

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Dreher, Axel; Sturm, Jan-Egbert; Ursprung, Heinrich W.

Working Paper The impact of globalization on the composition of government expenditures: evidence from panel data

CESifo Working Paper, No. 1755

Provided in Cooperation with: Ifo Institute – Leibniz Institute for Economic Research at the University of Munich

Suggested Citation: Dreher, Axel; Sturm, Jan-Egbert; Ursprung, Heinrich W. (2006) : The impact of globalization on the composition of government expenditures: evidence from panel data, CESifo Working Paper, No. 1755, Center for Economic Studies and ifo Institute (CESifo), Munich

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/25800

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

THE IMPACT OF GLOBALIZATION ON THE COMPOSITION OF GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURES: EVIDENCE FROM PANEL DATA

AXEL DREHER JAN-EGBERT STURM HEINRICH W. URSPRUNG

CESIFO WORKING PAPER NO. 1755 CATEGORY 1: PUBLIC FINANCE JULY 2006

PRESENTED AT CESIFO AREA CONFERENCE ON GLOBAL ECONOMY, APRIL 2006

An electronic version of the paper may be downloaded• from the SSRN website:www.SSRN.com• from the RePEc website:www.RePEc.org• from the CESifo website:www.CESifo-group.de

THE IMPACT OF GLOBALIZATION ON THE COMPOSITION OF GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURES: EVIDENCE FROM PANEL DATA

Abstract

According to the disciplining hypothesis, globalization restrains governments by inducing increased budgetary pressure. As a consequence, governments shift their expenditures in favour of transfers and subsidies and away from capital expenditures. This expenditure shift is potentially enhanced by citizens' preferences to be compensated for the risks of globalization ("compensation hypothesis"). Employing two different datasets and various measures of globalization, we analyze whether globalization has indeed influenced the composition of government expenditures. For a sample of 108 countries, we examine the development of four broad expenditure categories for the period 1970-2001: capital expenditures; expenditures for goods and services; interest payments; and subsidies and other current transfers. A second dataset provides a much more detailed classification: public expenditures, expenditures for defence, order, economic environment, housing, health, recreation, education, and social expenditures. However, this second data set is only available since 1990 – and only for the OECD countries. Our results show that globalization did not influence the composition of government expenditures.

JEL Code: H7, H87, C23.

Keywords: globalization, economic policy, government expenditure composition, tax competition.

Axel Dreher ETH Zurich Weinbergstr. 35 Switzerland – 8092 Zurich mail@axel-dreher.de Jan-Egbert Sturm ETH Zurich Weinbergstr. 35 Switzerland – 8092 Zurich sturm@kof.ethz.ch

Heinrich W. Ursprung University of Konstanz Department of Economics Box D 138 Germany - 78457 Konstanz Heinrich.Ursprung@uni-konstanz.de

First version: May 2005 / This version: June 2006

We thank Benny Geys, participants of the workshop on the sustainability of the European welfare state (Dutch Central Bank, June 2005), the CESifo Area Conference on Global Economy (April 2006), the ZEW Summer Workshop "EU Countries in Fiscal Competition" (June 2006), and participants at the American and European Public Choice Society meetings 2006 for helpful comments on an earlier draft.

1. Introduction

The number of scholarly investigations of the nexus between globalization and the welfare state is impressive and the literature is still growing at a rapid pace. The scientific community contributing to this literature is by no means restricted to the economics profession; political scientists, in particular, but also sociologists and other social scientists have been strongly involved in this ongoing academic endeavour. Even though there can be no doubt that one of the driving forces behind this research activity is intellectual curiosity regarding the essential consequences of one of the arguably most important economic phenomena of our time, it appears that many social scientists are also attracted to the subject because of the public debate that the globalization issue has aroused. Since globalization has far reaching effects on so many important aspects of everyday life, it is a topic well suited for political agents who have used the globalization issue as a vehicle to advance their agendas range from well-meaning public figures concerned about the globalization induced social dynamic, to political demagogues and street rioters.

The worries of the well-meaning objectors to global economic integration originate in the conviction that globalization will bring about a loss of power of the nation states in general, and a reduction in welfare state activities, in particular. The reasoning behind these fears runs as follows: trade liberalization and liberalization of factor mobility, via indirect factor price equalization and direct arbitrage effects, erode the developed countries' income and capital tax bases and will eventually give rise to a global tax race to the bottom which, in turn, results in the nation states' fading ability to finance welfare state activities. This downward pressure on the supply side of public welfare programs, depending on the viewpoint of the observer, reduces the efficiency of *benevolent governments* (cf. Sinn, 2003) and/or disciplines *egoistic governments* who transform discretionary power into benefits for their clientele (cf. Breton and Ursprung, 2002). The so-called "efficiency" or "discipline" effect of globalization thus reduces the range and size of government welfare programs.

By focusing on the efficiency effect of globalization, the opponents of global economic integration and unchecked systems competition neglect, however, the demand side of the political market. The demand-side effects of globalization derive from the governments' political support maximization motives that direct the political process towards a redistribution of the globalization induced economic gains, i.e. losers from globalization are to some extent compensated via an increase of social welfare programs. The so-called "compensation" effect of globalization thus undermines the "efficiency" effect, implying that

from a theoretical point of view the total effect of globalization on the extent of national welfare programs remains ambiguous.

The basic rationale of this argument is summarized in Figure 1. The government balances the political benefits and costs of its social welfare activities. On the demand side, the marginal benefit (in terms of political support) of the activities decreases as the activities are increased, whereas on the supply side the marginal cost increases. Political support is maximized at the activity level associated with the intersection of the MB and the MC-curves. A deepening or widening of economic integration now increases the marginal cost of supplying social welfare programs as well as the marginal benefit via the demand effect thereby shifting the two curves upwards (MC_0 to MC_1 and MB_0 to MB_1). Whether the *efficiency effect* of globalization dominates the *compensation effect* or vice versa is a matter to be resolved by empirical research.

[Insert Figure 1 about here]

Given the theoretical ambiguity of the nexus between globalization and national welfare policies, it is not surprising that much of the respective literature is empirical. However, as the literature review in the next section shows, a robust impact of globalization on government expenditures does not appear to exist. The reason might be that compensation and disciplining effects neutralize each other. It is possible, however, that the impact of these two effects depends on the type of expenditure. Therefore, any true test investigating the impact of globalization on expenditures has to focus upon shifts in the relevant expenditure shares. It is this link between globalization and expenditure shares that our paper deals with. We follow the strategy of using disaggregated data and superior econometric techniques that characterize the second-generation studies on the globalization-welfare state nexus. In contrast to the existing literature we do, however, not estimate the impact of globalization on individual policy dimensions, but acknowledge that all policy measures are to some extent substitutes or complements vis-à-vis each other, implying that *indirect* globalization effects, working through changes in related welfare-state activities, may play an important role. Mutual interdependence is clearly an issue if one focuses, as we do, on disaggregated government spending since all categories of government spending are connected via the overall budget policy.¹ While previous studies investigated the impact of globalization on a range of individual expenditure shares in GDP (see the literature review below) none of them took indirect effects into account. Applying our research strategy, we might be able to uncover globalization effects that remain otherwise hidden.

In terms of Figure 1 our research strategy can be illustrated as follows. So far we have only considered *direct* effects of globalization on a particular type of government program in Figure 1. If globalization also affects other programs which may have an influence on the considered program, these indirect (mutual) effects may cause the MB and MC-curves to shift more or less upwards as compared to a situation in which the considered policy shift is analyzed in isolation. The dashed lines portray *indirect* interaction effects that are in our example assumed to further increase marginal cost (MC₁ to MC₂) and to diminish marginal benefit (MB₁ to MB₂) thereby giving rise to a positive total effect (including interaction related influences). Figure 1 indicates that the *total effect of the globalization* shock is, in general, composed of a *direct effect* (consisting of the *efficiency* and the *compensation effect*) and an *interaction effect*. Our empirical method is designed to account for these hitherto neglected interaction effects.

We thus estimate whole systems of equations, analyzing to what extent the relative importance of specific expenditure categories is influenced by globalization. According to the compensation hypothesis some categories may become more important even if the overall level of government expenditures remains unchanged. This particularly applies for social expenditures. The disciplining effect of globalization, on the other hand, will most likely affect expenditure categories whose benefits are not immediately visible, as, for example, expenditures on capital.

In the remainder of this paper we analyze whether and to what extent globalization influences the composition of government expenditures. For that purpose we will use two different datasets focusing upon different countries, periods and decompositions of government expenditures. To further ensure robustness of our results, different measures of economic globalization will be used. Overall, we do not find evidence that any of our expenditure categories has been significantly affected by any of our globalization indicators. In our view, this implies that either the hitherto neglected interaction effects blur the two direct effects to a rather large extent, or governments throughout the world have not rearranged their expenditure shares as a result of globalization.

¹ Interaction effects may, however, also emanate from policies that are not primarily fiscal in nature, such as macroeconomic or environmental policies. For globalization induced effects on these policy fields, see, for

The next section summarizes the mainly empirical literature on the effect of globalization on government programs. Subsequently, we will describe our data and method of estimation. Section 4 contains the estimation results. Section 5 concludes.

2. Literature overview

The earlier literature on the globalization-welfare state nexus (for a survey, see Schulze and Ursprung, 1999) mainly dealt with three issues, the first one being the structural taxcompetition effect. Economic reasoning suggests that the tax burden is shifted away from the increasingly mobile factors, i.e. in particular capital, when a country becomes progressively more integrated in the world economy. Notable contributions are Garrett (1995), Quinn (1997), Rodrik (1997) and Swank (1997). The second issue directly addresses the question whether globalization has a positive or negative effect on welfare state activities as measured by the relative size of the government sector. The third avenue of investigation takes a more differentiated approach to measuring welfare state activities by focusing not on the *level* of government spending but on the *structure* thereof, i.e. on specific categories such as social security and welfare expenditures. Notable contributions to these two lines of inquiry are Hicks and Swank (1992), Huber at al. (1993), Garrett (1995), Cusack (1997), Garrett and Mitchell (1997), Quinn (1997), Garrett (1998a, 1998b), Swank (1997), and Rodrik (1998).

After having surveyed the early literature, Schulze and Ursprung (1999) arrive at the following conclusion (pp. 345-347):

"The general picture drawn by the few econometric studies available thus far does not lend any support to any alarmist view. At an aggregate level, many of these studies find no negative relationship between globalization and the nation states' ability to conduct independent fiscal policies. ... Viewing the income and expenditure side of government budgets separately, a cautious interpretation of the empirical evidence suggests that ... it cannot be rejected out of hand that the tax structure may have been influenced by the globalization process – the observed decline in effective average CIT (corporate income tax) rates and the convergence of CIT rates across countries is certainly compatible with such an interpretation. ... Given the small corporate income tax base and the fact that no shift of the tax burden from capital to labour has taken place, it is not surprising that, on the expenditure side, no strong evidence points to a significant globalization-induced change of the level of public spending. But also accustomed expenditure patterns do not appear to have changed in

example, Tytell (2004) and Schulze and Ursprung (2001), respectively.

6

the course of globalization. This may be due, however, to a lack of studies using strongly disaggregated public expenditure data."

Many contributions to the more recent globalization literature have indeed taken up this implicit challenge and have used disaggregated data in order to focus on specific welfarestate programs; others have focused on specific groups of countries or have refined the empirical methods. We briefly run through some of these studies in turn.

In a reconsideration of their earlier unpublished study of 1997, Garrett and Mitchell (2001) arrive at conclusions that seem to contradict the received wisdom as summarized above. Kittel and Winner (2005) and Plümper, Manow and Troeger (2005) show, however, that the results obtained by Garrett and Mitchell (2001) cannot be reproduced if the econometric model is properly specified. Both follow-up studies rather come to the conclusion that government spending is primarily driven by the state of the domestic economy and thus independent of international economic openness, implying not only the absence of significant efficiency effects but also the absence of compensatory measures. This result is in line with the study by Iversen and Cusack (2000) who do not find any relationship between globalization and the level of labour-market risks (in terms of employment and wages), whereas uncertainty and dislocations caused by deindustrialization appear to have spurred electoral demands for welfare state compensation and risk sharing. Demand for welfare state activities thus appear to be home made and not to be induced by labour market risks related to international trade. Dreher and Gaston (2005) find that globalization gave rise to deunionization. However, in delving further into the issue, they find that it is social integration, rather than economic integration, that has been the main contributor to the decline in union membership. Bretschger and Hettich (2002), use an ingenious novel measure of openness which corrects for country size and find that globalization has a negative and significant impact on corporate income taxes and tends to raise labour taxes. On the other hand they also find that globalization increases social expenditures. As a consequence, efficiency has an impact on the tax-mix, whereas compensation is provided through increased social expenditures. Dreher (2006a), finally, investigates the impact of various dimensions of globalization on the tax mix and government expenditures. Regarding overall and social expenditures, none of the three dimensions of globalization (economic, political, and social) appears to have a significant impact. The same is true for average effective tax rates on consumption and labour. When it comes to tax rates on capital, however, the result depends on how the tax burden is measured. While a globalization-induced increase in implicit tax rates on capital is compatible with the data when the average effective tax rates constructed by

Carey and Rabesona (2002) are employed, the opposite conclusion can be drawn when one uses the legislation-based data by Devereux and Griffith (2003).

Studies focusing on specific groups of countries usually examine the impact of global economic integration on developing countries. Rudra (2002), for example, observes that defending welfare benefits under the pressures of globalization is much easier in OECD countries that in LDCs where workers are not as well organized and therefore cannot overcome their collective action problems. This result points to the crucial role of the political regime in accommodating the demand side of the political market. Analyzing Latin American countries, Kaufman and Segura-Ubiergo (2001) and Avelino, Brown and Hunter (2005) therefore control for the influence of the political regime. The empirical evidence uncovered by Kaufman and Segura-Ubiergo favours the efficiency hypothesis and suggests that democracies may be more responsive to compensation demands than other regimes, at least when it comes to social spending on health and education.² Avelino, Brown and Hunter also find that education is positively associated with openness (as do Rudra, 2004, and Ansell, 2004), but Avelino et al. obtain a more robust impact of democratic regimes and their estimates are generally supportive of the *compensation* hypothesis; their overall results are quite in line with those obtained by Adsera and Boix (2002) who used a more encompassing sample of countries.

Apart from responding to globalization pressures in different ways, political regimes may also be linked to globalization in a causal relationship. On the one hand, Richards, Gelleny and Sacko (2001) discover systematic evidence that both foreign direct investment and portfolio investment are reliably associated with increased government respect for human rights. This finding is corroborated by Rudra (2005) who finds that globalization in general strengthens democracy in the developing world if social safety nets are used to provide stability and to build political support.³ On the other hand, quite a few studies show that civil and political freedom in turn attract foreign direct investments (see, for example, Harms and Ursprung, 2002; Bengoa and Sanches-Robles, 2003; Busse, 2004), thus giving rise to a virtuous globalization-democratization cycle.

This literature review indicates that there is no robust impact of globalization on government expenditures. The reason might be that the compensation, disciplining and interaction effects as described in the introduction neutralize each other. It is likely, however, that the compensation and disciplining effects vary in size across the various types of

 $^{^{2}}$ Globalization has also an effect on education via migration. This aspect has up to now mainly been analyzed from a theoretical point of view; see, for example, Ansell (2003) and Gersbach and Schmutzler (2005).

government expenditure. Therefore, the true test for any investigation of the impact of globalization on government expenditures has to focus on shifts in the relevant expenditure *shares*.⁴ It is this link between globalization and expenditure shares that the remainder of our paper deals with.

3. Data and Method

In order to test whether and to what extent globalization affects the composition of government expenditures, we estimate combined cross-section time-series (panel) regressions with yearly data. To check for robustness over time, across countries and especially with respect to the number of expenditure categories, we employ two datasets. The first data set is taken from the World Bank's (2004) World Development Indicators. It contains data for up to 108 countries covering the period 1970-2001. Data are classified according to four broad expenditure categories: capital expenditures, expenditures for goods and services, interest payments, and subsidies and other current transfers. This data is available as a share of total expenditures. Figure 2 shows the development of the average expenditure shares over time for the largest sample possible. The most prevalent feature of the graph is the increase in interest payments over time (from 5 percent to 11.5 percent). The share of subsidies increased from 28.5 percent to 32.5 percent over the sample period, while the share of expenditures on goods decreased from 46.1 percent to 40.3 percent, and the share of capital expenditures from 20.4 to 15.7 percent. There is thus no obvious erosion in subsidies over time.⁵

[Insert Figure 2 about here]

The second dataset has been developed by the OECD. The OECD Public Expenditure Database (2004) provides a much more detailed classification of government expenditures. However, this data is only available since 1990 – and only for up to 15 OECD countries. For this smaller sample, the following ten expenditure categories are available: expenditures on public services, defence, public order and safety, economic affairs, environment protection; housing and community amenities, health, recreation, culture and religion; education, and social expenditures. Figure 3 shows that the largest increases in shares have occurred for social expenditures (+4.2 percentage-points) and health expenditures (+3.2 percentage-

 ³ The results obtained by Li and Reuveny (2003) are, however, much less supportive of this general hypothesis.
 ⁴ See also the recent model in Exbrayat, Gaigné and Riou (2006), who show how trade integration might affect the pattern of public spending.

points); for public services, defence and economic affairs shares have decreased by 5.2, 3.0 and 2.1 percentage points, respectively.

[Insert Figure 3 about here]

Our dependent variables are the respective expenditure categories as a (percentage) share of total expenditures. Since some of the data are not available for all countries or years, the panel is unbalanced and the number of observations depends on the choice of explanatory variables. For ease of comparison, we keep the sample fixed (to those countries and years for which all variables are available). Furthermore, we select our sample to only include those observations for which these four or ten categories do indeed sum up to total government expenditures (i.e. 100 percent). This results in a world sample of 624 observations containing 60 countries over the period 1971-2001. When we restrict this dataset to cover only OECD countries, we have 255 observations for 18 countries over the years 1971-2001. In both cases most observations stem from the 1980s and 1990s. Using the OECD dataset, i.e. focusing on ten expenditure categories, leaves us with 64 observations for only 10 countries covering the years 1991-2001. We found significant fixed country effects in all specifications. However, the coefficients of the country dummies are not reported in the tables. All standard errors are estimated robustly. All variables, their precise definitions and data sources are listed in Appendix II.

To measure globalization, we employ various proxies that have been suggested in the literature. The first is openness to trade measured as the sum of imports and exports as a share of GDP. The second indicator of globalization is the sum of the absolute values of inflows and outflows of foreign direct investment (as a share of GDP)⁶ and the third refers to restrictions on the capital account. The indicator of capital account restrictions is constructed with binary data from the International Monetary Fund's annual report *Exchange Arrangements and Exchange Restrictions*. We focus on four types of restrictions:

- restrictions on payments for capital account transactions,
- separate exchange rate(s) for some or all capital transactions and/or some or all invisibles,

⁵ This pattern also emerges for balanced samples, and for OECD countries only. The largest balanced sample we were able to construct consists of 46 countries covering the 1975-1995 period.

⁶ Ideally, we would like to have the stocks of FDI instead of their flows as a measure of globalization. However, fdi stocks are neither available over the entire period under study nor for all countries included.

- surrender requirements for proceeds from exports and/or invisible transactions and
- restrictions for payments on current transactions.

While the first three types of restrictions can broadly be interpreted as some kind of controls on capital flows, the third restriction has been included because current transactions can be used to circumvent restrictions on the capital account (Milesi-Ferretti, 1998: 225).⁷ The respective data has been collected by Grilli and Milesi-Ferretti (1995) and Dreher and Siemers (2005).

Our index of restrictions aggregates the four measures. The index takes the value of 1 for fully restricted capital accounts, and 0, if no restrictions are in place.⁸ As an obvious shortcoming of this approach is that it neither measures the intensity nor the effectiveness of controls. One would also like to distinguish between controls on inflows and outflows of capital. We do, however, neither have the data to adequately control for intensity and effectiveness,⁹ nor the data that would allow an analysis of inflows and outflows.

Clearly, globalization is a broad concept that cannot be captured completely by the three indicators discussed above. We therefore employ the KOF Index of Globalization developed in Dreher (2006c) as additional measure of globalization.¹⁰ The index captures the three main dimensions of globalization – economic integration, political integration and social

⁷ In 1997 the IMF changed the format of its survey. Following Glick and Hutchison (2000) and Dreher and Siemers (2005) we coded "restrictions on payments for capital account restrictions" to be unity if controls were in place in 5 or more of the sub-categories of capital account restrictions, and "financial credit" was one of the categories restricted.

⁸ A similar procedure has been employed, among others, by Gruben, McLeod (2001), Bai and Wei (2001) and Dreher and Siemers (2005).

⁹ To proxy the intensity or effectiveness of capital controls, black market premiums, onshore-offshore interest differentials and deviations from covered interest parity have been employed (e.g. Giavazzi and Pagano, 1988; Dooley and Isard, 1980). However, those variables measure other aspects as well. We focus on the existence rather than the degree of controls and do not use them.

¹⁰ The index has recently been used to analyze the impact of globalization on various economic, political and social outcomes. For example, Ekman (2003) studies the impact of globalization on health, Dreher (2006a) studies the impact on the size of government, Dreher (2006b, 2006c) focuses on economic growth, Tsai (2005) examines human well-being, Dreher and Gaston (2005) examine the impact on trade union membership, Bjørnskov (2006) studies the effects on institutional quality, Bergh (2006) analyzes the impact of globalization

integration. It is based on a large number of variables that relate to the three main dimensions of globalization. These variables have been combined to form six groups: actual flows of trade and investment, restrictions of international transactions, variables measuring the degree of political integration, variables quantifying the extent of personal contacts with people living in foreign countries, variables measuring trans-border flows of information, and a proxy for cultural integration. These six groups are combined to form the three sub-indices and one overall index of globalization with the help of an objective statistical method – the same method that has been applied by Gwartney and Lawson (2001) in constructing their well-known economic freedom index. We employ the overall index and the three sub-indices here in addition to the more conventional proxies of globalization.

[Insert Figure 4 about here] [Insert Figure 5 about here]

Figure 4 reports the world averages of the traditional measures of globalization, while Figure 5 reports those of the KOF-index of globalization and its sub-components. As can be seen, globalization increased over the sample period of about 30 years: The volume of trade and foreign direct investments markedly increased, while capital account restrictions became less prevalent. Also, the KOF globalization index and its sub-components increased substantially over this period.

Table 1 reports the correlation among our globalization measures. All correlations have the expected sign (except for political and social integration where theory is ambiguous). However, the absolute degree of correlation varies between 1 and 96 percent. This clearly indicates the difficulties associated with measuring a concept like globalization.

Instead of (or in addition to) being affected by globalization, the expenditure composition in a particular country might also depend directly on the composition in other countries. Following Devereux et al. (2002), a country's policy reaction function can be written as

on the welfare state, and Lamla (2005) the impact of globalization on pollution. The data and detailed description is available at http://www.globalization-index.org.

$$y_{i,t} = R_i(y_{-i,t-1}, X_{i,t}),$$
(1)

with $y_{i,t}$ being the respective expenditure category, $y_{-i,t-1}$ being the vector of expenditure shares in all other countries at time t-1, and X being a vector of control variables.

Clearly, this equation cannot be estimated given available degrees of freedom. Following the earlier literature, Devereux et al. (2002) therefore suggest replacing the vector $y_{-i,t-1}$ by the weighted average $A_{i,t} = \sum_{j \neq i} \omega_{ij} y_{jt}$. Since countries are more likely to respond to countries in their immediate neighbourhood and less so to more distant ones, we employ the inverse of the distance between the capital cities of the countries to arrive at the weights ω_{ij} .

The system of equations to be estimated is

$$y_{it} = \alpha + \beta y_{it-1} + \gamma_1' G_{it} + \gamma_2 A_{it-1} + \eta' X_{it} + \eta_i + \varepsilon_{it}, \qquad (2)$$

where *G* represents our measures of globalization, η_i is a country fixed effect, ε_{it} is an error term and *i* either ranges from 1 to 4 (WB-dataset) or from 1 to 10 (OECD-dataset).¹¹

The lagged dependent variable is included because the composition of government expenditures changes only slowly over time. The reason for this inertia might be costs of adjustment on the part of the private sector or constraints imposed by interest groups (Devereux, Lockwood and Redoano 2002: 4). We cannot include fixed period effects, since they are already present in the weighted average and the lagged dependent variable (see Devereux et al., 2002, for details). Note that the weighted average enters the regressions with a lag. From a theoretical perspective this is preferable, since it takes time for a country to respond to changes in other countries' policies. Econometrically, this allows estimation without instrumenting the potentially endogenous contemporaneous average policy variables (Devereux et al. 2002).¹²

A general problem in empirical research when there is no accepted theoretical model is the appropriate choice of covariates, i.e. variables entering our *X*-vector. We opt for a list of seven variables to enter our model: real economic growth, age dependency ratio, government expenditures, government debt, lending rate and inflation rate. Before including our globalization measures, we use a general-to-specific methodology to select only variables significant at the five percent level into our baseline specification.

¹¹ Note that our measures of globalization enter the regressions with their contemporaneous values. Lagging these variables by one year does not qualitatively change the results.

¹² Dreher (2006a) applies the same methodology to test for the impact of globalization on the size of public overall and social spending and effective tax rates on labour, consumption and capital.

The first variable – the growth rate of real GDP – accounts for the business cycle. Arguably, one may expect subsidies to rise in recessions, while public investments are likely to be reduced. According to Aubin et al. (1988), public capital spending is likely to decrease when inflation accelerates and to increase with increasing unemployment. As Dreher (2006a) shows, social spending is significantly lower in periods of low growth.

The second variable we include in our basic regressions is the share of under 15-year and over 64-year old people relative to total population ("age dependency ratio"). The dependency ratio controls for demographic factors. It is expected to vary positively with subsidies and negatively with capital outlays.¹³

Our third variable is the total amount of public expenditures (in percent of GDP) since there is good reason to believe that the composition of government expenditures also depends on its level. In countries with smaller state sectors we expect social expenditures to be relatively low, while government consumption is likely to be higher than in counties with large state sectors.

Government debt and the lending rate are included since they directly affect the governments' expenditure behavior. The rate of inflation finally reduces the real value of tax revenues which renders expenditure increases less likely.

Since the individual expenditure categories are not independent of each other – if measured correctly, they sum up to 100 percent of total expenditures – and the inclusion of the lagged dependent variables implies that each equation has a different set of regressors, we estimate our equations using Seemingly Unrelated Regressions (SUR). The SUR model permits nonzero covariance between the error terms of the expenditure share equations, allowing for an improvement in efficiency of SUR relative to the classical OLS estimator.

There are additional methodological problems. Given the inclusion of the lagged endogenous variable and fixed country effects, the OLS estimator is biased and inconsistent in a short panel. Especially for the OECD data which are available for only 10 years we have to check whether the bias significantly affects our results. To deal with this problem, we employ the GMM estimator developed by Arellano and Bond (1991) in addition to the SUR estimates. The GMM estimator first-differences the estimating equation and uses lags of the dependent variable from at least two periods earlier as well as lags of the right-hand side variables as instruments. Since there are more instruments than right-hand side variables, the equations are over-identified and instruments need to be weighted in an appropriate manner.

¹³ Overall, however, government total and social expenditure levels are not robustly related to the age dependency ratio (Dreher 2006a).

We only present results from the Arellano-Bond one-step estimator, which uses the identity matrix as a weighting matrix. The two-step estimator weighs the instruments asymptotically efficiently using the one-step estimates. However, in small samples like the one used here, standard errors tend to be under-estimated by the two-step estimator (Arellano and Bond 1991: 291).

4. Results

We start with analyzing the four-category dataset. Table 2 shows the overall significance of the independent variables in our four-equation system when using our balanced sample of 60 countries covering the 1971-2001 period. In each block one of our globalization variables is included. The reported F-statistics test whether a particular variable can be excluded from all four expenditure-share equations. Table 3 shows the results for the sample of OECD countries only. In Table 4 we include either all three conventional globalization variables or all sub-indices of the KOF index. With respect to the variables selected in the baseline model, the only difference is that the age dependency ratio is included when analyzing the encompassing (world) sample, i.e. this variable is highly significant in the system; its explanatory power for the OECD sample, however, becomes insignificant and is therefore dropped from this specification. None of the globalization variables turns out to be significant in any of the specifications.¹⁴

[Insert Table 2, Table 3, Table 4 and Table 5 about here]

Turning now to the individual impact of the control and globalization variables, Table 5 reports the individual coefficients and significance levels underlying the results presented in Table 4. First, note that the estimated coefficients of the lagged dependent variables are almost identical; only when showing the third digits, small differences do emerge. For the interpretation of the remaining coefficients, this similarity implies that the sum of the coefficients of a particular variable across the equations should (and actually do) sum up to zero. Given the identical speed of adjustment across all four categories, it must be the case that a positive impact on one expenditure share is neutralized by a negative impact on some other expenditure shares.

¹⁴ We also included the overall index of globalization instead of its three sub-dimensions, leading to the same results.

For the "world" sample, goods expenditures significantly increase with an increasing age dependency ratio, while subsidies significantly decrease.¹⁵ Goods expenditures are significantly lower in countries with a big state sector while interest payments are significantly higher. This rather intuitive result transpires from the world as well as from the OECD sample. Welfare states to a large extent rely on debt financing which implies relatively high interest payments. In the world sample, inflation and interest payment shares are positively correlated. Since this finding does not hold in the OECD sample, this appears to be suggestive for the thesis that especially developing countries' governments inflate their debt positions away by money creation. Note that the inflation rate clearly outperforms the lending rate in our sample covering the 1970-2001 period. Inflation and goods expenditure shares are negatively correlated.

Turning to the globalization variables, our results reveal a clear picture. In none of the specifications we tested any of our measures of globalization turned out to have a significant impact. A potential reason for this result might be the high level of aggregation; the efficiency and compensation effects neutralize each other in the rather large categories of expenditures, or the effects are blurred by potential indirect effects between the four categories. The only solution in such a case is to further disaggregate the different categories of expenditures. In a next step we therefore repeat the above analysis using our OECD database which allows us to distinguish between ten different expenditure classes.

[Insert Tables 6a and 6b about here]

As can be seen from Tables 6a and 6b, two out of three former baseline variables are replaced by others. Besides the age dependency ratio – which was already significant in the world sample – the expenditure share in neighbouring countries and the lending rate now turn out to be significant in the OECD sample.

The lagged endogenous variable is positive and significant (at least at the ten percent level) in all reported regressions. As compared to the world sample, the coefficients in this sample substantially differ across equations. Whereas the lagged dependent variable in the housing equation has a coefficient of only 0.06 – implying a very high speed of adjustment –

¹⁵ Unless otherwise mentioned, we refer to the five percent level of significance.

its coefficient in the education equation has a coefficient of 0.78 which indicates a rather slow adjustment over time.¹⁶

Just as in the more encompassing sample, the percentage of the dependent variable explained by the regressors is reasonably high. The only exception appears to be the regression on economic affairs expenditures, with an R^2 of only 0.42. The impact of the weighted average of the neighbouring countries' respective expenditure shares is significant in the public order (+), and recreation (-) equations.

Our measures of globalization are completely insignificant in almost all specifications, the only exception being the expenditure share on recreation which decreases with increasing globalization (as measured by restrictions on the capital account), the impact being significant at the one percent level. The share of health expenditures appears to increase with increasing *social* globalization, and the share of education expenditures with increasing *political* globalization (at the five and one percent level of significance, respectively). However, the three globalization variables are all jointly insignificant in the system of equations. We therefore conclude that globalization did not affect the composition of government expenditures.

In Appendix I the analysis is replicated employing the consistent Arellano-Bond GMM estimator treating all the covariates as strictly exogenous. Again we only report results for the full models, including all three proxies of globalization. Applying the Arellano-Bond estimator leads to a dramatic loss of observations, since information from two periods is discarded by differencing and instrumenting. This loss usually results in lower t-statistics. Surprisingly, the estimated coefficients of the lagged dependent variable are in most regressions smaller than in the within groups estimations, although econometric theory suggests that this coefficient should be biased negatively in the fixed effects specification. This unusual finding could be interpreted as evidence that the bias described by Nickell (1981) is not present in the dynamic within groups specification and that the results displayed above are valid. We employed a Sargan test to check whether the instruments are not correlated with the error term, and the Arellano-Bond test for second-order autocorrelation in the first difference residuals (because the estimator would not be consistent in the presence of second-order correlation). In line with the bulk of literature those tests are based on the two-step estimator. As can be seen, both tests accept the instruments.

¹⁶ Note that this implies that the coefficients of a given variable no longer add up to zero across the ten equations.

5. Summary

In this paper, we examined the *composition* of public expenditures rather than the overall level. Economic theory suggests different kinds of government expenditures to react differently to globalization. According to the disciplining hypothesis, globalization restrains governments by inducing increased budgetary pressure. As a consequence, governments shift their expenditures in favour of transfers and subsidies and away from capital expenditures. The efficiency/disciplining effect is thus expected to reduce the share of capital expenditures.

The compensation effect, on the other hand, is expected to give rise to a higher share of social expenditures. The expenditure shift potentially induced by the disciplining effect might therefore be enhanced by citizens' preferences to be compensated for the risks of globalization.

We employed two different datasets and various measures of globalization to analyze whether globalization has influenced the composition of government expenditures. For a sample of 108 countries, we examined the development of four broad expenditure categories for the period 1970-2001: capital expenditures; expenditures for goods and services; interest payments; and subsidies and other current transfers. For the OECD countries in the post 1990 period, we examined a dataset providing a much more detailed classification: public expenditures, expenditures for defence, order, economic environment, housing, health, recreation, education, and social expenditures.

Simple correlation analysis already showed that the evidence is at best weak. More thorough econometric analyses did not find any significant effects. We therefore conclude that globalization has not affected the composition of government expenditures. There are three explanations for this result. First, the efficiency and compensation effects might neutralize each other. Second, the effects of globalization might be blurred by potential indirect effects between different expenditure categories. And third, the effects of globalization might be exaggerated in the popular discussion and might simply not exist.

References

- Adsera, A. and C. Boix, 2002, Trade, Democracy, and the Size of the Public Sector: The Political Underpinnings of Openness, *International Organization* 56, 229-262.
- Ansell, B., 2003, Human Capital and Globalization, Presented at the American Political Science Association Annual Meeting, Philadelphia.
- Ansell, B., 2004, Education Through Globalization, Presented at the American Political Science Association Annual Meeting, Chicago.
- Arellano, M. and S. Bond, 1991, Some Tests of Specification for Panel Data: Monte Carlo Evidence and an Application to Employment Equations, *Review of Economic Studies* 58, 277-297.
- Aubin, C., J.-P. Berdot, D. Goyeau and J.-D. Lafay, 1988, The Growth of Public Expenditure in France, in: J.A. Lybeck and M. Henrekson (eds.), *Explaining the Growth of Government*, Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Avelino, G., D. Brown and W. Hunter, 2005, The effects of capital mobility, trade openness, and democracy on social spending in Latin America, 1980-1999, *American Journal of Political Science* 49, 3, forthcoming.
- Bai, C.-E. and S.-J. Wei, 2001, The Quality of Bureaucracy and Capital Account Policies,
 World Bank Working Paper Series, No. 2575, Washington, DC: World Bank,
 Development Research Group.
- Bengoa, M. and B. Sanches-Robles, 2003, Foreign direct investment, economic freedom and growth: new evidence from Latin America, *European Journal of Political Economy* 19, 529-545.
- Bergh, A., 2006, Explaining Welfare State Survival: The Role of Economic Freedom and Globalization, Ratio Institut, mimeo.
- Bjørnskov, C., 2006, Globalization and institutions: new evidence using the Dreher Index, Aarhus University, mimeo.
- Breton, A. and H.W. Ursprung, 2002, Globalisation, Competitive Governments, and Constitutuional Choice in Europe, in Henryk Kierzkowski (ed.): *Europe and Globalization*, Palgrave, Houndmills, 274-301.
- Bretschger, L. and F. Hettich, 2002, Globalisation, capital mobility and tax competition: theory and evidence for OECD countries, *European Journal of Political Economy* 18, 695-716.

- Busse, M., 2004, Transnational corporations and repression of political rights and civil liberties: An empirical analysis, *Kyklos* 57, 45-66.
- Carey, D. and J. Rabesona, 2002, Tax ratios on labour and capital income and on consumption, OECD Economic Studies 35.
- Cusack, T.R., 1997, 'Partisan Politics and Public Finance: Changes in Public Spending in the Industrialized Democracies 1955-1989', *Public Choice* 91, 375-395.
- Devereux, M.P. and R. Griffith, 2003, Evaluating tax policy for location decisions, *International Tax and Public Finance* 10, 2, 107-126.
- Devereux, M.P., B. Lockwood and M. Redoano, 2002. Do countries compete over corporate tax rates? University of Warwick, mimeo.
- Dooley, M. and P. Isard, 1980, Capital Controls, Political Risk and Deviations from Interest Rate Parity, *Journal of Political Economy* 88, 370-384.
- Dreher, A., 2006a, The Influence of Globalization on Taxes and Social Policy an Empirical Analysis for OECD Countries, *European Journal of Political Economy* 22, 1, 179-201.
- Dreher, A., 2006b, IMF and Economic Growth: The Effects of Programs, Loans, and Compliance with Conditionality, *World Development* 34, 5, 769-788.
- Dreher, A., 2006c, Does Globalization Affect Growth? Evidence from a new Index of Globalization, *Applied Economics* 38, 10: 1091-1110.
- Dreher, A. and N. Gaston, 2005, Has Globalization Really Had No Effect on Unions? KOF Working Paper 110, ETH Zurich.
- Dreher, A. and L.-H.R. Siemers, 2005, The Intriguing Nexus Between Corruption and Capital Account Restrictions, KOF Working Paper 113, ETH Zurich.
- Ekman, B., 2003, Globalization and Health: An Empirical Analysis Using Panel Data, Lund University, mimeo.
- Exbrayat, N., C. Gaigné and S. Riou, 2006, Trade integration and the destination of public expenditures, University of Saint-Etienne, mimeo.
- Garrett, G., 1995, 'Capital Mobility, Trade, and the Domestic Politics of Economic Policy', *International Organization* 49, 657-87.
- Garrett, G., 1998a, 'Global Markets and National Politics: Collision Course or Virtuous Circle?' *International Organization* 52, 4, 787-824.
- Garrett, G., 1998b, 'Governing the Global Economy: Economic Policy and Market Integration Around the World', mimeo (Yale University).

- Garrett, G. and D. Mitchell, 1997, International Risk and Social Insurance: Reassessing the Globalization-Welfare State Nexus, mimeo (Yale University).
- Garrett, G. and D. Mitchell, 2001, Globalization, government spending and taxation in the OECD, *European Journal of Political Research* 39, 145-177.
- Gersbach, H. and A. Schmutzler, 2005, The effects of Globalization on worker training, CEPR DP No. 4879.
- Giavazzi, F. and M. Pagano, 1988, Capital Controls in the EMS", in: D. E. Fair and C. de Boissieu (eds.), *International Monetary and Financial Integration: The European Dimension*, Dordrecht, 261-289.
- Glick, R. and M.M. Hutchison, 2000, Capital Controls and Exchange Rate Instability in Developing Economies, FRBSF Center for Pacfic Basin Monetary and Economic Research Working Paper PB-005.
- Grilli, V. and G.M. Milesi-Ferretti, 1995, Economic Effects and Structural Determinants of Capital Controls, *IMF Staff Papers* 42, 3: 517-551.
- Gruben, W.C. and D. McLeod, 2001, Capital Account Liberalization and Disinflation in the 1990s, Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas CLAE Working Paper 0101.
- Gwartney, J. and R. Lawson, 2001, Economic Freedom of the World: Annual Report, http://www.freetheworld.org/.
- Harms, P. and H. Ursprung, 2002, Do Civil and Political Repression Really Boost Foreign Direct Investments? *Economic Inquiry* 40, 651-663.
- Hicks, A. and D. Swank, 1992, 'Politics, Institutions, and Welfare Spending in Industrialized Democracies 1960-82', *American Political Science Review* 86, 658-674.
- Huber, E., C. Ragin and J. Stephens, 1993, 'Social Democracy, Christian Democracy, Constitutional Structure, and the Welfare State', *American Journal of Sociology* 99, 711-749.
- Iversen, T. and T. Cusack, 2000, The Causes of Welfare State Expansion: Deindustrialization or Globalization? World Politics 52, 313-349.
- Kaufman, R. and A. Segura-Ubiergo, 2001, Globalization, domestic politics, and social spending in Latin America, A time-series cross-section analysis, 1973-1997, World Politics 53, 553-87.
- Kittel, B. and H. Winner, 2005, How reliable is pooled analysis in political economy? The globalization-welfare state nexus revisited, *European Journal of Political Research*, forthcoming.

- Lamla, M., 2005, Long-run Determinants of Pollution: A Robustness Analysis, ETH Zurich, mimeo.
- Li, Q. and R. Reuveny, 2003, Economic globalization and democracy: An empirical investigation, *British Journal of Political Science* 33, 29-54.
- Milesi-Ferretti, G.M., 1998, Why Capital Controls? Theory and Evidence, in: S. Eijffinger and H. Huizinga (eds.), *Positive Political Economy: Theory and Evidence*, Cambridge University Press, UK: 217-247.
- Nickell, S.J., 1981, Biases in Dynamic Models with Fixed Effects, *Econometrica*, 49, 1417-1426.
- OECD, 2004, Public Expenditure Database, CD-Rom, Paris.
- Plümper, T., P. Manow and V. Troeger, 2005, Pooled data analysis in the comparative political economy of the welfare state: A note on methodology and theory, *European Journal of Political Research*, forthcoming
- Quinn, D., 1997, The Correlates of Change in International Financial Regulation, American Political Science Review 91, 531-551.
- Richards, D., R. Gelleny and D. Sacko, 2001, Money with a Mean Streak? Foreign economic penetration and government respect for human rights in developing countries, *International Studies Quarterly* 45, 219-239.
- Rodrik, D., 1997, Has Globalization Gone Too Far?, Institute for International Economics (Washington, DC).
- Rodrik, D., 1998, Why Do More Open Economies Have Bigger Governments?, Journal of Political Economy 106, 997-1032.
- Rudra, N., 2002, Globalization and the Decline of the Welfare State in Less Developed Countries, *International Organization* 56, 411-445.
- Rudra, N., 2004, Openness, Welfare Spending and Inequality in the Developing World, International Studies Quarterly 48, 683-709.
- Rudra, N., 2005, Globalization and the strengthening of democracy in the developing world, *American Journal of Political Science* 49, 4, forthcoming.
- Schulze, G. and H.W. Ursprung, 1999, Globalization of the economy and the nation state, *The World Economy* 22, 295-352.
- Schulze, G. and H.W. Ursprung, eds., 2001, *International environmental economics: A survey* of the issues, Oxford University Press, 2001
- Sinn H.W., 2003, *The new systems competition*, Yrjö-Jahnsson Lectures, Basil Blackwell, Oxford.

- Swank, D., 1997, Global Markets, Democratic Institutions, and the Public Economy in Advanced Industrial Societies, mimeo (Marquette University).
- Tsai, Ming-Chang, 2005, Does Globalization Affect Human Well-Being? mimeo.
- Tytell, I. and S. Wei, 2004, Does financial globalization induce better macroeconomic policies? Unpublished Discussion Paper, IMF, October 2004.
- World Bank, 2004, World Development Indicators, CD-Rom, Washington, DC.



Figure 1: Effects of globalization on social welfare activities.



Figure 2: Development of average expenditure shares for a sample of 108 countries.

Source: World Development Indicators (2004). Data ordered with respect to shares.



Figure 3: Development of average expenditure shares for a sample of 15 OECD countries.

Source: OECD Public Expenditure Database (2004). Data ordered with respect to changes over the sample; bottom series have largest positive change, upper series have largest negative change.



Figure 4: Average development of the globalization indicators for up to 190 countries.

Source: World Bank (2004), Grilli and Milesi-Ferretti (1995), Dreher and Siemers (2005).

Figure 5: Average development of the KOF globalization indicators for up to 123 countries.



Source: Dreher (2006c), http://www.kof.ethz.ch/globalization

Obs\Cor	Trade	IQ _H Est	oi Cap.Acc.Restr.	u san Index of Glob.	M Economic Glot	plue Social Glob.	Political Glob.	م Trade	IDI EDI	p of Cap.Acc.Restr.	contry	ester./	out Social Glob.	sts Political Glob.
Trade FDI Cap.Acc.Restr. Index of Glob. Economic Glob. Social Glob. Political Glob.	624 624 624 624 624 624 624 624	40% 624 624 624 624 624 624 624	-10% -35% 624 624 624 624 624 624	8% 40% -70% 624 624 624 624 624	36% 50% -67% 81% 624 624 624	12% 40% -62% 90% 66% 624 624	-27% 10% -43% 75% 34% 51% 624		20%	-11% -22%	29% 46% -48%	32% 34% -62% 76%	15% 48% -36% 87% 51%	23% 21% -12% 68% 26% 40%
Obs\Cor	Trade	IQ ₄ Est	oit Cap.Acc.Restr.	u but undex of Glob.	ele HO Economic Glot	D D Social Glob.	Political Glob.	م Trade	DIFECT	pa Log. Acc. Restr.	control the sof Glob.	i e E conomic Glot	ouj Be Social Glob.	sta Political Glob.
Trade FDI Cap.Acc.Restr. Index of Glob. Economic Glob. Social Glob. Political Glob.	255 255 255 255 255 255 255 255	24% 255 255 255 255 255 255 255	-1% -32% 255 255 255 255 255	19% 40% -65% 255 255 255 255	66% 46% -49% 58% 255 255 255	-17% 32% -46% 79% 23% 255 255	19% 14% -47% 73% 29% 26% 255		35%	-10% -26%	54% 52% -57%	34% 43% -75% 80%	52% 53% -46% 94% 70%	47% 30% -27% 75% 37% 56%
Obs\Cor	eperture Estin	IQ ₁ matior	dues u dues cap.Acc.Restr.	ei GIndex of Glob.	, DD D' Economic Glo	catego Social Glob.	sai.c Political Glob.	ي Trade	EDI Torrect	p D Cap.Acc.Restr.	control the sof Glob.	economic Glo	out of the second of the secon	sta Political Glob.
Trade FDI Cap.Acc.Restr. Index of Glob. Economic Glob. Social Glob. Political Glob.	65 65 65 65 65 65 65	23% 65 65 65 65 65 65	-15% -21% 65 65 65 65 65	-9% 8% -53% 65 65 65 65	77% 32% -39% 38% 65 65 65	-41% 1% -35% 91% 10% 65 65	4% 2% -58% 88% 30% 66% 65		34%	-7% -7%	67% 39% -40%	68% 28% -23% 71%	64% 40% -40% 96% 55%	50% 32% -38% 91% 58% 78%

Table 1: Correlation matrices globalization indicators.

Table 2: Significance of variables in system regressions, 4 expenditure categories and 60 countries.

	World	- Trade	Worl	d - FDI	World -	- Cap.Rst.		
	F-test	p-value	F-test	p-value	F-test	p-value		
F	1.025.02	0.00 ***	1 021 50	0.00 ***	1.025.26	0 00 ***		
Exp.share (-1)	1,035.03	0.00 ***	1,031.58	0.00 ***	1,025.36	0.00 ***		
Age Dep	5 86	0.02 **	4 66	0 03 **	4 53	0 03 **		
CG Exp.	21.84	0.00 ***	19.85	0.00 ***	20.88	0.00 ***		
Inflation	21.63	0.00 ***	19.35	0.00 ***	16.12	0.00 ***		
Trade	2.08	0.15						
FDI			0.48	0.49				
Cap.Acc.Restr.					0.26	0.61		
# Obs., # Cnt	624	, 60	624	, 60	624	, 60		
Start-End	1971	- 2001	1971	- 2001	1971	- 2001		
	World	- Trade	Worl	d - FDI	World -	- Cap.Rst.	World -	Cap.Rst.
	F-test	p-value	F-test	p-value	F-test	p-value	F-test	p-value
Exp.share (-1)	1,085.03	0.00 ***	1,068.36	0.00 ***	1,090.89	0.00 ***	1,074.35	0.00 **
A ge Den	5.03	0 0 25 **	7.06	0.01 ***	5 41	0.02 **	5.26	0 07 **
rge Dep.	28.67	0.025	29.29	0.01	28 44	0.02	29.04	0.02
Inflation	18.43	0.00 ***	14.53	0.00 ***	18.48	0.00 ***	18.52	0.00 **
Index of Glob	0.01	0.92						
Econ Glob	0.01	0.72	1.65	0.20				
Social Glob.			1.00	0.20	0.01	0.93		
Polit. Glob.							0.44	0.51
# Obs., # Cnt	655	, 60	655	, 60	655	, 60	655	, 60
Start-End	1971	- 2001	1971	- 2001	1971	- 2001	1971	- 2001

Notes: Test for joint significance of the respective variable in the system of equations. ***, **, * means significant at the 1, 5 and 10 percent levels, respectively.

** *** ***

Table 3:	Significance of	variables in system	regressions, 4	expenditure of	ategories and 18
OECD co	ountries.				

F-testp-valueF-testp-valueF-testp-valueExp.share (-1) 555.97 0.00 *** 585.32 0.00 *** 584.57 0.00 ***CG Exp. 12.79 0.00 *** 11.59 0.00 *** 12.03 0.00 ***Inflation 24.65 0.00 *** 22.35 0.00 *** 14.24 0.00 ***Trade 1.27 0.26 0.01 0.91 0.35 0.56
Exp.share (-1) CG Exp. Inflation 555.97 0.00 *** 12.79 585.32 0.00 *** 11.59 584.57 0.00 *** 12.03 Trade FDI Cap.Acc.Restr. 1.27 0.26 0.01 0.91 0.35 0.35
Exp.share (-1) 555.97 $0.00 ***$ 585.32 $0.00 ***$ 584.57 $0.00 ***$ CG Exp. 12.79 $0.00 ***$ 11.59 $0.00 ***$ 12.03 $0.00 ***$ Inflation 24.65 $0.00 ***$ 22.35 $0.00 ***$ 14.24 $0.00 ***$ Trade 1.27 0.26 0.01 0.91 0.35 0.56
CG Exp. 12.79 0.00 *** 11.59 0.00 *** 12.03 0.00 *** Inflation 24.65 0.00 *** 22.35 0.00 *** 14.24 0.00 *** Trade 1.27 0.26 0.01 0.91 0.35 0.56
CG Exp. 12.79 $0.00 ***$ 11.59 $0.00 ***$ 12.03 $0.00 ***$ Inflation 24.65 $0.00 ***$ 22.35 $0.00 ***$ 14.24 $0.00 ***$ Trade 1.27 0.26 0.01 0.91 0.35 0.56
Inflation 24.65 0.00 22.35 0.00 14.24 0.00 $***$ Trade 1.27 0.26 0.01 0.91 0.35 0.56 FDI 0.35 0.56 0.35 0.56
Trade 1.27 0.26 FDI 0.01 0.91 Cap.Acc.Restr. 0.35 0.56
Irade 1.27 0.26 FDI 0.01 0.91 Cap.Acc.Restr. 0.35 0.56
Cap.Acc.Restr. $0.01 \ 0.91 \ 0.35 \ 0.56$
Obs # Cnt 255 18 255 18 255 18
Start-End 1971 - 2001 1971 - 2001 1971 - 2001
World - Trade World - FDI World - Cap.Rst. World - Cap.Rst
F-test p-value F-test p-value F-test p-value F-test p-value
$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Exp.share} (-1) & 570.22 & 0.00 & *** & 575.41 & 0.00 & *** & 563.42 & 0.00 & *** & 569.38 & 0.00 & ** & 569.38 & 0.00 & *** & 569.38 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.$
$\begin{bmatrix} CG \text{ Exp.} \\ 12.24 & 0.00 \text{ ***} \\ 20.14 & 0.00 \text{ ***} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 12.65 & 0.00 \text{ ***} \\ 12.65 & 0.00 \text{ ***} \\ 10.06 & 0.00 \text{ ***} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 13.22 & 0.00 \text{ ***} \\ 13.22 & 0.00 \text{ ***} \\ 10.06 & 0.00 \text{ ***} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 13.22 & 0.00 \text{ ***} \\ 13.22 & 0.00 \text{ ***} \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1111ation \\ 20.14 & 0.00 & *** \\ 13.83 & 0.00 & *** \\ 18.96 & 0.00 & *** \\ 25.02 & 0.00 & ** \\ 25.02 &$
Index of Glob 0.02 0.00
Econ Glob
Social Glob
Polit Glob
Obs., # Cnt 255, 18 255, 18 255, 18 255, 18
Start-End 1971 - 2001 1971 - 2001 1971 - 2001 1971 - 2001

Notes: Test for joint significance of the respective variable in the system of equations. ***, **, * means significant at the 1, 5 and 10 percent levels, respectively.

Table	4:	Significance	of	variables	in	system	regressions	including	all	globalization
indicate	ors	s, 4 expenditu	re c	categories.						

	World	sample	World	sample	OECI	D sample	OECI) sample
	F-test	p-value	F-test	p-value	F-test	p-value	F-test	p-value
Exp.share (-1)	1,027.34	0.00 ***	1,034.24	0.00 ***	537.52	0.00 ***	521.06	0.00 ***
Age Dep.	5.98	0.01 **	7.89	0.00 ***				
CG Exp.	21.05	0.00 ***	29.80	0.00 ***	12.76	0.00 ***	14.77	0.00 ***
Inflation	17.07	0.00 ***	12.79	0.00 ***	14.92	0.00 ***	11.63	0.00 ***
Trade	1.78	0.18			1.58	0.21		
FDI	0.15	0.70			0.19	0.67		
Cap.Acc.Restr.	0.15	0.70			0.34	0.56		
-								
Econ. Glob.			2.57	0.11			1.55	0.21
Social Glob.			0.14	0.71			0.03	0.86
Polit. Glob.			0.83	0.36			2.59	0.11
# Obs., # Cnt	624 .	60	655	, 60	255	. 18	255 .	18
Start-End	1971 -	- 2001	1971 -	- 2001	1971	- 2001	1971 -	- 2001

Notes: Test for joint significance of the respective variable in the system of equations. ***, **, * means significant at the 1, 5 and 10 percent levels, respectively.

		World s	sample			World	sample			OECD	sample			OECD	sample	
	Goods	Subsidies	Capital	Interest	Goods	Subsidies	Capital	Interest	G oods	Subsidies	Capital	Interest	G oods	Subsidies	Capital	Interest
Exp.share (-1)	0.71 (31.84***)	0.71 (31.75***)	0.71 (31.43***)	0.71 (31.64***)	0.70 (31.87***)	0.70 (31.82***)	0.70 (31.49***)	0.70 (31.68***)	0.75 (23.04***)	0.75 (22.84***)	0.75 (21.59***)	0.75 (22.36***)	0.74 (22.60***)	0.74 (22.48***)	0.74 (20.80***)	0.74 (21.86***)
Age Dep.	0.39 (2.44**)	-0.43 (2.86***)	0.18 (1.30)	-0.14 (1.15)	0.50 (2.81***)	-0.46 (2.83***)	0.05 (0.36)	-0.09 (0.68)								
CG Exp.	-0.29 (4.59***)	0.08 (1.32)	0.11 (2.10**)	0.10 (2.05**)	-0.31 (5.46***)	0.06 (1.21)	0.13 (2.67***)	0.12	-0.18 (3.57***)	0.07 (1.28)	-0.04 (1.08)	0.15 (2.55**)	-0.21 (3.84***)	0.12 (1.96*)	-0.05 (1.42)	0.14 (2.31**)
Inflation	-0.13 (4.13***)	0.02 (0.62)	0.02 (0.69)	0.09 (3.88***)	-0.11 (3.58***)	0.01 (0.29)	0.01 (0.21)	0.09 (4.15***)	-0.16 (3.86***)	0.11 (2.44**)	0.02 (0.65)	0.03 (0.68)	-0.14 (3.41***)	0.10 (2.11**)	0.01 (0.53)	0.03 (0.64)
Trade	0.03 (1.34)	-0.01 (0.71)	-0.01 (0.31)	-0.01 (0.53)					-0.03 (1.26)	0.02 (0.58)	-0.01 (0.62)	0.03				
FDI	0.02 (0.39)	-0.04 (0.80)	0.00 (0.04)	0.02 (0.54)					0.02 (0.43)	-0.02 (0.47)	0.00 (0.11)	0.00 (0.02)				
Cap.Acc.Restr.	-0.47 (0.39)	0.61 (0.54)	-0.16 (0.15)	0.01 (0.01)					-0.54 (0.58)	0.07 (0.07)	0.16 (0.25)	0.31 (0.28)				
Econ. Glob.					1.43 (1.60)	-0.75 (0.92)	-0.72 (0.93)	0.05 (0.07)					0.98 (1.24)	-1.07 (1.21)	0.23 (0.42)	-0.15 (0.16)
Social Glob.					-0.22 (0.38)	-0.09 (0.17)	0.07 (0.15)	0.23 (0.54)					0.09 (0.18)	0.15 (0.27)	-0.30 (0.89)	0.06 (0.11)
Polit. Glob.					-0.53 (0.91)	1.04 (1.96*)	0.19 (0.38)	-0.71 (1.65)					-0.73 (1.61)	1.07 (2.15**)	-0.15 (0.51)	-0.18 (0.34)
R ²	0.86	0.83	0.87	0.86	0.85	0.83	0.87	0.85	0.84	0.79	0.78	0.77	0.85	0.80	0.78	0.77

Table 5: Detailed results including all globalization indicators, 4 expenditure categories,SUR.

Notes: (absolute) t-statistics in parentheses: ***, **, * significant at the 1, 5 and 10 percent levels, respectively.

Table 6a: Detailed results including all globalization indicators, 10 expenditurecategories and 10 OECD countries, SUR.

	F-test	p-value	Public services	Defence	Public order	Economic affair:	Environment	Housing	Health	Recreation	Education	Social
Exp share (-1)	304 36	0.00 ***	0.63	0.56	0.69	0.32	0.70	0.08	0.54	0.47	0.78	0.35
	501.50	0.00	(7.69***)	(7.60***)	(10.46***)	(4.07***)	(6.14***)	(1.64)	(7.76***)	(6.90***)	(8.97***)	(3.49***)
A_exp.share (-1)	28.12	0.00 ***	-0.33	0.13	0.63	0.27	-0.16	-0.18	-0.22	-0.33	0.14	0.00
			(1.54)	(0.68)	(2.55**)	(0.95)	(0.74)	(1.29)	(1.32)	(2.64***)	(0.67)	(0.01)
Age Dep.	9.88	0.00 ***	4.48	1.84	-0.13	-2.37	-0.22	0.16	-2.08	-0.21	-0.20	-0.99
			(3.14***)	(2.92^{***})	(0.57)	(1.70*)	(1.61)	(0.50)	(3.35***)	(2.07**)	(0.60)	(0.92)
Lending rate	7.26	0.01 ***	0.51	0.20	-0.05	-0.14	-0.04	-0.02	-0.23	-0.02	-0.05	-0.33
			(2.70***)	(2.18**)	(1.55)	(0.76)	(1.79*)	(0.44)	(2.83***)	(1.83*)	(1.21)	(2.13**)
Trade	0.62	0.43	0.05	0.02	-0.01	-0.05	0.00	-0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	-0.03
			(0.79)	(0.78)	(1.19)	(0.73)	(0.65)	(0.46)	(0.45)	(0.66)	(0.67)	(0.61)
FDI	0.10	0.76	0.01	0.02	0.00	-0.01	0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.02
			(0.31)	(1.11)	(0.07)	(0.27)	(0.42)	(0.58)	(0.42)	(0.00)	(0.71)	(0.64)
Cap.Acc.Restr.	0.30	0.58	-1.72	-2.05	0.01	2.49	0.16	-0.20	-0.59	0.58	0.02	1.57
			(0.55)	(1.44)	(0.01)	(0.79)	(0.52)	(0.28)	(0.44)	(2.67***)	(0.03)	(0.63)
# Obs., # Cnt	64 .	, 10										
Start-End, R ²	1991 -	- 2001	0.81	0.73	0.75	0.42	0.84	0.90	0.86	0.90	0.84	0.73

Notes: Test for joint significance of the respective variable in the system of equations. (absolute) t-statistics in parentheses: ***, **, * significant at the 1, 5 and 10 percent levels, respectively.

Table 6b: Detailed results including all globalization indicators, 10 expenditurecategories and 10 OECD countries, SUR.

	F-test	p-value	Public services	Defence	Public order	Economic affai	Environment	Housing	Health	Recreation	Education	Social
Exp.share (-1)	224.77	0.00 ***	0.58	0.48	0.71	0.25	0.64	0.09	0.47	0.48	0.58	0.32
1 ()			(6.82***)	(6.22***)	(10.47***)	(3.21***)	(5.70***)	(1.81*)	(6.92***)	(6.64***)	(5.78***)	(3.28***)
A_exp.share (-1)	32.69	0.00 ***	-0.61	0.12	0.46	-0.03	-0.11	-0.12	-0.80	-0.33	0.16	0.06
			(2.09**)	(0.44)	(1.88*)	(0.08)	(0.49)	(0.89)	(3.72***)	(2.39**)	(0.93)	(0.28)
Age Dep.	9.36	0.00 ***	4.38	1.74	-0.21	-1.76	-0.25	0.11	-2.38	-0.11	-0.53	-1.32
			(3.06***)	(2.66***)	(0.89)	(1.32)	(1.82*)	(0.36)	(3.99***)	(1.09)	(1.92*)	(1.21)
Lending rate	7.80	0.01 ***	0.42	0.07	-0.02	-0.03	-0.02	0.00	-0.23	0.01	-0.03	-0.25
			(2.79***)	(0.92)	(0.86)	(0.19)	(1.31)	(0.09)	(3.80***)	(0.65)	(1.16)	(1.90*)
Econ. Glob.	0.11	0.74	-0.66	-0.02	0.02	2.22	0.09	0.47	-0.91	0.22	-0.96	-0.14
			(0.33)	(0.02)	(0.07)	(1.20)	(0.46)	(1.05)	(1.13)	(1.51)	(2.01**)	(0.09)
Social Glob.	0.20	0.65	-0.44	0.05	-0.02	-0.09	-0.11	-0.18	1.13	-0.02	-0.09	-1.02
			(0.45)	(0.11)	(0.12)	(0.11)	(1.21)	(0.93)	(2.45**)	(0.35)	(0.45)	(1.26)
Polit. Glob.	0.13	0.72	0.39	-0.43	0.12	-2.30	0.13	0.03	0.57	0.05	0.95	0.83
			(0.36)	(0.85)	(0.66)	(2.24**)	(1.21)	(0.10)	(1.27)	(0.60)	(4.74***)	(1.02)
# Obs., # Cnt	64 ,	, 10										
Start-End, R ²	1991 -	- 2001	0.81	0.72	0.75	0.49	0.84	0.90	0.87	0.89	0.89	0.74

Notes: Test for joint significance of the respective variable in the system of equations. (absolute) t-statistics in parentheses: ***, **, * significant at the 1, 5 and 10 percent levels, respectively.

Appendix I: GMM results

Table	I-1a:	Detailed	results	including	all	globalization	indicators,	4	expenditure
catego	ries, G	MM							

		World	Sample		1	OECD Sample					
	Goods	Subsidies	Capital	Interest		Goods	Subsidies	Capital	Interest		
Expenditure Share (t-1)	0.183	0.363	0.548	0.714	1	0.016	0.145	0.693	0.839		
1	(2.25^{**})	(2.65***)	(9.31***)	(8.82***)		(0.15)	(1.66*)	(10.07^{***})	(19.77***)		
Age Dependency Ratio	0.049	0.168	0.186	-0.010			· /	· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	(0.29)	(0.82)	(1.4)	(0.12)							
Government Expenditure	-0.396	0.078	0.216	0.072		-0.358	0.145	-0.053	0.169		
_	(3.24***)	(0.89)	(2.08**)	(0.87)		(2.88^{***})	(1.44)	(1.00)	(4.4***)		
Inflation	-0.002	0.002	0.004	-0.008		0.003	0.008	0.001	-0.009		
	(0.36)	(0.23)	(0.76)	(1.98**)		(0.61)	(1.71*)	(0.72)	(3.96***)		
Trade	0.013	-0.052	0.022	-0.020		-0.075	0.035	-0.012	0.036		
	(0.36)	(1.37)	(0.66)	(0.69)		(1.80*)	(0.86)	(0.99)	(4.27***)		
FDI	0.017	0.002	0.027	-0.003		0.041	-0.020	0.002	0.004		
	(0.31)	(0.03)	(0.66)	(0.11)		(1.34)	(0.47)	(0.15)	(0.16)		
Capital Account Restrictions	-0.687	-1.241	-0.314	-0.195		0.658	0.462	0.483	-0.894		
	(0.35)	(0.73)	(0.23)	(0.34)		(0.41)	(0.21)	(0.77)	(1.10)		
Number of countries	57	57	57	57		18	18	18	18		
Number of observations	590	590	590	590		249	249	249	249		
Sargan test (prob>chi2)	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99		0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99		
Arellano Bond test (pr>z)	0.34	0.04	0.63	0.19		0.69	0.62	0.40	0.56		

Notes: (absolute) t-statistics in parentheses: ***, **, * significant at the 1, 5 and 10 percent levels, respectively.

Table I-1b: Detailed results including all globalization indicators, 4 expenditurecategories, GMM

		World	Sample		1	OECD Sample						
	Goods	Subsidies	Capital	Interest		Goods	Subsidies	Capital	Interest			
Expenditure Share (t-1)	0.160 (2.19**)	0.367	0.560 (10.13***)	0.680		-0.005	0.158 (1.95*)	0.662 (8.94***)	0.841 (13.81***)			
Age Dependency Ratio	0.085	0.121	0.204	-0.022		()	()	(***)	()			
Government Expenditure	-0.434	0.152	0.238	(0.27) 0.047 (0.63)		-0.279	0.202	-0.070	0.136			
Inflation	-0.001	-0.001	0.008	-0.006		0.007	0.004	0.000	-0.006			
Economic Globalization	(0.10) 1.683	(0.15) 0.253	(1.02) 1.207	(1.50) -1.932		(1.94*) 2.022	(0.83) -2.527	-0.187	(2.61***) 0.193			
Social Globalization	(1.18) 0.797	(0.14) 0.612	(0.94) 0.276	(1.91*) -0.435		(1.50) 2.409	(1.30) 0.691	(0.42) -0.736	(0.32) -0.079			
Political Globalization	(0.75) -0.436 (0.72)	(0.87) 1.094 (1.28)	(0.37) 0.203 (0.22)	(0.67) -0.974		(1.87*) -0.282	(0.74) 0.961	(2.29^{**}) 0.053 (0.24)	(0.18) -0.451 (1.21)			
Neuris and Communication	(0.73)	(1.28)	(0.32)	(2.49***)		(0.39)	(1.70*)	(0.24)	(1.21)			
Number of observations	59 610	59 610	59 610	59 610		18 250	18	18	18			
Sargan test (prob>chi2)	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99		0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99			
Arellano Bond test (pr>z)	0.50	0.45	0.48	0.18		0.31	0.23	0.02	0.64			

Notes: (absolute) t-statistics in parentheses: ***, **, * significant at the 1, 5 and 10 percent levels, respectively.

Table I-2a: Detailed results including all globalization indicators, 10 expenditurecategories, GMM

	Public Services	Defence	Public Order	Economic Affairs	Environment	Housing	Health	Recreation	Education	Social
Expenditure Share (t-1)	0.575	0.020	0.522	-0.221	0.744	0.061	-0.024	0.339	-0.130	-0.059
1	(8.07***)	(0.14)	(4.87)	(2.90***)	(8.34***)	(1.22)	(0.11)	(1.42)	(1.15)	(0.26)
Expenditure Share, Average (t-1)	-0.321	0.190	-0.425	-1.768	0.096	-0.066	0.464	-0.085	0.321	-0.939
	(1.49)	(0.62)	(1.61)	(1.42)	(0.31)	(0.59)	(0.93)	(0.34)	(1.65*)	(2.40**)
Age Dependency Ratio	1.679	0.606	-0.280	-1.159	-0.092	0.074	-0.493	-0.156	-0.765	2.336
	(2.17**)	(1.00)	(1.37)	(2.44**)	(1.11)	(0.97)	(0.73)	(1.46)	(3.94***)	(2.27**)
Lending Rate	0.361	0.155	-0.014	-0.161	-0.030	-0.008	-0.249	-0.006	-0.033	-0.412
	(1.39)	(0.97)	(0.30)	(1.38)	(1.44)	(0.32)	(1.51)	(0.43)	(0.74)	(1.95*)
Trade	-0.002	0.047	-0.008	-0.039	-0.003	0.004	-0.022	-0.001	-0.002	0.019
	(0.03)	(2.37**)	(1.23)	(0.43)	(0.58)	(0.69)	(0.43)	(0.13)	(0.11)	(0.51)
FDI	0.033	0.026	0.003	-0.003	-0.002	0.000	-0.011	0.000	-0.003	0.002
	(1.03)	(1.19)	(0.75)	(0.20)	(1.38)	(0.07)	(0.86)	(0.13)	(0.42)	(0.13)
Capital Account Restrictions	-0.173	-0.842	-0.139	-1.607	0.403	-0.038	0.211	-0.342	0.158	0.721
	(0.10)	(1.75*)	(0.30)	(2.50**)	(1.25)	(0.29)	(0.26)	(1.36)	(0.27)	(0.34)
Number of countries	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
Number of observations	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55
Sargan test (prob>chi2)	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
Arellano Bond test (pr>z)	0.30	0.20	0.90	0.21	0.76	0.15	0.20	0.16	0.89	0.80

Notes: (absolute) t-statistics in	parentheses:	*** **	*	significant	at the	1, 5	and	10 p	percent
levels, respectively.									

Table I-2b: Detailed results including all globalization indicators, 10 expenditurecategories, GMM

	Public Services	Defence	Public Order	Economic Affair	Environment	Housing	Health	Recreation	Education	Social
Expenditure Share (t-1)	0.566	0.112	0.510	-0.139	0.708	0.078	-0.152	0.283	-0.004	0.044
	(4.85***)	(0.79)	(3.83***)	(3.51***)	(5.55***)	(1.74*)	(0.73)	(1.40)	(0.05)	(0.28)
Expenditure Share, Average (t-1)	-0.239	0.448	-0.411	-0.993	0.145	-0.090	0.047	0.035	0.367	-1.020
	(1.11)	(3.78***)	(1.27)	(1.52)	(0.47)	(0.83)	(0.10)	(0.19)	(2.01^{**})	(2.64***)
Age Dependency Ratio	1.265	0.534	-0.260	-1.021	-0.110	0.113	-0.283	-0.110	-0.624	2.277
	(2.64***)	(1.12)	(1.74*)	(2.74***)	(1.54)	(1.48)	(0.56)	(1.74*)	(4.31***)	(2.51**)
Lending Rate	0.400	0.119	-0.051	-0.201	-0.015	-0.013	-0.335	-0.035	-0.026	-0.403
	(1.66*)	(0.69)	(1.19)	(1.28)	(0.63)	(0.69)	(2.05^{**})	(2.63***)	(0.88)	(2.31**)
Economic Globalization	-2.421	1.076	-0.567	1.389	0.240	0.441	-1.122	-0.163	-1.461	-0.717
	(1.26)	(0.89)	(1.04)	(0.83)	(1.37)	(1.57)	(0.45)	(0.43)	(2.21**)	(0.57)
Social Globalization	-1.404	-0.524	0.481	-0.904	0.073	0.287	2.574	0.451	0.054	0.029
	(0.86)	(0.34)	(1.69*)	(0.59)	(0.32)	(1.71*)	(1.57)	(2.72^{***})	(0.15)	(0.02)
Political Globalization	1.082	0.050	0.058	-2.829	0.063	0.084	0.231	0.058	0.893	1.081
	(1.26)	(0.11)	(0.34)	(1.16)	(0.78)	(0.75)	(0.37)	(0.72)	(3.64***)	(0.94)
Number of countries	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
Number of observations	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55	55
Sargan test (prob>chi2)	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
Arellano Bond test (pr>z)	0.45	0.19	0.93	0.16	0.56	0.69	0.38	0.22	0.38	0.80

Notes: (absolute) t-statistics in parentheses: ***, **, * significant at the 1, 5 and 10 percent levels, respectively.

Appendix II: Data description and sources

Variable	Description	Source
Capital expenditure	Capital expenditure is spending to acquire fixed capital assets, land, intangible assets, government stocks, and nonmilitary, nonfinancial assets. Also included are capital grants. Data are shown for central government only and are shown in percent of total expenditure	World Bank (2004)
Goods and services expenditure	Goods and services include all government payments in exchange for goods and services, whether in the form of wages and salaries to employees or other purchases of goods and services. Data are shown for central government only and are shown in percent of total expenditure.	World Bank (2004)
Interest payments	Interest payments are payments made to domestic sectors and to nonresidents for the use of borrowed money. (Repayment of principal is shown as a financing item, and commission charges are shown as purchases of services.) Interest payments do not include payments by government as guarantor or surety of interest on the defaulted debts of others, which are classified as government lending. Data are shown for central government only and are shown in percent of total expenditure.	World Bank (2004)
Subsidies and other current transfers	Subsidies and other current transfers include all unrequited, nonrepayable transfers on current account to private and public enterprises, and the cost of covering the cash operating deficits of departmental enterprise sales to the public by departmental enterprises. Data are shown for central government only and in percent of total expenditure.	World Bank (2004)
Public Services	Expenditures on general public services. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditure.	OECD (2004)
Defence	Expenditures on defence. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditure.	OECD (2004)
Public Order	Expenditures on public order and safety. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditure.	OECD (2004)
Economic Affairs	Expenditures on economic affairs. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditure.	OECD (2004)
Environment	Expenditures on environment protection. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditure.	OECD (2004)
Housing	Expenditures on housing and community amenities. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditure.	OECD (2004)

Appendix II (continued)

Health Expenditures on health. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditure. OECD (2004) Recreation Expenditures on the afth. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditure. OECD (2004) Education Expenditures. To an are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditure. OECD (2004) Social government only and are in percent of total expenditure. Social expenditure. OECD (2004) FD1 Gross foreign direct investment is the sum of the absolute values of inflows and outflows and a share of goods. Trade Trade is the sum of expensition and services measuring globalization, and services measuring globalization, and second and expensition and as a share of goods. Trade (2006c) Globalization, index Index	Variable	Description	Source
Health Expenditures on health. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditure. OECD (2004) Recreation Expenditures on recreation, culture and religion. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditure. OECD (2004) Education Expenditures. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditure. OECD (2004) Social Social expenditures. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditure. OECD (2004) FDI Cross foreign direct investment is the sum of the absolute values of inflows and outflows of foreign direct investment recoded in the standard measure of foreign direct investment. Data are in percent of GDP. World Bank (2004) Trade Trade is the sum of experts and imports of goods and services measured as a hare of gross domestic product. Grifili and Milesi- Ferrent (1095). Capital Account Restrictions Set ext. Grifili and Milesi- Ferrent (1095). Social globalization, index Index constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising and are nageners from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Dreher (2006c) Social globalization, index Sub-index comprising data on potical expendency ratio is the ratio of dependents for every 10 working age population- hoce age 15.64. Dreher (2006c) GDP growth Annual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on			
RecreationCertral government only and are in precent of total expenditure. Expenditure. Data are shown for central government only and are in precent of total expenditure. SocialOPCD (2004)EducationExpenditures. Social government only and are in precent of total expenditure. Social government only and are in precent of total expenditure. Social globalization, indexOPCD (2004)FD1Cross foreign direct investment is the sum of the absolute values of inflows and outflows of deprecing direct investment of earning direct investment, which captures only inward investment, which aptures only inward as share of gross domestic product.Grifli and Milesi- Ferretti (1905). Decher (2006c)Capital Account RestrictionsSee text.Grifli and Milesi- ferretti (1905). Decher (2006c)Social globalization, indexIndex comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on political procely polyange than 1 5 and older than 64-0-0 the working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example 0.7 mass there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age population- for every 10 working-age population- for e	Health	Expenditures on health. Data are shown for	OECD (2004)
Recreation Expenditures on recreation, culture and religion. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditures. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditures. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditures. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditure. OECD (2004) Social Social expenditures. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditure. OECD (2004) FDI Gross forcing direct investment is the sum of the absolute values of inflows and outflows of forcing direct investment corected in the standard measure of forcing direct investment. Data are in percent of GDP. World Bank (2004) Trade Trade is sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product. Grilli and Milesi- Forther (1005), Drefer (2006) Globalization, index Index constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization. Drefer (2006c) Social globalization, index Sub-index comprising data on potical engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Drefer (2006c) Solial globalization, index Sub-index comprising data on personal prover flobalization. Drefer (2006c) GloP growth Annual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant local currency. Aggr		central government only and are in percent of	
International Expenditures on recent of total gevernment only and are in precent of total expenditure. OECD (2004) Education Expenditures on education. Data are shown for central government only and are in precent of total expenditure. OECD (2004) Social Social sependiture. December of total expenditures. Data are shown for central government only and are in precent of total expenditure. OECD (2004) FD1 Gross foreign direct investment is the sum of the absolute values of financial account. It includes equity capital, reinvestment of corring direct investment. Transing, other tog-term capital. This indicator differs from the standard measure of foreign direct investment. Data are in percent of GDP. World Bank (2004) Trade Trade is the sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of goods comestic product. World Bank (2004) Capital Account Restrictions See text. Grilliand Milesi-Ferretti (1995), Dreher (2006c) Index constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization, index Index constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising data on prolitical index comprising data on prolitical index comprising data on prolitical index comprising theory and cultural provide taxes and cultural provide in the values of constant 1980 US. dollar. (2006c) Porther (2006c) Index constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising from 1 1 0, with higher values representing more globalization. Dreher (2006c) Index constr	Recreation	Expenditures on recreation, culture and	OFCD (2004)
Educationgovernment only and are in percent of total expenditures on education. Data are shown for teentral government only and are in percent of total expenditure.OECD (2004)SocialSocial expenditures. Data are shown for enerty agovernment only and are in percent of total government only and are in percent of total government only and are in percent of total government only and are in percent of total expenditure.OECD (2004)FD1Gross foreign direct investment is the sum of the absolute values of inflows and outflows of foreign direct investment of a earnings, other long-term capital. This inductor differs from the standard measure of foreign direct investment of earnings, other long-term capital. and short- term capital. This inductor differs from the standard measure of foreign direct investment. Data are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004) goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product.World Bank (2004) goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product.Capital Account RestrictionsSee text.Grilli and Mlesi- Fremer (1995). Dreher and Siemers (2005)Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components goodsiaration.Dreher (2006c) there (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization, indexDreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political people younger than 15 and dder than 64-on the working-age popte.World Bank (2004) world Bank (2004) darket prices based on constant 105 ULX dollars. GD7 at market prices based on constant 105 U	Recreation	religion Data are shown for central	OLCD (2004)
Educationexpenditures Expenditures.Content of the central government only and are in percent of total expenditures. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditure.OECD (2004)SocialSocial sependiture.Social expenditures. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditure.OECD (2004)FD1Gross foreign direct investment is the sum of the absolute values of financial account. It includes equity capital, reinvestment of earnings, other long-term capital, and short- term capital. This indicator differs from the standard measure of foreign direct investment. Data are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)TradeTrade is the sum of experts and imports of goods and measure of foreign direct investment. Data are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)TradeIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measureds globalization.Dreher (2005)Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization.Dreher (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on potical engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on personal contacts. Information flows, and cultural pocole on constant 1985 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value aded by all resident products. It is calculated without making debuctions for dependence via 0 working-age people.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentag growth rate of GDP at market prices bas		government only and are in percent of total	
EducationExpenditures on education. Data are shown for total expenditure.OECD (2004)SocialSocial expenditure.OECD (2004)SocialSocial expenditure.OECD (2004)FDIGross foreign direct investment of yand are in percent of total expenditure.OECD (2004)FDIGross foreign direct investment is the sum of the absolute values of inflows and outflows of foreign direct investment recorded in the balance of payments financial account. It includes equity capital, reinvestment of earnings, other long-term capital. This inductor differs from the standard measure of foreign direct investment. Data are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)TradeTrade is the sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of analysis comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on personal for every 10 working-age people.Dreher (2006c)Gobal		expenditure.	
Socialcentral government only and are in percent of total expenditures. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditures. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of total expenditures. Can be an expenditure.OECD (2004)FD1Gross foreign direct investment is the sum of the absolute values of inflows and outlows of foreign direct investment recorded in the balance of payments financial account. It includes equity capital, reinvestment. Data are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)TradeTrade is the sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product.World Bank (2004)Capital Account RestrictionsSee text.Grifli and Milesi- Ferret (1995). Deher and Siemers (2005)Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components globalization.Dreher (2006c) torehr (2005)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political more globalization.Dreher (2006c) torehr (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on personal proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on personal Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents for every 10 working-age pople.World Bank (2004)GlDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prises based on constant	Education	Expenditures on education. Data are shown for	OECD (2004)
SocialIotal expenditure. Social components government only and are in percent of total expenditure. Gross foreign direct investment is the sum of the absolute values of inflows and outflows of foreign direct investment recorded in the balance of payments financial account. It includes equity capital, reinvestment of earnings, other long-term capital, and short- term capital. This inductor differs from the standard measure of foreign direct investment. Data are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)TradeTrade is the sum of experts and imports of goods and services measured as a share of goods		central government only and are in percent of	
SocialSocial expenditures. Data are shown for central expenditure.OECD (2004)FDIGross foreign direct investment is the sum of the absolute values of inflows and outflows of foreign direct investment is cored in the balance of payments financial account. It includes equity capital, reinvestment of carnings, other long-term capital, and short- term capital. This indicator differs from the standard measure of foreign direct investment. Data are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)TradeTrade is the sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product.World Bank (2004)Capital Account RestrictionsIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization, indexWorld Bank (2006)Economic globalization, indexSub-index comprising measures of actual economic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents for every 10 working-age population. Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents for every 10 working-age population Age dependency ratie of normal trade sub- for every 10 working-age population for aural resources.World Bank (2004) World Bank (2004) werdet by all resident produces in the comony plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not include in the value of the produces. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated asters to for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004) World Bank (2004) werdet by all resident produces.		total expenditure.	
FDIgovernment only and are in percent of total expenditure.World Bank (2004)FDIGross foreign direct investment is the sum of the absolute values of inflows and outflows of foreign direct investment of carrings, other long-term capital. This indicator differs from the standard measure of foreign direct investment. Data are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)TradeTrade sum of experts and imports of goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product.World Bank (2004)Capital Account RestrictionsSee text.Grilli and Milesi- Ferrett (1995). Dreher and Siemers (2005)Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization.Dreher (2006c)Economic globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political economis flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political economis for every 10 working-age popolation- the wides representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Age Dependency RatioAge dependency rate of GDP at marker prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are hased on constant l	Social	Social expenditures. Data are shown for central	OECD (2004)
FDIexpenditure. Gross foreign direct investment is the sum of the absolute values of inflows and outflows of foreign direct investment cord end in the balance of payments financial account. It includes equive capital, reinvestment of earnings, other long-term capital, and short- term capital. This indicator differs from the standard measure of foreign direct investment. Data are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)TradeTrade is the sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of goods and services and imports of Locots)Grilli and Milesi- Ferretti (1995), Dreher and Siemers (2005)Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization. Sub-index comprising measures of actual economic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on potical epagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Age Dependency RatioDreher (2006c)Age Dependency RatioAge dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents for every 10 working-age population. Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents for every 10 working-age population. Age dependents tha 64-to the working-age population of actural resources. For example, 0.7 incent struet are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age population of actural resources. For example, 0.7 incent struet are 7 dependents any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated astes to f		government only and are in percent of total	
FDIGross foreign direct investment is the sum of the absolut values of inflows and outflows of foreign direct investment foracial account. It includes equity capital, reinvestment of earnings, other long-term capital. This dicator differs from the standard measure of foreign direct investment, which captures only inward investment. Data are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)TradeTrade is the sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product.World Bank (2004)Capital Account RestrictionsSee text.Grilli and Milesi- Ferrett (1995), Dreher and Siemers (2005)Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization, indexDreher (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents for every 10 working-age people.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based		expenditure.	
Ithe absolute values of inflows and outflows of foreign direct investment coorded in the balance of payments financial account. It includes equity capital, reinvestment of earnings, other long-term capital, and short- term capital. This indicator differs from the standard measure of foreign direct investment, which captures only inward investment. Data are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)TradeTrade is the sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product.Grilli and Milesi- Ferretti (1995), Dreher and Siemers (2005)Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising measures of actual economic globalization, indexDreher (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural provinity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural provinity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values expresenting more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural provi	FDI	Gross foreign direct investment is the sum of	World Bank (2004)
Foreign direct investment recorded in the balance of payments financial account. It includes equity capital, reinvestment of earnings, other long-term capital, and short- term capital. This indicator differs from the standard measure of foreign direct investment, which captures only inward investment. Data are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)TradeTrade is the sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product.Grilli and Milesi- Ferretti (1995). Dreher and Siemers (2005)Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization, indexDreher (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political economic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents- for every 10 working-age population-Hose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age population- the working-age population- the working-age population- to equity for public stroid of dependents- geregates are based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant		the absolute values of inflows and outflows of	
Indiace of payment subsetIndiace of payment subsetIndex constructed with Principal ComponentsAnalysis comprising 23 variables measuringIndex constructed with Principal ComponentsAnalysis comprising 23 variables measuringIndex constructed with Principal ComponentsIndex constructed with Principal ComponentsAnalysis comprising and subsetIndex constructed with principal ComponentsIndex constructed without mation dependentsI		foreign direct investment recorded in the	
Includes equity of print, convention of equitings, other long-term capital, and short- term capital. This indicator differs from the standard measure of foreign direct investment, which captures only invard investment. Data are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)TradeTrade is the sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product.Grilli and Milesi- Ferretti (1995), Dreher and Siemers (2005)Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization, indexDreher (2006c)Economic globalization, indexSub-index comprising measures of actual economic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Age Dependency RatioDreher (2006c)Age Dependency RatioAgeregeneent, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents- for every 10 working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age population- those age optic.World Bank (2004) world Bank (2004) wargergates are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age population- market prices based on constant 1095 US. Agergates are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age population of agergates are are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age population of equity for public purposes. Data esshown for central government lending or repayments to the government lending or repayments to the government lending or repayments to the government lending or repayments		includes equity capital reinvestment of	
Cambridge term capital. This indicator differs from the standard measure of foreign direct investment, which captures only inward investment. Data are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)TradeTrade is the sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product. See text.Grilli and Milesi- Ferretti (1995), Dreher and Siemers (2005)Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization. Sub-index comprising measures of actual economic globalization, indexDreher (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents for every 10 working-age people.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant 10scl (currency, Aggregates are based on constant 10scl (currency, Aggregates are based on constant 195 US. dollars. GDP is the sum of grows value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subisiles not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)GDP growthTotal expenditures. It does not include government ending or repayments to the g		earnings other long-term capital and short-	
standard measure of foreign direct investment, which captures only inward investment. Data are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)TradeTrade is the sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product.Grilli and Milesi- Ferretti (1995), Dreher and Siemers (2006c)Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization.Grilli and Milesi- Ferretti (1995), Dreher and Siemers (2006c)Goomic globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising measures of actual economic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on personal on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on personal Age Dependency RatioDreher (2006c)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant 1092 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depreciation of abricated assets or for depited in and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures in degraments on the government lending or repayments to the government lending or repayments to the government lending or repa		term capital. This indicator differs from the	
Tradewhich captures only inward investment. Data are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)Trade is the sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product.Grilli and Milesi- Ferretti (1995), Dreher and Siemers (2005)Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization, indexGrilli and Milesi- Ferretti (1995), Dreher and Siemers (2005)Social globalization, indexIndex comprising measures of actual economic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising more globalization. Age Dependency RatioDreher (2006c)Age Dependency RatioAge dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents for every 10 working-age population. Age dependency ratio is the actual end of the working-age population. -people younger than 15 and older than 64-to the working-age population. Age dependency ratio is the actual educed by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)		standard measure of foreign direct investment.	
Tradeare in percent of GDP.TradeTrade is the sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product.World Bank (2004)Capital Account RestrictionsSee text.Gilli and Milesi- Ferretti (1995), Dreher and Siemers (2005)Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization, indexDreher (2006c)Economic globalization, indexSub-index comprising measures of actual economic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on political prosenting to a polabilization. Sub-index comprising data on political proverprising data on postonal unaccontacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on political prosenting more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on political or a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents preceple younger than 15 and older than 64-rio the working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age popule.GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant local currency. Aggregates		which captures only inward investment. Data	
TradeTrade is the sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product.World Bank (2004)Capital Account RestrictionsSee text.Ferretti (1995), Dreher and Siemers (2005)Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization, indexDreher (2006c)Economic globalization, indexSub-index comprising measures of actual economic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Age Dependency RatioAge dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents -people younger than 15 and older than 64-to the working-age population-those ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age population.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are ba		are in percent of GDP.	
goods and services measured as a share of gross domestic product.Grilli and Milesi- Ferretti (1995), Dreher and Siemers (2005)Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization.Dreher (2006c)Economic globalization, indexSub-index comprising measures of actual economic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Age Dependency RatioAge dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents for every 10 working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age population- the working-age population- the gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of DP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the conomy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included government lending or repayments to the government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)	Trade	Trade is the sum of exports and imports of	World Bank (2004)
Capital Account Restrictionsgross domestic product.Grilli and Milesi- Ferretti (1995).Capital Account RestrictionsSee text.Grilli and Milesi- Ferretti (1995).Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization.Dreher (2006c)Economic globalization, indexSub-index comprising measures of actual economic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Age Dependency RatioAge dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents for every 10 working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age populationWorld Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant 1995 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depr		goods and services measured as a share of	
Capital Account RestrictionsSee text.Grilli and Milesi- Ferretti (1995), Dreher and SiemersGlobalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization.Dreher (2006c)Economic globalization, indexSub-index comprising measures of actual economic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on personal proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Age Dependency RatioAge dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents r-people younger than 15 and older than 64to the working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age pople.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on con		gross domestic product.	
Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components (2005)Ferretti (1995), Dreher and Siemers (2005)Economic globalization, indexSub-index comprising measures of actual economic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents- -people younger than 15 and older than 64-to the working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age popule.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant loss 10 subidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of advicat dassets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditures includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government or government or government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.<	Capital Account Restrictions	See text.	Grilli and Milesi-
Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization.Dreher (2006c)Economic globalization, indexSub-index comprising measures of actual economic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Age Dependency RatioAge dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents for every 10 working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age people.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant 10cal currency. Aggregates are based on constant 10cal currency. Aggregates are based on constant 10cal currency. Aggregates are dated or without making deductions for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government on gavarnent of gore.Worl			Ferretti (1995),
Globalization, indexIndex constructed with Principal Components Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization.Dreher (2006c)Economic globalization, indexSub-index comprising measures of actual economic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Age Dependency RatioAge dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents for every 10 working-age populationthose ages 15-64.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant loss 1000 yulus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditures. It does not include government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government on yand are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)			Dreher and Stemers
Index constructed with Frincipal Components analysis comprising 23 variables measuring globalization, indexDrefier (2006c)Economic globalization, indexSub-index comprising measures of actual economic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Age dependency RatioDreher (2006c)Age Dependency RatioAge dependency range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Age dependency range population-those ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents or every 10 working-age population-those ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age population age reported.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant local curre	Clobalization index	Index constructed with Dringing Components	(2005)
Economic globalization, indexPrediction of the stating globalization.Dreher (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising measures of actual economic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents- -people younger than 15 and older than 64to the working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there ar 7 dependents for every 10 working-age population and capital process add on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant logs U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government or government to the government on and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)	Giobalization, index	Analysis comprising 23 variables measuring	Drener (2000c)
Economic globalization, indexEconomic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on personal proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Age Dependency RatioAge dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents -people younger than 15 and older than 64-to the working-age population-those ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age people.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant 1995 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government lending or repayments to the government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)		globalization	
indexeconomic flows and restrictions, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Defer (2006c)Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Age Dependency RatioAge dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents- -people younger than 15 and older than 64-to the working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age people.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant 1995 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government on government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)	Economic globalization.	Sub-index comprising measures of actual	Dreher (2006c)
Social globalization, indexfrom 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Age Dependency RatioAge dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents -people younger than 15 and older than 64-to the working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age popele.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant 1095 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)	index	economic flows and restrictions, on a range	
Social globalization, indexmore globalization. Sub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Age Dependency RatioAge dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents- -people younger than 15 and older than 64to the working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age people.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant 1095 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)		from 1 to 10, with higher values representing	
Social globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on political engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization.Dreher (2006c)Age Dependency RatioAge dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents- -people younger than 15 and older than 64to the working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)		more globalization.	
indexengagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents -people younger than 15 and older than 64to the working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age people.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant logal currency. Aggregates are based on constant logs usual any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditures in the conomy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in of natural resources.World Bank (2004)	Social globalization,	Sub-index comprising data on political	Dreher (2006c)
Political globalization, indexhigher values representing more globalization. Sub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents- -people younger than 15 and older than 64to the working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age popule.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant 1995 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government on government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)	index	engagement, on a range from 1 to 10, with	
Political globalization, indexSub-index comprising data on personal contacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents- -people younger than 15 and older than 64to the working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age people.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant 1095 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government on government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)		higher values representing more globalization.	
indexcontacts, information flows, and cultural proximity, on a range from 1 to 10, with higher values representing more globalization. Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents- -people younger than 15 and older than 64to the working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age people.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant 1995 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)	Political globalization,	Sub-index comprising data on personal	Dreher (2006c)
Age Dependency RatioProvide a range from ratio for the form in fight values representing more globalization. Age dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents -people younger than 15 and older than 64to the working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age people.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant 1095 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)	index	contacts, information flows, and cultural	
Age Dependency RatioAge dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents -people younger than 15 and older than 64to the working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age people.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant 1995 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)		values representing more globalization	
Tige Dependency functionThe function of the function of the product of the function of the properties of the properties of the working-age populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age people.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant 1995 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)	Age Dependency Ratio	A ge dependency ratio is the ratio of dependents.	World Bank (2004)
GDP growthAnnual percentage populationthose ages 15-64. For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age people.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant 1995 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)	rige Dependency Rullo	-people younger than 15 and older than 64to	World Bank (2001)
GDP growthFor example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents for every 10 working-age people.World Bank (2004)GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant 1095 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)		the working-age populationthose ages 15-64.	
GDP growthfor every 10 working-age people.GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant 1095 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)		For example, 0.7 means there are 7 dependents	
GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant lop5 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)		for every 10 working-age people.	
GDP growthAnnual percentage growth rate of GDP at market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant lop5 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)			
market prices based on constant local currency. Aggregates are based on constant 1995 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)	GDP growth	Annual percentage growth rate of GDP at	World Bank (2004)
Aggregates are based on constant 1995 U.S. dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.World Bank (2004)Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)		market prices based on constant local currency.	
Gollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)		Aggregates are based on constant 1995 U.S.	
by all resident products in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources.Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)		dollars. GDP is the sum of gross value added	
Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)		any product taxes and minus any subsidies not	
Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)		included in the value of the products. It is	
Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)		calculated without making deductions for	
Government ExpenditureTotal expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.World Bank (2004)		depreciation of fabricated assets or for	
Government Expenditure Total expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.		depletion and degradation of natural resources.	
Government Expenditure Total expenditure includes both current and capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP. World Bank (2004)			
capital expenditures. It does not include government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.	Government Expenditure	Total expenditure includes both current and	World Bank (2004)
government lending or repayments to the government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.		capital expenditures. It does not include	
government or government acquisition of equity for public purposes. Data are shown for central government only and are in percent of GDP.		government lending or repayments to the	
central government only and are in percent of GDP.		government or government acquisition of	
GDP.		central government only and are in percent of	
		GDP.	

Appendix II (continued)

Variable	Description	Source	
Government Debt	Total debt is the entire stock of direct,	World Bank (2004)	
	government, fixed term contractual obligations		
	to others outstanding at a particular date. It		
	includes domestic debt (such as debt held by		
	monetary authorities, deposit money banks,		
	nonfinancial public enterprises, and		
	households) and foreign debt (such as debt to		
	international development institutions and		
	foreign governments). It is the gross amount of		
	government liabilities not reduced by the		
	amount of government claims against others.		
	Because debt is a stock rather than a flow, it is		
	measured as of a given date, usually the last		
	day of the fiscal year. Data are shown for		
	central government only and are in percent of		
	GDP.		
Lending Rate	Lending interest rate is the rate charged by	World Bank (2004)	
	banks on loans to prime customers.		
Inflation	Inflation, GDP deflator,	World Bank (2004)	
	(Inflation)/(1+Inflation)		

CESifo Working Paper Series

(for full list see www.cesifo-group.de)

- 1693 Jarko Fidrmuc and Iikka Korhonen, Meta-Analysis of the Business Cycle Correlation between the Euro Area and the CEECs, March 2006
- 1694 Steffen Henzel and Timo Wollmershaeuser, The New Keynesian Phillips Curve and the Role of Expectations: Evidence from the Ifo World Economic Survey, March 2006
- 1695 Yin-Wong Cheung, An Empirical Model of Daily Highs and Lows, March 2006
- 1696 Scott Alan Carson, African-American and White Living Standards in the 19th Century American South: A Biological Comparison, March 2006
- 1697 Helge Berger, Optimal Central Bank Design: Benchmarks for the ECB, March 2006
- 1698 Vjollca Sadiraj, Jan Tuinstra and Frans van Winden, On the Size of the Winning Set in the Presence of Interest Groups, April 2006
- 1699 Martin Gassebner, Michael Lamla and Jan-Egbert Sturm, Economic, Demographic and Political Determinants of Pollution Reassessed: A Sensitivity Analysis, April 2006
- 1700 Louis N. Christofides and Amy Chen Peng, Major Provisions of Labour Contracts and their Theoretical Coherence, April 2006
- 1701 Christian Groth, Karl-Josef Koch and Thomas M. Steger, Rethinking the Concept of Long-Run Economic Growth, April 2006
- 1702 Dirk Schindler and Guttorm Schjelderup, Company Tax Reform in Europe and its Effect on Collusive Behavior, April 2006
- 1703 Françoise Forges and Enrico Minelli, Afriat's Theorem for General Budget Sets, April 2006
- 1704 M. Hashem Pesaran, Ron P. Smith, Takashi Yamagata and Liudmyla Hvozdyk, Pairwise Tests of Purchasing Power Parity Using Aggregate and Disaggregate Price Measures, April 2006
- 1705 Piero Gottardi and Felix Kubler, Social Security and Risk Sharing, April 2006
- 1706 Giacomo Corneo and Christina M. Fong, What's the Monetary Value of Distributive Justice?, April 2006
- 1707 Andreas Knabe, Ronnie Schoeb and Joachim Weimann, Marginal Employment Subsidization: A New Concept and a Reappraisal, April 2006
- 1708 Hans-Werner Sinn, The Pathological Export Boom and the Bazaar Effect How to Solve the German Puzzle, April 2006

- 1709 Helge Berger and Stephan Danninger, The Employment Effects of Labor and Product Markets Deregulation and their Implications for Structural Reform, May 2006
- 1710 Michael Ehrmann and Marcel Fratzscher, Global Financial Transmission of Monetary Policy Shocks, May 2006
- 1711 Carsten Eckel and Hartmut Egger, Wage Bargaining and Multinational Firms in General Equilibrium, May 2006
- 1712 Mathias Hoffmann, Proprietary Income, Entrepreneurial Risk, and the Predictability of U.S. Stock Returns, May 2006
- 1713 Marc-Andreas Muendler and Sascha O. Becker, Margins of Multinational Labor Substitution, May 2006
- 1714 Surajeet Chakravarty and W. Bentley MacLeod, Construction Contracts (or "How to Get the Right Building at the Right Price?"), May 2006
- 1715 David Encaoua and Yassine Lefouili, Choosing Intellectual Protection: Imitation, Patent Strength and Licensing, May 2006
- 1716 Chris van Klaveren, Bernard van Praag and Henriette Maassen van den Brink, Empirical Estimation Results of a Collective Household Time Allocation Model, May 2006
- 1717 Paul De Grauwe and Agnieszka Markiewicz, Learning to Forecast the Exchange Rate: Two Competing Approaches, May 2006
- 1718 Sijbren Cnossen, Tobacco Taxation in the European Union, May 2006
- 1719 Marcel Gérard and Fernando Ruiz, Interjurisdictional Competition for Higher Education and Firms, May 2006
- 1720 Ronald McKinnon and Gunther Schnabl, China's Exchange Rate and International Adjustment in Wages, Prices, and Interest Rates: Japan Déjà Vu?, May 2006
- 1721 Paolo M. Panteghini, The Capital Structure of Multinational Companies under Tax Competition, May 2006
- 1722 Johannes Becker, Clemens Fuest and Thomas Hemmelgarn, Corporate Tax Reform and Foreign Direct Investment in Germany – Evidence from Firm-Level Data, May 2006
- 1723 Christian Kleiber, Martin Sexauer and Klaus Waelde, Bequests, Taxation and the Distribution of Wealth in a General Equilibrium Model, May 2006
- 1724 Axel Dreher and Jan-Egbert Sturm, Do IMF and World Bank Influence Voting in the UN General Assembly?, May 2006

- 1725 Swapan K. Bhattacharya and Biswa N. Bhattacharyay, Prospects of Regional Cooperation in Trade, Investment and Finance in Asia: An Empirical Analysis on BIMSTEC Countries and Japan, May 2006
- 1726 Philippe Choné and Laurent Linnemer, Assessing Horizontal Mergers under Uncertain Efficiency Gains, May 2006
- 1727 Daniel Houser and Thomas Stratmann, Selling Favors in the Lab: Experiments on Campaign Finance Reform, May 2006
- 1728 E. Maarten Bosker, Steven Brakman, Harry Garretsen and Marc Schramm, A Century of Shocks: The Evolution of the German City Size Distribution 1925 1999, May 2006
- 1729 Clive Bell and Hans Gersbach, Growth and Enduring Epidemic Diseases, May 2006
- 1730 W. Bentley MacLeod, Reputations, Relationships and the Enforcement of Incomplete Contracts, May 2006
- 1731 Jan K. Brueckner and Ricardo Flores-Fillol, Airline Schedule Competition: Product-Quality Choice in a Duopoly Model, May 2006
- 1732 Kerstin Bernoth and Guntram B. Wolff, Fool the Markets? Creative Accounting, Fiscal Transparency and Sovereign Risk Premia, May 2006
- 1733 Emmanuelle Auriol and Pierre M. Picard, Government Outsourcing: Public Contracting with Private Monopoly, May 2006
- 1734 Guglielmo Maria Caporale and Luis A. Gil-Alana, Modelling Structural Breaks in the US, UK and Japanese Unemployment Rates, May 2006
- 1735 Emily J. Blanchard, Reevaluating the Role of Trade Agreements: Does Investment Globalization Make the WTO Obsolete?, May 2006
- 1736 Per Engström and Bertil Holmlund, Tax Evasion and Self-Employment in a High-Tax Country: Evidence from Sweden, May 2006
- 1737 Erkki Koskela and Mikko Puhakka, Cycles and Indeterminacy in Overlapping Generations Economies with Stone-Geary Preferences, May 2006
- 1738 Saku Aura and Thomas Davidoff, Supply Constraints and Housing Prices, May 2006
- 1739 Balázs Égert and Ronald MacDonald, Monetary Transmission Mechanism in Transition Economies: Surveying the Surveyable, June 2006
- 1740 Ben J. Heijdra and Ward E. Romp, Ageing and Growth in the Small Open Economy, June 2006
- 1741 Robert Fenge and Volker Meier, Subsidies for Wages and Infrastructure: How to Restrain Undesired Immigration, June 2006

- 1742 Robert S. Chirinko and Debdulal Mallick, The Elasticity of Derived Demand, Factor Substitution and Product Demand: Corrections to Hicks' Formula and Marshall's Four Rules, June 2006
- 1743 Harry P. Bowen, Haris Munandar and Jean-Marie Viaene, Evidence and Implications of Zipf's Law for Integrated Economies, June 2006
- 1744 Markku Lanne and Helmut Luetkepohl, Identifying Monetary Policy Shocks via Changes in Volatility, June 2006
- 1745 Timo Trimborn, Karl-Josef Koch and Thomas M. Steger, Multi-Dimensional Transitional Dynamics: A Simple Numberical Procedure, June 2006
- 1746 Vivek H. Dehejia and Yiagadeesen Samy, Labor Standards and Economic Integration in the European Union: An Empirical Analysis, June 2006
- 1747 Carlo Altavilla and Paul De Grauwe, Forecasting and Combining Competing Models of Exchange Rate Determination, June 2006
- 1748 Olaf Posch and Klaus Waelde, Natural Volatility, Welfare and Taxation, June 2006
- 1749 Christian Holzner, Volker Meier and Martin Werding, Workfare, Monitoring, and Efficiency Wages, June 2006
- 1750 Steven Brakman, Harry Garretsen and Charles van Marrewijk, Agglomeration and Aid, June 2006
- 1751 Robert Fenge and Jakob von Weizsäcker, Mixing Bismarck and Child Pension Systems: An Optimum Taxation Approach, June 2006
- 1752 Helge Berger and Michael Neugart, Labor Courts, Nomination Bias, and Unemployment in Germany, June 2006
- 1753 Chris van Klaveren, Bernard van Praag and Henriette Maassen van den Brink, A Collective Household Model of Time Allocation - a Comparison of Native Dutch and Immigrant Households in the Netherlands, June 2006
- 1754 Marko Koethenbuerger, Ex-Post Redistribution in a Federation: Implications for Corrective Policy, July 2006
- 1755 Axel Dreher, Jan-Egbert Sturm and Heinrich Ursprung, The Impact of Globalization on the Composition of Government Expenditures: Evidence from Panel Data, July 2006