            | *                      | 0.856               |             | 1.655            | **           |
| Venezuela         | 1.054              |              | 0.749            |                        | 0.730               |             | 1.543            | ***          |
| Emerging Europe   |                    |              |                  |                        |                     |             |                  |              |
| Bulgaria          | 1.086              |              | 1.255            |                        | 0.880               |             | na               |              |
| Croatia           | 1.054              |              | 1.122            |                        | 0.791               |             | 1.365            |              |
| Czech Republic    | 1.625              | *            | 1.806            | **                     | 1.357               |             | 1.842            | **           |
| Estonia           | 1.759              | *            | 1.306            |                        | 0.965               |             | 2.554            | *            |
| Hungary           | 1.619              | *            | 1.237            |                        | 1.303               |             | 2.419            | *            |
| Israel            | 1.004              |              | 1.133            |                        | 0.861               |             | 1.074            |              |
| Latvia            | 4.253              | *            | 1.717            | **                     | 5.299               | *           | 2.916            | *            |
| Poland            | 1.262              | ***          | 1.433            | ***                    | 0.912               |             | 1.636            | **           |
| Romania           | 1.377              | **           | 1.373            |                        | 0.650               |             | 2.211            | *            |
| Russia            | 1.573              | *            | 1.046            |                        | 0.893               |             | 2.440            | *            |
| Slovakia          | 0.795              |              | 0.677            |                        | 0.935               |             | 0.728            |              |
| Slovenia          | 1.388              | **           | 1.871            | *                      | 1.384               | ***         | 1.242            |              |
| South Africa      | 1.431              | *            | 1.270            |                        | 1.186               |             | 2.039            | *            |
| Turkey            | 1.062              |              | 1.154            |                        | 0.919               |             | 1.164            |              |
| Middle East and N |                    |              | 1.10             |                        | 0.717               |             | 1.101            |              |
| Egypt             | 0.982              |              | 0.973            |                        | 0.991               |             | 0.975            |              |
| Jordan            | 1.075              |              | 0.956            |                        | 1.245               |             | 1.090            |              |
| Kuwait            | 1.007              |              | 0.902            |                        | 1.357               |             | 0.803            |              |
| Lebanon           | 0.668              |              | 0.526            |                        | 0.896               |             | 0.586            |              |
| Morocco           | 1.000              |              | 1.028            |                        | 1.066               |             | 0.882            |              |
| Saudi Arabia      | 1.441              | **           | 1.865            | *                      | 1.179               |             | 0.882            |              |
| Tunisia           | 1.175              |              | 0.871            |                        | 1.179               |             | 1.823            | ***          |

Notes:  $s_{np}$  and  $s_{tp}$  indicate averages of the predicted conditional variances  $h_{11,t}$  for non-turbulent periods and turbulent periods, respectively, in the full sample and the sub-samples. \*,\*\*, \*\*\* denote rejection of the null hypothesis at the 1%, 5%, and 10% levels. Degrees of freedom, and hence critical values of the F distribution, vary due to slight variations in the length of country samples.

Table 7
Tests of changes in conditional correlations between EME markets and mature markets during turbulent episodes in mature markets

|                        |                  |                |               |                |          | H0: r <sub>ntp</sub> | $\geq r_{\mathrm{tp}}$ |                |               |           |          |            |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|----------|------------|
| •                      | Full san         | nple: 199      | 06-2008       | Sub-sai        | mple: 20 | 04-08                | Sub-sa                 | mple: 20       | 000-03        | Sub-saı   | nple: 19 | 96-98      |
|                        | $r_{\text{ntp}}$ | $r_{tp}$       | Reject<br>H0: | $r_{ntp}$      | $r_{tp}$ | Reject<br>H0:        | $r_{\rm ntp}$          | $r_{tp}$       | Reject<br>H0: | $r_{ntp}$ | $r_{tp}$ | Reje<br>H0 |
| Emerging Asia          |                  |                |               |                |          |                      |                        |                |               |           |          |            |
| China                  | 0.043            | 0.031          |               | 0.079          | 0.148    |                      | 0.006                  | -0.074         |               | 0.040     | 0.047    |            |
| Hong Kong              | 0.605            | 0.592          |               | 0.579          | 0.602    |                      | 0.690                  | 0.723          |               | 0.552     | 0.401    |            |
| India                  | 0.302            | 0.335          |               | 0.436          | 0.517    |                      | 0.338                  | 0.250          |               | 0.125     | 0.255    |            |
| Indonesia              | 0.326            | 0.340          |               | 0.488          | 0.534    |                      | 0.141                  | 0.152          |               | 0.332     | 0.390    |            |
| Korea                  | 0.499            | 0.497          |               | 0.611          | 0.583    |                      | 0.529                  | 0.573          |               | 0.351     | 0.300    |            |
| Malaysia               | 0.343            | 0.391          |               | 0.401          | 0.530    |                      | 0.284                  | 0.390          |               | 0.338     | 0.242    |            |
| Pakistan               | 0.126            | 0.138          |               | 0.181          | 0.206    |                      | 0.088                  | 0.121          |               | 0.104     | 0.087    |            |
| Philippines            | 0.376            | 0.391          |               | 0.478          | 0.560    |                      | 0.314                  | 0.270          |               | 0.327     | 0.377    |            |
| Singapore              | 0.503            | 0.557          |               | 0.623          | 0.691    |                      | 0.518                  | 0.604          |               | 0.362     | 0.348    |            |
| Sri-Lanka              | 0.019            | 0.081          |               | -0.042         | 0.146    |                      | 0.030                  | 0.003          |               | 0.073     | 0.118    |            |
| Taiwan                 | 0.343            | 0.477          | ***           | 0.281          | 0.416    |                      | 0.417                  | 0.552          |               | 0.337     | 0.440    |            |
| Thailand               | 0.381            | 0.467          |               | 0.371          | 0.580    | ***                  | 0.444                  | 0.431          |               | 0.331     | 0.395    |            |
| Latin America          |                  |                |               |                |          |                      |                        |                |               |           |          |            |
| Argentina              | 0.435            | 0.504          |               | 0.536          | 0.746    | **                   | 0.324                  | 0.298          |               | 0.434     | 0.526    |            |
| Brazil                 | 0.572            | 0.596          |               | 0.637          | 0.721    |                      | 0.527                  | 0.562          |               | 0.547     | 0.508    |            |
| Chile                  | 0.380            | 0.450          |               | 0.441          | 0.547    |                      | 0.368                  | 0.429          |               | 0.327     | 0.376    |            |
| Colombia               | 0.198            | 0.244          |               | 0.284          | 0.322    |                      | 0.137                  | 0.151          |               | 0.166     | 0.289    |            |
| Ecuador                | -0.033           | -0.063         |               | -0.038         | -0.030   |                      | -0.055                 | -0.159         |               | -0.008    | 0.032    |            |
| Mexico                 | 0.628            | 0.666          |               | 0.676          | 0.695    |                      | 0.604                  | 0.698          |               | 0.600     | 0.593    |            |
| Peru                   | 0.256            | 0.437          | **            | 0.270          | 0.619    | **                   | 0.272                  | 0.341          |               | 0.225     | 0.374    |            |
| Venezuela              | 0.192            | 0.234          |               | 0.207          | 0.250    |                      | 0.173                  | 0.166          |               | 0.195     | 0.310    |            |
| Emerging Europ         |                  |                |               |                |          |                      |                        |                |               |           |          |            |
| Bulgaria               | 0.008            | 0.000          |               | 0.034          | 0.020    |                      | -0.032                 | -0.015         |               | na        | na       |            |
| Croatia                | 0.258            | 0.297          |               | 0.133          | 0.217    |                      | 0.300                  | 0.361          |               | 0.382     | 0.293    |            |
| Czech Republic         | 0.350            | 0.620          | *             | 0.426          | 0.703    | **                   | 0.352                  | 0.645          | **            | 0.258     | 0.466    |            |
| Estonia Estonia        | 0.253            | 0.343          |               | 0.370          | 0.364    |                      | 0.257                  | 0.389          |               | 0.111     | 0.239    |            |
| Hungary                | 0.458            | 0.556          |               | 0.508          | 0.591    |                      | 0.425                  | 0.578          |               | 0.435     | 0.472    |            |
| Israel                 | 0.440            | 0.658          | *             | 0.404          | 0.652    | **                   | 0.453                  | 0.682          |               | 0.468     | 0.624    |            |
| Latvia                 | 0.124            | 0.283          | ***           | 0.114          | 0.266    |                      | 0.136                  | 0.278          |               | 0.122     | 0.313    |            |
| Poland                 | 0.469            | 0.590          | ***           | 0.548          | 0.620    |                      | 0.130                  | 0.632          |               | 0.397     | 0.479    |            |
| Romania                | 0.085            | 0.249          | ***           | 0.166          | 0.491    | **                   | -0.001                 | 0.032          |               | 0.079     | 0.319    |            |
| Russia                 | 0.373            | 0.405          |               | 0.100          | 0.594    |                      | 0.411                  | 0.422          |               | 0.073     | 0.127    |            |
| Slovakia               | 0.023            | -0.062         |               | -0.002         | -0.073   |                      | 0.053                  | -0.104         |               | 0.022     | 0.024    |            |
| Slovenia               | 0.103            | 0.241          |               | 0.098          | 0.249    |                      | 0.033                  | 0.256          |               | 0.022     | 0.207    |            |
| South Africa           |                  |                |               |                |          |                      |                        |                |               |           | 0.642    |            |
|                        | 0.583<br>0.340   | 0.632<br>0.438 |               | 0.671<br>0.399 | 0.662    | **                   | 0.540                  | 0.603<br>0.318 |               | 0.526     |          |            |
| Turkey Middle Fast and |                  |                |               | 0.399          | 0.661    | 41.50                | 0.283                  | 0.518          |               | 0.331     | 0.346    |            |
| Middle East and        |                  |                |               | 0.145          | 0.104    |                      | 0.110                  | 0.224          |               | 0.126     | 0.160    |            |
| Egypt                  | 0.130            | 0.195          |               | 0.145          | 0.184    |                      | 0.118                  | 0.224          |               | 0.126     | 0.168    |            |
| Jordan                 | 0.073            | -0.088         |               | 0.068          | -0.115   |                      | 0.072                  | -0.040         |               | 0.080     | -0.124   |            |
| Kuwait                 | -0.021           | 0.111          |               | -0.021         | 0.039    |                      | -0.035                 | 0.136          |               | -0.007    | 0.155    |            |
| Lebanon                | 0.088            | 0.191          |               | 0.115          | 0.148    |                      | 0.057                  | 0.168          |               | 0.089     | 0.269    |            |
| Morocco                | 0.063            | 0.125          |               | 0.087          | 0.149    |                      | 0.041                  | 0.129          |               | 0.059     | 0.095    |            |
| Saudi Arabia           | 0.030            | 0.003          |               | 0.023          | -0.047   |                      | 0.040                  | 0.029          |               | 0.024     | 0.037    |            |
| Tunisia                | 0.098            | 0.161          |               | 0.100          | 0.123    |                      | 0.118                  | 0.207          |               | 0.052     | 0.115    |            |

Notes:  $r_{ntp}$  and  $r_{tp}$  indicate the average conditional correlation coefficients for non-turbulent periods and turbulent periods, respectively, in the full sample and the sub-samples. \*,\*\*, \*\*\* denote rejection of the one-tail tests of the null hypothesis at the 1%, 5%, and 10% levels. Tests are based on the Fisher transformation of the conditional correlation coefficients, whose distribution is approximately normal with the mean 1/2\*[ln ((1 + r)/(1-r))] and the variance 1/(n-3).

### **CESifo Working Paper Series**

for full list see www.cesifo-group.org/wp (address: Poschingerstr. 5, 81679 Munich, Germany, office@cesifo.de)

- 2481 Thomas Aronsson and Erkki Koskela, Optimal Redistributive Taxation and Provision of Public Input Goods in an Economy with Outsourcing and Unemployment, December 2008
- 2482 Stanley L. Winer, George Tridimas and Walter Hettich, Social Welfare and Coercion in Public Finance, December 2008
- 2483 Bruno S. Frey and Benno Torgler, Politicians: Be Killed or Survive, December 2008
- 2484 Thiess Buettner, Nadine Riedel and Marco Runkel, Strategic Consolidation under Formula Apportionment, December 2008
- 2485 Irani Arraiz, David M. Drukker, Harry H. Kelejian and Ingmar R. Prucha, A Spatial Cliff-Ord-type Model with Heteroskedastic Innovations: Small and Large Sample Results, December 2008
- 2486 Oliver Falck, Michael Fritsch and Stephan Heblich, The Apple doesn't Fall far from the Tree: Location of Start-Ups Relative to Incumbents, December 2008
- 2487 Cary Deck and Harris Schlesinger, Exploring Higher-Order Risk Effects, December 2008
- 2488 Michael Kaganovich and Volker Meier, Social Security Systems, Human Capital, and Growth in a Small Open Economy, December 2008
- 2489 Mikael Elinder, Henrik Jordahl and Panu Poutvaara, Selfish and Prospective: Theory and Evidence of Pocketbook Voting, December 2008
- 2490 Maarten Bosker and Harry Garretsen, Economic Geography and Economic Development in Sub-Saharan Africa, December 2008
- 2491 Urs Fischbacher and Simon Gächter, Social Preferences, Beliefs, and the Dynamics of Free Riding in Public Good Experiments, December 2008
- 2492 Michael Hoel, Bush Meets Hotelling: Effects of Improved Renewable Energy Technology on Greenhouse Gas Emissions, December 2008
- 2493 Christian Bruns and Oliver Himmler, It's the Media, Stupid How Media Activity Shapes Public Spending, December 2008
- 2494 Andreas Knabe and Ronnie Schöb, Minimum Wages and their Alternatives: A Critical Assessment, December 2008
- 2495 Sascha O. Becker, Peter H. Egger, Maximilian von Ehrlich and Robert Fenge, Going NUTS: The Effect of EU Structural Funds on Regional Performance, December 2008

- 2496 Robert Dur, Gift Exchange in the Workplace: Money or Attention?, December 2008
- 2497 Scott Alan Carson, Nineteenth Century Black and White US Statures: The Primary Sources of Vitamin D and their Relationship with Height, December 2008
- 2498 Thomas Crossley and Mario Jametti, Pension Benefit Insurance and Pension Plan Portfolio Choice, December 2008
- 2499 Sebastian Hauptmeier, Ferdinand Mittermaier and Johannes Rincke, Fiscal Competition over Taxes and Public Inputs: Theory and Evidence, December 2008
- 2500 Dirk Niepelt, Debt Maturity without Commitment, December 2008
- 2501 Andrew Clark, Andreas Knabe and Steffen Rätzel, Boon or Bane? Others' Unemployment, Well-being and Job Insecurity, December 2008
- 2502 Lukas Menkhoff, Rafael R. Rebitzky and Michael Schröder, Heterogeneity in Exchange Rate Expectations: Evidence on the Chartist-Fundamentalist Approach, December 2008
- 2503 Salvador Barrios, Harry Huizinga, Luc Laeven and Gaëtan Nicodème, International Taxation and Multinational Firm Location Decisions, December 2008
- 2504 Andreas Irmen, Cross-Country Income Differences and Technology Diffusion in a Competitive World, December 2008
- 2505 Wenan Fei, Claude Fluet and Harris Schlesinger, Uncertain Bequest Needs and Long-Term Insurance Contracts, December 2008
- 2506 Wido Geis, Silke Uebelmesser and Martin Werding, How do Migrants Choose their Destination Country? An Analysis of Institutional Determinants, December 2008
- 2507 Hiroyuki Kasahara and Katsumi Shimotsu, Sequential Estimation of Structural Models with a Fixed Point Constraint, December 2008
- 2508 Barbara Hofmann, Work Incentives? Ex Post Effects of Unemployment Insurance Sanctions Evidence from West Germany, December 2008
- 2509 Louis Hotte and Stanley L. Winer, The Demands for Environmental Regulation and for Trade in the Presence of Private Mitigation, December 2008
- 2510 Konstantinos Angelopoulos, Jim Malley and Apostolis Philippopoulos, Welfare Implications of Public Education Spending Rules, December 2008
- 2511 Robert Orlowski and Regina T. Riphahn, The East German Wage Structure after Transition, December 2008
- 2512 Michel Beine, Frédéric Docquier and Maurice Schiff, International Migration, Transfers of Norms and Home Country Fertility, December 2008

- 2513 Dirk Schindler and Benjamin Weigert, Educational and Wage Risk: Social Insurance vs. Quality of Education, December 2008
- 2514 Bernd Hayo and Stefan Voigt, The Relevance of Judicial Procedure for Economic Growth, December 2008
- 2515 Bruno S. Frey and Susanne Neckermann, Awards in Economics Towards a New Field of Inquiry, January 2009
- 2516 Gregory Gilpin and Michael Kaganovich, The Quantity and Quality of Teachers: A Dynamic Trade-off, January 2009
- 2517 Sascha O. Becker, Peter H. Egger and Valeria Merlo, How Low Business Tax Rates Attract Multinational Headquarters: Municipality-Level Evidence from Germany, January 2009
- 2518 Geir H. Bjønnes, Steinar Holden, Dagfinn Rime and Haakon O.Aa. Solheim, ,Large' vs. ,Small' Players: A Closer Look at the Dynamics of Speculative Attacks, January 2009
- 2519 Jesus Crespo Cuaresma, Gernot Doppelhofer and Martin Feldkircher, The Determinants of Economic Growth in European Regions, January 2009
- 2520 Salvador Valdés-Prieto, The 2008 Chilean Reform to First-Pillar Pensions, January 2009
- 2521 Geir B. Asheim and Tapan Mitra, Sustainability and Discounted Utilitarianism in Models of Economic Growth, January 2009
- 2522 Etienne Farvaque and Gaël Lagadec, Electoral Control when Policies are for Sale, January 2009
- 2523 Nicholas Barr and Peter Diamond, Reforming Pensions, January 2009
- 2524 Eric A. Hanushek and Ludger Woessmann, Do Better Schools Lead to More Growth? Cognitive Skills, Economic Outcomes, and Causation, January 2009
- 2525 Richard Arnott and Eren Inci, The Stability of Downtown Parking and Traffic Congestion, January 2009
- 2526 John Whalley, Jun Yu and Shunming Zhang, Trade Retaliation in a Monetary-Trade Model, January 2009
- 2527 Mathias Hoffmann and Thomas Nitschka, Securitization of Mortgage Debt, Asset Prices and International Risk Sharing, January 2009
- 2528 Steven Brakman and Harry Garretsen, Trade and Geography: Paul Krugman and the 2008 Nobel Prize in Economics, January 2009
- 2529 Bas Jacobs, Dirk Schindler and Hongyan Yang, Optimal Taxation of Risky Human Capital, January 2009

- 2530 Annette Alstadsæter and Erik Fjærli, Neutral Taxation of Shareholder Income? Corporate Responses to an Announced Dividend Tax, January 2009
- 2531 Bruno S. Frey and Susanne Neckermann, Academics Appreciate Awards A New Aspect of Incentives in Research, January 2009
- 2532 Nannette Lindenberg and Frank Westermann, Common Trends and Common Cycles among Interest Rates of the G7-Countries, January 2009
- 2533 Erkki Koskela and Jan König, The Role of Profit Sharing in a Dual Labour Market with Flexible Outsourcing, January 2009
- 2534 Tomasz Michalak, Jacob Engwerda and Joseph Plasmans, Strategic Interactions between Fiscal and Monetary Authorities in a Multi-Country New-Keynesian Model of a Monetary Union, January 2009
- 2535 Michael Overesch and Johannes Rincke, What Drives Corporate Tax Rates Down? A Reassessment of Globalization, Tax Competition, and Dynamic Adjustment to Shocks, February 2009
- 2536 Xenia Matschke and Anja Schöttner, Antidumping as Strategic Trade Policy Under Asymmetric Information, February 2009
- 2537 John Whalley, Weimin Zhou and Xiaopeng An, Chinese Experience with Global 3G Standard-Setting, February 2009
- 2538 Claus Thustrup Kreiner and Nicolaj Verdelin, Optimal Provision of Public Goods: A Synthesis, February 2009
- 2539 Jerome L. Stein, Application of Stochastic Optimal Control to Financial Market Debt Crises, February 2009
- 2540 Lars P. Feld and Jost H. Heckemeyer, FDI and Taxation: A Meta-Study, February 2009
- 2541 Philipp C. Bauer and Regina T. Riphahn, Age at School Entry and Intergenerational Educational Mobility, February 2009
- 2542 Thomas Eichner and Rüdiger Pethig, Carbon Leakage, the Green Paradox and Perfect Future Markets, February 2009
- 2543 M. Hashem Pesaran, Andreas Pick and Allan Timmermann, Variable Selection and Inference for Multi-period Forecasting Problems, February 2009
- 2544 Mathias Hoffmann and Iryna Shcherbakova, Consumption Risk Sharing over the Business Cycle: the Role of Small Firms' Access to Credit Markets, February 2009
- 2545 John Beirne, Guglielmo Maria Caporale, Marianne Schulze-Ghattas and Nicola Spagnolo, Volatility Spillovers and Contagion from Mature to Emerging Stock Markets, February 2009