

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Wagner, Joachim

### Working Paper Why more West than East German firms export

Jena Economic Research Papers, No. 2007,027

**Provided in Cooperation with:** Max Planck Institute of Economics

*Suggested Citation:* Wagner, Joachim (2007) : Why more West than East German firms export, Jena Economic Research Papers, No. 2007,027, Friedrich Schiller University Jena and Max Planck Institute of Economics, Jena

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/25647

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



# JENA ECONOMIC RESEARCH PAPERS



# 2007 - 027

## Why more West than East German firms export

by

**Joachim Wagner** 

www.jenecon.de

ISSN 1864-7057

The JENA ECONOMIC RESEARCH PAPERS is a joint publication of the Friedrich-Schiller-University and the Max Planck Institute of Economics, Jena, Germany. For editorial correspondence please contact m.pasche@wiwi.uni-jena.de.

Impressum:

Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena Carl-Zeiß-Str. 3 D-07743 Jena www.uni-jena.de Max-Planck-Institute of Economics Kahlaische Str. 10 D-07745 Jena www.econ.mpg.de

© by the author.

### Why more West than East German firms export

June 2007

#### **Joachim Wagner**

University of Lueneburg, Institute of Economics Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Bonn Max Planck Institute of Economics, Jena wagner@uni-lueneburg.de

#### Abstract

Using unique new data and a recently introduced non-linear decomposition technique this paper shows that the huge difference in the propensity to export between West and East German plants is to a large part due to differences in firm size and human capital intensity.

JEL-classification: F14

- Keywords: Exports, micro data, West Germany, East Germany
- Acknowledgement: Computations were done inside the Research Data Centre of the Statistical Office of Berlin. Many thanks to Anja Münch and Ramona Pohl for running my Stata do-files, and for carefully checking the huge log-files for violation of privacy.
- Contact: Prof. Dr. Joachim Wagner, University of Lueneburg, Institute of Economics, PO Box 2440, 21314 Lueneburg, Germany, Phone: +49 41316772330, Fax: +49 41316772026

#### 1. Motivation

While Germany is one of the most important exporters of manufacturing goods in the world, by far not all manufacturing firms in Germany are exporters, and there is a remarkable gap between the share of exporters in all manufacturing firms between West Germany and East Germany. While in West Germany in 2004 64.4 percent of manufacturing plants were exporters, fourteen years after re-unification this share was only 45.5 percent in the former communist East Germany. The reasons for this difference are not yet well understood, not least due to a lack of comprehensive micro data. Using a unique new data set and a recently introduced non-linear decomposition technique this paper contributes to the literature by investigating the share of this gap that is due to observed plant characteristics.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows: Section 2 introduces the newly available data. Section 3 reports descriptive evidence. Section 4 outlines the non-linear decomposition technique and presents results from its application. Section 5 concludes.

#### 2. Data

The empirical investigation uses data for plants taken from regular surveys by the Statistical Offices of the German federal states covering all plants from manufacturing industries that employ at least twenty persons in the local production unit or in the company that owns the unit. Participation of plants in the survey is mandated. Late in 2006 these data were matched over all federal states to form a data set that covers Germany as a whole. In this paper the most recent available data for 2004 are used.

Note that the micro level data are strictly confidential and for use inside the Statistical Office only, but not exclusive. Further information how to access the data is given in Zühlke et al. (2004).

#### 3. Descriptive evidence

As shown in table 1 the share of exporters in all manufacturing firms<sup>1</sup> was much lower in East Germany (45.5 percent) than in West Germany (64.4 percent) in 2004. Participation of firms in export markets is linked to firm size and factor inputs. Firms from one of the most highly developed industrial countries of the world can be expected to have a comparative advantage in technology intensive products made by highly qualified workers; furthermore, firm size is expected to be positively correlated with export activities for various reasons including scale effects, a higher capacity for taking risks in larger firms, and the fixed costs character of various export related costs like retooling and redesigning products for foreign markets (see Wagner 2001).

Table 1 documents that in both parts of Germany exporters were larger, more human capital intensive, and more often from technology intensive industries than their non-exporting counterparts.<sup>2</sup> Results for probit models show that these links, which are in line with our theoretical priors for export participation, are statistically highly significant ceteris paribus, too.

#### [Table 1 near here]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In this paper the term firm is used to mean a local production unit, or plant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Human capital intensity is measured by wage per employee. Firms are classified as high-tech or medium-tech firms according to their industry affiliation, using the standard list of technology intensive industries of Germany; details are available from the author on request. All differences are statistically significant at an error level of less than one percent.

# 3. A decomposition of the difference in the propensity to export in West and East German firms

The figures reported in table 1 reveal a number of differences between West and East German plants regarding the size of the estimated coefficients of the probit models, and in the composition of the samples with regard to these characteristics. Therefore, the question arises to what extent the differences of export participation across space can be explained by differences in characteristics of the firms on the one hand, and by differences in the coefficients on the other hand.

To tackle this kind of question, Fairlie (2006) introduced a decomposition method based on estimates from a non-linear probit model. While a discussion of the details of this method is beyond the scope of this paper, two aspects should be mentioned: First, while the characteristics effect identified in the decomposition represents the part of the difference in export participation that is due to observed differences over the two regions in the characteristics of the firms, the residual effect not only represents the part due to different regression coefficients but captures also the proportion of the difference due to group differences in unmeasured or unobservable factors. Second, each sub-sample can be used as the reference group, and the results usually differ according to the choice of the reference group. Therefore, both variants are computed, and the results are compared.

The type of question answered here is "How high would the share of exporting firms among all manufacturing firms in East Germany have been in 2004 if the firms from the West German sample were located in East Germany, and if the characteristics of these West German firms were linked to the probability of exporting according to the coefficients estimated using the East German sample from 2004?" Results are reported in table 2.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Stata 9.2 and the program fairlie.ado were used for computations.

#### [Table 4 near here]

When West German firms are used as the reference group, 45 percent of the difference in the export participation rate is allocated to observed firm characteristics included in the probit regression. This part is slightly lower (about 40 percent) when the reference group is formed by East German firms. The detailed decomposition shows that the lion's share of this characteristics effect is due to the much lower human capital intensity of East German plants, and that the larger average size of West German plants matters, too. The point estimates for the two technology group dummy variables are tiny (and not always statistically significant at a usual level), and for each reference group one of the coefficients has the "wrong" sign; differences in technology intensity – at least when (due to a lack of better information) measured by average R&D intensities at the industry level – between East and West Germany do not contribute to the explanation of the huge difference in the export participation rate.

#### 4. Conclusions

According to the results from a new unique data set and a recently introduced nonlinear decomposition technique, between 40 and 45 percent of the large difference in the share of exporting firms in West and East Germany can be explained by the higher human capital intensity and – to a less degree – by the larger average size of West German firms. On the one hand, this is an important result that helps to understand why this difference is still that large one decade and a half after reunification. On the other hand, the residual effect – which is at least in part a measure of our ignorance – is more than 50 percent, and this points to the need of further research based on more informative plant level data that will hopefully allow the inclusion of more plant characteristics in the decomposition.

#### References

- Fairlie, R. W. (2006): An Extension of the Oaxaca-Blinder Decomposition Technique to Logit and Probit Models. Institute for the Study of Labor, IZA Discussion Paper No. 1917, Bonn, January.
- Wagner, Joachim. 2001. "A Note on the Firm Size Export Relationship." Small Business Economics 17, 229-237.
- Zühlke, Sylvia et al. 2004. "The Research Data Centres of the Federal Statistical Office and the Statistical Offices of the Länder." *Schmollers Jahrbuch / Journal of Applied Social Science Studies* 124(4), 567-578.

	West Germany (Share of exporters: 64.44%)		East Germany (Share of exporters: 45.49 %)	
	Results for probit estimation of export participation	Sample mean	Results for probit estimation of export participation	Sample mean
	Estimated coefficient (p-value)	Exporters Non-exporters	Estimated coefficient (p-value)	Exporters Non-exporters
Variable				
Number of employees	0.001408 (0.000)	176.56 58.72	0.00175 (0.000)	111.85 53.23
Human capital	0.000024 (0.000)	31,798.70 26,274.75	0.000019 (0.000)	23,415.12 20,255.12
High-tech (dummy)	0.308 (0.000)	0.059 0.029	0.416 (0.000)	0.074 0.035
Medium-tech (dummy)	0.431 (0.000)	0.214 0.104	0.399 (0.000)	0.215 0.120
Constant Number of	-0.556 (0.000)		- 0.738 (0.000)	
number of firms	38,147		9,071	

Table 1: Export participation of manufacturing firms in West and East Germany, 2004

## Jena Economic Research Papers 2007-027

Table 2: Decomposition analysis of differences in export participation of manufacturing firms in West and East Germany, 2004

Reference group (percent exporters in sample)	Comparison group (percent exporters in sample)	Difference in participation (percentage points)	Characterisitcs effect (percentage points)	Residual effect (percentage points)			
West (64.44)	East (45.49)	18.96	8.51	10.45			
East (45.49)	West (64.44)	-18.96	-7.71	-11.25			
Detailed decomposition							
Variable	Characteristic e (percentage po	•	ance level e)				
Reference group: West Germany							
Number of employees	1.413	0.00	0				
Human capital	7.106	0.00	0				
High-tech (dummy)	0.012	0.00	5				
Medium-tech (dummy)	า -0.034	0.00	0				
Reference group: East Germany							
Number of employees	-1.678	0.00	0				
Human capital	-5.633	0.00	0				
High-tech (dummy)	0.013	0.218	3				
Medium-tech (dummy)	n -0.405	0.00	0				