

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Dewenter, Ralf

## Working Paper Rational Addiction to News? : Habit Formation and Print Media Usage

Diskussionspapier, No. 2

**Provided in Cooperation with:** Fächergruppe Volkswirtschaftslehre, Helmut-Schmidt-Universität (HSU)

*Suggested Citation:* Dewenter, Ralf (2003) : Rational Addiction to News? : Habit Formation and Print Media Usage, Diskussionspapier, No. 2, Universität der Bundeswehr Hamburg, Fächergruppe Volkswirtschaftslehre, Hamburg, https://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:gbv:705-opus-1304

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/23517

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



## WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Universität der Bundeswehr Hamburg University of the Federal Armed Forces Hamburg

> Fächergruppe Volkswirtschaftslehre Department of Economics

Discussion Paper No. June 2003

2

## **Rational Addiction to News?**

**Ralf Dewenter** 

## Rational Addiction to News? Habit Formation and Print Media Usage

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Ralf \ Dewenter^*} \\ {\rm University \ FAF \ Hamburg^\dagger} \\ {\rm 22043 \ Hamburg} \\ {\rm ralf.dewenter@unibw-hamburg.de} \end{array}$ 

#### Abstract

Quarterly data of magazine circulation are used to analyse the consumption behaviour of the readers of German popular magazines in a panel framework using OLS, 2SLS and generalized method of moments techniques, in order to test the rational addiction hypothesis in respect with goods without any biological dependency.

JEL-Classification: C23, D12, L89

Keywords: Rational Addiction, Habit Formation, Print Media, Panel Data

<sup>\*</sup>I am grateful to Sascha Krey for data collecting. I also thank Uwe Krakau the participants of the 29th Annual Conference of the European Association for Research for Industrial Economics in Madrid, September 2002 and the participants of the VIIth Spring Meeting of Young Economists, April 2002 in Paris for valuable comments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Economics, Institute for Economic Policy, University of the Federal Armed Forces Hamburg, Holstenhofweg 85, D-22043 Hamburg, Germany, Phone: ++49-(0)40-6541-2946, Fax: ++49-(0)40-6541-2042

"People get addicted not only to alcohol, cocaine, and cigarettes but also to work, eating, music, television, their standard of living, other people, religion, and many other activities."

G.S. BECKER AND K.M. MURPHY (1988)

"The addict looks strange because he sits down at period j=0, surveys future income, production technologies, investment/addiction functions, and consumption preferences over his life time to period T, maximizes the discounted value of his expected utility, and decides to be an alcoholic. That's the way he will get the greatest satisfaction out of life. Alcoholics are alcoholics, because they want to be alcoholics, ex ante, with full knowledge of its consequences."

G.C. WINSTON (1980)

## 1 Introduction

As Becker and Murphy (1988) have already mentioned in their innovative paper on rational addiction, people can be addicted to most every product or activity independently of a biological or pharmaceutical dependency. Both, the so called harmful products like cigarettes and alcohol, or illegal drugs like cocaine or cannabis, but also physically (seemingly) harmless activities like sports, music listening, watching television or even economic research are potentially addictive. Moreover, Becker and Murphy arguing that the consumers even in the case of harmful products are rational in the sense, that they anticipate future, possibly negative consequences of their current activities. A rational consumer, therefore, compares the utility out of smoking and the probability to falling ill with cancer. The strength of the addiction depends strongly on the current consumption and on the "addictive stock" or, more exact, on the level of past consumption (see Chaloupka 1991).<sup>1</sup>

Habit formation and habit persistence as foundations of addiction have been a popular topic in economic studies on consumer behaviour (see Messinis 1999). In this process, there are at least two distinct interpretations of addictive behaviours, a myopic and a rational one. Whereas the myopic consumer is not farsighted, according to a simply backward looking consumer decision. The rational addictive, in contrast, takes into account the consequences of past and current consumption on future events. Consequently, empirical analysis of these different approaches is able to differentiate between a pure backward looking and a rational behave.

Rational addiction is subject of a number of empirical analyses, nearly exclusive concerning drugs, like alcohol or cigarettes. The first test of the Becker Murphy model (BMM) was carried out by Chaloupka 1991. Using data for individuals in the United States he found out that cigarette consumption is addictive in the sense of the BMM and can not be declared as myopical. More exact, consumption is reduced as a response on increased taxes and therefore on increased prices. Chaloupka also estimated separate demand equations for different consumer groups discriminated by age and education and found that younger and less educated consumers act more myopically than older and more educated consumers. The long run-price elasticities are found to be higher for more myopic individuals than for rational addicts.

Also Becker et al. (1994) tested the Becker-Murphy model using data of cigarette consumption in the United States as well. Although they find some empirical evidence for the BMM, the calculated interest rates, regarding intertemporal utility maximization, are implausible high. In addition, they found evidence for higher long-run price elasticities in comparison to short-run price elasticities. Cameron (2000), in contrast, suggest that it is the nicotine in a cigarette that is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Regarding harmful products the level of the past consumption has in addition a negative effect on health (e.g. the accumulated volume of nicotine or alcohol consumed in the past). The addictive stock of harmless products in contrast has no (negative) influence on health, but nevertheless it could have an effect on addiction.

causal for smoking but not vice versa. Furthermore he found that this relation is negative, and that it seems to be less a rational decision to smoke than a myopic one. Many other studies deal with the demand for cigarettes and the empirical test of the rational addiction model (e.g. Labaega 1999, Cameron 1998, Yen and Jones 1996).

A further product that is suitable for empirical tests of the rational addiction model is alcohol. A couple of studies deal with this topic (e.g. Grossman et al. 1995, Waters and Sloan 1995 or Bentzen et al. 1999) and all of these support for the BMM. The rational addiction to caffeine is analyzed by Olekalns and Bardsley (1996). The authors find strong evidence for a addictive behaviour of the consumers, regarding the per capita coffee consumption of the United States between 1967 and 1992. Also the demand for drugs like opium (see van Ours 1995 or Liu et al. 1999) and cocaine is analyzed in a rational addiction framework. Grossman and Chaloupka (1996) find that past and future consumption do influence the demand for cocaine.

Further fields of interest due to rational addictive behaviour is the phenomenon of gambling (Mobilia 1993), the consumption of calories (Cawley 1999), or the rational addiction to arts (Villani 1992). Cameron (1999) analyses a market where the biological or pharmaceutical dependency is not given at all. Referring Becker and Murphy he investigates the addictive effect of cinemas in the United Kingdom. Altogether, he finds only a weak support for the rational addiction model. But this results do not surprise for two reasons. First of all, he refuses to use variables that control for the introduction of strong substitutes like VCR's or Pay-TV, that was possibly the reason for a temporary decline in cinema attendance, consequently the model could probably be miss-specified. Secondly, it it is not absolute required that one can measure an addictive effect in general using aggregated data (see Ferguson 2000). Even, if some people get addicted to cinemas it is not obvious, why this should hold for all film-goers. However, a test using disaggregate data could possibly lead to further insights.

Also the reader market for print media like newspapers or magazines is characterized by a habituation effect of the consumers. A piece of circumstantial evidence for this habit effect is the relatively scare switching of the readers to comparable substitutional products in spite of the relative low switching costs.<sup>2</sup> Particularly the newspaper readers, but even though the readers of magazines are trusty consumers of the media. Although apart from subscriptions the switching costs are negligible small, many readers do not often substitute a newspaper or a magazine.

A possible explanation for this fact could be a kind of rational addiction to the media, in the sense of Becker and Murphy. Although, there is obviously no physical dependency, as it occurs in respect to the use of drugs, one can assume that habituation indeed exists. This habit effect could arise from the permanent use of the product and the scarcely changing format and recurring editorial topics, therefore, the reader gets used to the format and the contents. Furthermore it is conceivable that for some print media a kind of network effect, in the sense of a social network in addition to the habit effect exists. The utility of a reader increases with higher circulations of a certain newspaper or magazine because the number of possible like-minded people increases as well. So, besides the utility out of the information from a magazine, there is a positive utility due to the possibility to discuss certain articles with friends and colleagues.<sup>3</sup>

But also the same is true for the reversed case. A high number of readers of a certain magazine from the social environment of a consumer could increases the interest into this media. The idea is that people that belong to a social group are influenced by other group members or the group as a whole. Also this processes is well known in the psychological, marketing but also in the economic literature as group-thinking and social interaction effects. There is a number of empirical research regarding social interaction effects. For example, Case and Katz (1991) study the influence of family and neighborhood on criminal activity, drug and alcohol use of youth behaviour. Also Glaeser, Scheinkman and Sacerdote (1996) analyse the effects of social interaction on crime (see also Ludwig, Duncan and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>One can calculate the switching costs of monthly women magazines to exemplify the its magnitude. Following Shy (2002), the costs lie between DM 1.16 and DM 2.80, whereas the price for all magazines is DM 4 (see Table 1 in the appendix for more details).

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ For a discussion of the economic consequences of network effects see for example Arthur (1994). An empirical consideration of social networks is e.g. Bertrand et al. (2000).

Hirschfeld 2001; Katz, Kling Liebman 2001 or Ichino and Maggi 2000). Hence, from this point of view, the switching costs would rise due to social interactions.

Although it is clear that there seems to be some habit effect for print media products to observe, it is unexplained if such effect can be identified as "rational addictive" or just as myopic. Using panel data of magazine sales and subscription data can lead to further insights to consumers behaviour regarding mass media. Furthermore one may ask, what kind of nature the addictive stock in print media is. Because in contrast to cigarette addiction there is no nicotine or tar, that is consumed. Admittedly, there is some amount of information consumed with the magazines that fills the addictive stock. Unfortunately it is unobservable to what extend readers fill their addictive stock, because only the number of sales but not the amount of information or news that is consumed by the reader can be observed. Hence, any measure of the addictive stock can be biased.<sup>4</sup>

The proceeding of this paper is the following: In the next section the theoretical framework of rational addiction is mirrored to derive testable hypotheses that can be implemented in statistical regression equations. In the third section data of German popular magazines are used to test for the existence of a rational addictive phenomena in respect with print media. This will be done using single genres estimations and cross genres analyses. Finally, the forth section summarizes the most important outcomes and discusses possible improvement of the analysis.

## 2 Theoretical Background

The phenomena of habit formation and addiction have been analyzed in various directions. Distinct key approaches are the myopic habit, the rational habit formation and the rational addiction. The first models addiction as a process of "backward-looking" habit formation, where the utility of the current consumption depends on past consumption, thus, myopic addicts are not aware of effects

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Not only an overestimation of consumption, due to the fact that consumers vary the number of articles they are interested in, is a potential outcome. But also an underestimation because of the synchronous consumption of different magazines by the same reader is possible.

of current consumption on future utility (see Gorman 1967, Pollak 1970, 1976, Spinnewyn 1981). The second approach models addiction as rational and farsighted behaviour. Therefore, the rational addict realizes the effects of addiction on future utility (see Stigler and Becker 1977 and Becker and Murphy 1988).<sup>5</sup>

In the following the theory of rational addiction introduced by Becker and Murphy will be reviewed in the light of empirical verification. Therefore first the theoretical framework will be represented to introduce possible testable specifications of the model subsequently. Afterwards some implications in respect with the model parameters will be reported that can be used to analyse the consuming behaviour of economic subjects more precisely.

#### 2.1 The Becker Murphy Model

Following Becker and Murphy the rational consumer maximizes utility under the assumption that tastes are constant over time. Accordingly an appropriate utility function is:

(1) 
$$u(t) = u[Y(t), C(t), S(t)],$$

where Y is the consumption of a non-addictive and C the consumption of the addictive good. S stands for the addictive stock, thus, past consumption leads to an accumulation of S. Becker and Murphy assume that health, in the case of harmful products, is negatively affected by the addictive stock. In the case of harmless products the addictive stock can also have positive influence on utility. Formally, it can be determined by an investment function:

(2) 
$$\frac{\partial S(t)}{\partial t} = C(t) - \delta S(t),$$

where the difference of the current consumption C(t) and the depreciated stock  $\delta S(t)$  determines the rate of change in time over S.

 $<sup>^5\</sup>mathrm{An}$  extensive summary of the different models of consumer behavior and habituation can be found in Messinis (1999).

Assuming a concave and time-separable utility function (in Y, C and S), a constant rate of time preference,  $\sigma$ , and a infinity lifetime the utility function is:

(3) 
$$U = \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} u[Y(t), C(t), S(t)] dt.$$

Maximizing utility has to be carried out under a budget constraint as long as consumers are rational. Under the assumption of a constant price  $P_Y(t)$  of the non-addictive good, treated as numeraire, and perfect capital markets one can derive the budget constraint as

(4) 
$$\int_0^\infty e^{-rt} [y(t) + PC(t)] dt \le A_0 + \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} w[S(t)] dt$$

where P is the price of the addictive good, r the constant real interest rate,  $A_0$  the initial value of assets and w[S(t)] the earnings, a concave function of the addictive stock.

Due to optimization of equation (3) subject to (2) and (4) and assuming a quadratic utility function BM calculate the optimal consumption path as:

(5) 
$$C(t) = \delta S(t) + \lambda_1 [S(t) - S^*],$$

where  $\lambda_1$  is the smaller characteristic root of a second-order linear differential equation, and  $S^*$  is the steady state value of the addictive stock. Thus, optimal consumption is the sum of the depreciated stock and  $\lambda_1$  times the actual distance to the steady state. Therefore, assuming optimal behaviour, the consumer tends to the optimal consumption path by refilling the sum of the depreciated addictive stock and reducing the distance between the actual stock and the steady-state, if existing. The smaller root of the characteristic difference equation, therefore, determines the speed of adjustment. Stability is, of course, only given if  $\lambda_1$  is negative.

#### 2.2 Empirical Specifications

Empirical tests of rational addictive behaviour can be performed by specifying a demand function founded in the underlying BM model. Two possible procedures to receive a testable form of the demand equation are reviewed in the following. The first is developed by Chaloupka in 1991 the second by Becker, Grossman and Murphy in 1994. Both analyses use cigarette consumption as the addictive product to test the BMM empirically.

#### Chaloupka (1991)

Up to now the most frequent application of the BM model is the empirical analysis of cigarette consumption. Chaloupka (1991) used micro-data on smoking behaviour to analyze cigarette addiction and price elasticities. In his study he uses a quite different approach, where health and relaxation are explicit modeled and being influenced negatively and positively by smoking respectively. Chaloupka assumes a quadratic specific utility function in its arguments (C(t), Y(t), S(t)). Under the assumption that the rate of time preference equals the real interest rate, neglecting the effects of consumption on earnings, and using the first-order conditions for C(t) and S(t) he derives the demand equations:

(6) 
$$C_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 P_t + \beta_2 P_{t-1} + \beta_3 P_{t+1} + \beta_4 C_{t-1} + \beta_5 C_{t+1}$$

and

(7) 
$$C_t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 P_t + \phi_2 P_{t+1} + \phi_3 C_{t+1} + \phi_4 S_t,$$

which are converted into discrete time. If rational addiction exists future and past consumption is assumed to be positive correlated with current consumption. Current prices are, as usual, supposed to have a negative effect on consumption. Future and past prices instead should have a positive influence, (if the price-effect on future and past consumption is ignored) for the following reasons: If past (future) prices are higher than current prices, the contemporary consumption is higher than past (future) consumption, since the consumer substitutes cigarettes intertemporal. The effects outgoing from past (future) prices on past (future) consumption and, therefore, on current consumption is not included in equations (6) and (7) (see Chaloupka 1991 and Becker et al. 1994 for details).

The solutions of the second-order difference equations (6) and (7) lead to the characteristics roots when utility is maximized:

(8) 
$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1 \mp (1 - 4\beta_4 \beta_5)^{1/2}}{2\beta_4}$$

and

(9) 
$$\lambda_{3,4} = \frac{1 \mp (1 - 4(\phi_4/\delta)\phi_3)^{1/2}}{2(\phi_4/\delta)}$$

respectively. Because as a condition for a maximum,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_3$  measure the influence of an (anticipated) variation in future consumption on current consumption and  $1/\lambda_2$  and  $1/\lambda_4$  measure the influence of a variation in past consumption on current consumption of the addictive good. As long as the utility function is concave in C and S, the signs of the roots are positive, if addictive behaviour is present.

Chaloupka also calculates the long-run price elasticities of the equations (6) and (7) as the elasticities of the steady-state level of consumption ( $C^*$ ) that is a replacement for the depreciated optimal level of the addictive stock ( $\delta S^*$ ) each period:

(10) 
$$\frac{\partial C^*}{\partial P} \frac{P}{C^*} = \frac{(\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3)}{1 - \beta_4 - \beta_5} \frac{P}{C^*}$$

and

(11) 
$$\frac{\partial C^*}{\partial P} \frac{P}{C^*} = \frac{(\phi_1 + \phi_2)}{1 - \phi_3 - (\phi_4/\delta)} \frac{P}{C^*}$$

In this way the long-run relationship between consumption and prices can be measured. This relationship is of special interest regarding political interventions like taxes on addictive goods.

#### Becker, Grossman and Murphy (1994)

Becker, Grossman and Murphy expand the empirical analysis on cigarette addiction in 1994 by the impact of unmeasured life-cycle variables on the utility. Starting from a concave lifetime utility function in discrete time

(12) 
$$\sum_{t=0}^{T} \delta^{t-1} U(Y_t, C_t, C_{t-1}, e_t)$$

where  $e_t$  and  $e_{t+1}$  determines present and future life-cycle variables and  $\delta$  determines the time discount factor. Under the assumption of a life-time budget constraint  $(\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \beta^{t-1}(Y_t + P_tC_t) = A^0)$ , where  $A^0$  is the initial value of wealth and assuming a quadratic utility function one can derive the following difference equation:

(13) 
$$C_t = \theta_1 C_{t-1} + \theta_2 C_{t+1} + \theta_3 P_t + \theta_4 e_t + \theta_5 e_{t+1}$$
, with  $\theta_2 = \delta \theta_1$ .

In contrast to equations (6) and (7) past and future prices are not considered here, as a consequence of the different specification of the utility function. Hence, past consumption determines addictive behavior and only future consumption presents rationality in addiction.

Apart from the testable equation it is possible to calculate important relations using the parameters of (13). First, one can directly derive the rate of depreciation as  $\delta = \theta_1/\theta_2$ . Thus, taking into account that  $\delta = 1/(1+r)$  it follows that the rate of time preference is  $r = (\theta_1/\theta_2) - 1$ , that is as assumed equal to the market rate of interest.

Again, solving the second order difference equation (13) leads to the characteristics roots  $\lambda_{1,2} = [1 \mp (1 - 4\theta_1\theta_2)^{1/2}]/2\theta_1 = [1 \mp (1 - 4\theta_1^2\delta)^{1/2}]/2\theta_1$ . Whereas, the interpretation of these parameters is the same as in the Chaloupka specification,  $\lambda_{1,2}$  measures the response of current consumption based on a shock in future and past consumption respectively. Using the characteristic roots one can derive the the long- and short-run effects. By multiplication with the ratio of prices and consumption at the sample means short and long-run elasticities can be represented as

(14) 
$$\eta_s = \frac{\partial C}{\partial P} \frac{P}{C} = \frac{\theta_3}{\theta_1 (1 - \lambda_1) \lambda_2} \frac{P}{C}$$

and

(15) 
$$\eta_l = \frac{\partial C}{\partial P} \frac{P}{C} = \frac{\theta_3}{\theta_1 (1 - \lambda_1) (\lambda_2 - 1)} \frac{P}{C}.$$

Summarizing there are three different specifications which can be used to analyse consumer behaviour regarding rationality and habit effects. Moreover, there is a number of hypotheses that can be tested in respect with the consistency of the models. In comparison with the Chaloupka approach the model of Becker et al. is less restrictive due to the omitted led and lagged prices. Indeed, ignoring future and past price variables could lead to specification bias if prices are important for the consumer decisions. Thus, we don't expect each specification to support for rational addiction, if any, using data of the same market. However, all of the specifications and hypotheses illustrated above will be tested in the next chapter using quarterly data of German magazine consumption.

## **3** Empirical Evidence

#### 3.1 Data

All data used in this study are gathered from the Focus-Medialine Internet database, which includes information about German magazines of different genres like programme guides, news magazines, women's magazines, or computer magazines for example. The data are quarterly and the maximum sample cover the period 1972:3 to 2001:3. Because of the various ages of the magazines the panel is unbalanced and consist of different variables regarding the reader and the advertising market like circulation, subscriptions, copy prices, ads, and advertising rates. Regardless of the availability of the variables, application of most of them is problematic, because of interrelated reader and advertising markets. Therefore an endogeneity problem could probably occur. For this reason only the necessary variables like circulations and prices are used in the following.

Another problem could occur if some of the variables are integrated of order one or higher. Even if some of the variables are I(1) and also independent of the existence of a cointegrating relation, the pooled panel estimator yields consistent estimates (Phillips and Moon 1999). But unfortunately, only long-run relationships are measurable using integrated variables. So the usage of lagged variables is not appropriate. Thus, the first step is to test the several sub-samples against unit roots using panel unit root tests. There is a set of unit root tests for panel data but since the (sub)-samples are unbalanced, tests by Im, Pesaran and Shin (1997) or Levin and Lin (1993) are not applicable here.<sup>6</sup> Therefore a nonparametric approach by Maddala and Wu (1999) is used to determine the order of integration. The authors suggest to choose a test-statistic by Fisher (1932) where the p-values of single unit root tests ( $\pi_i$ ) from each cross-sectional unit are used to calculate  $p_{\lambda} = -2\sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln(\pi_i) \sim \chi_{2N}^2$ . By this means one can use unit root tests with respect to different lag length to account for autocorrelation in the series that is a very important feature especially when the panel is unbalanced.

In order to calculate the p-values needed for the panel unit root test statistic, Phillips-Perron tests (see Phillips 1987 and Phillips and Perron 1988) were applicated to each time series in the form:  $y_t = \alpha + \beta y_{t-1} + \delta t + \varepsilon$ , where  $y_t$ and  $y_{t-1}$  are current and lagged realizations of a time series, t is a time trend and  $\varepsilon$  is an i.i.d. error. The number of lags used for each series is calculated by  $l = int(4 \cdot (T/100)^{(2/9)})$ , where T is number of observation in the series. The advantage of this statistic is that the test can be carried out even if the errors are autocorrelated and heteroskedastic as well (see Hamilton 1994).

At first, each genres has been tested using the Phillips-Perron statistic on the single magazine data. In most cases the null hypothesis of a unit root can be rejected at least at the 5% confidence level. Only prices in four genres and circulation in one genre show some evidence for I(1) processes (see Table 12). Therefore, the single genres estimates have to be interpreted carefully.

Next, all genres magazines have been pooled and the tests were repeated over

 $<sup>^6 {\</sup>rm Other}$  advantages of the Maddala and Wu approach in comparison to other tests are summarized in Banerjee (1999).

the all magazines and over pooled genres subsequently. Because of the fixed effects and pooled estimation of the Becker-Murphy model using information of all magazines in the following, this is a necessary procedure. In contrast to the single genre unit root tests, pooled magazines and pooled genres tests show no evidence for integrated series at all common levels. Thus, regarding pooled genre and fixed effects genres estimates, there is no danger of spurious regression.

The variables of interest out of this database are the circulation of the magazines (CIRC) which is calculated per capita using the total number of population over 14 years old<sup>7</sup>, the copy prices (P) which are deflated by the consumer price index, and number of pages (PAGE) that is the average number of pages of the magazines regarding the last four quarters.<sup>8</sup> Additionally variables used are seasonal dummy variables D1,D2 and D3, yearly dummy variables (counteracting the income effect), and dummy variables identifying the different magazines or genres, to prevent unobserved heterogeneity. Overall, data of 123 different magazines are used out of 12 genres (see Table 9 in the appendix).

#### 3.2 Results

To analyze the habit effects that are inherent in print media products the above mentioned models are tested using different kinds of techniques. First of all, single genre estimations are carried out, to determine peculiarities within the genres. Therefore, OLS regression followed by two stage least squares regressions are applied. Because of the usage of a higher number of instruments than regressors the generelized method of moments will be applied subsequently. The next step will be a pooled estimation of all genres available in the database using 2SLS and GMM estimator.

#### Single Genre Estimation

Starting with single genre estimation each genre is tested using the different models reported above. The samples consist of pooled data with varying num-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Of course, subscriptions are subtracted from the circulations because of much higher switching costs for subscribers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Consumer price index and population statistics are taken from the publications of the Federal Office of Statistics of Germany (Statisches Bundesamt).

ber of magazines and time periods (see data description in the appendix). At first all models are regressed using least squares estimator, but because of the endogeneity of past and current consumption, OLS is likely to lead to biased estimates. Therefore, 2SLS is an adequate method using led and lagged prices as instruments. Following Chaloupka, Becker et al. and others, four lagged and four led prices are used as instruments. This is a suitable procedure, because in the reduced form, the consumption is a function of all prices, contemporary and inter-temporal (see Chaloupka 1991 for example).

The first model estimated is the rational addiction specification following Becker et al. using current prices, past and future consumption of 25 weekly women magazines, followed by Chaloupka specifications I and II. The panel is unbalanced and consists at least 1320 observations.<sup>9</sup>

As one can see from Table 2 the OLS regression results support for the BMM to a weak extent because of the statistically insignificant coefficient of current prices regarding the Chaloupka specifications. One reason for this seemingly insignificance of prices could be the fact that copy prices of popular magazines do not change frequently. Though both, lagged and led consumption seems to have impact on current consumption. The same is particularly true for the 2SLS regression. As current, future, and past prices are not significant at any common level, again, past and future consumption is positive correlated with present consumption. Therefore, a habit effect and probable rational addiction could be present. But also the magnitude of the coefficients of past and future consumption is problematic, because future consumption has seemingly a higher impact than past consumption. The addictive stock variable, measured by the average number of pages out of the last four quarters carry the the right sign considering harmless products but, moreover, it is statistically insignificant.

Neglecting the partly insignificance of the coefficients all characteristic roots  $\lambda_1$  ( $\lambda_2$ ) of the difference equation are less (larger) than one, stability in consumption is therefore ensured since the smaller root is less and the larger root

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Due to the varying number of leads and lags the number of observations is of course uneven. But this genre is specially advantageous because of its high number of observations. In Germany exists a high number of women magazines since many years.

is greater than unity. An anticipated variation of future consumption of weekly women magazines by 10% would lead to a variation in current consumption by about 1 to 7%. A variation by 10% in past circulation leads to a 13% to 15% variation in current consumption.

#### \*\*\* Insert Table 2 about here \*\*\*

The short-run elasticity, measured in terms of sample means is (if measurable) -0.43 to -0.47 that is rather small but in respect with addiction or habit effects not surprising at all. The long-run price elasticity is -1.20 to -1.97. Thus, the long-run elasticity not only exceeds the short-run elasticity but it is approximately 4 times the short-run elasticity. Apparently, in the long-run, as predicted by Becker et al., price changes do influence the consumption of magazines much more than in the short-run.

Regarding the first specifications of the demand for weekly women magazines using OLS and 2SLS regression techniques there is only weak evidence for the Becker-Murphy model. And the most important deviation from the BMM is probably founded in the price coefficients, using four leads and lags of the price variables as instruments. However, especially in the case of overidentification due to a higher number of instruments than exogenous variables (as applied here) the generalized method of moments (GMM) is an appropriate method to receive a consistent estimator, even under the existence of heteroskedasticity or autocorrelation (see Hamilton 1995). Thus all models applied above were reestimated using GMM (see Table 3).

#### \*\*\* Insert Table 3 about here \*\*\*

Also the GMM estimates of the different specifications are ambiguous. None of the regressions is absolute consistent with the BMM. Starting with the Becker specification, the GMM estimates provide only weak support for the BMM, whereas current prices seem to have no impact on current consumption but both, past and future consumption are positive and statistically significant. Furthermore the  $\chi^2(J)$ -statistic representing a test for valid overidentification restrictions can not be rejected at the 5% level.<sup>10</sup> Thus, the use of four lagged and led prices seems to be valid.

The second specification (Chaloupka I) is the least meaningful regression. Refrained of this specification the price coefficients are insignificant or carry the "wrong" sign. Though future consumption seems to have a larger impact on current circulation than past consumption. Ignoring this contradiction the longrun price elasticity can be calculated as about -1.42. The third column of Table 3 shows the results of the second specification following Chaloupka. Also here, both, future prices and addictive stock carrying the wrong sign. Thus, this outcome does not support the BMM.

#### Restricted Estimates

In order to extend the analysis, some of the estimates above are re-estimated under different restrictions on the discount rate. Therefore the Becker and the Chaloupka specification I are modified to receive the following equations:

(16) 
$$C_t = \theta_0 + \theta_1 C_{t-1} + \delta \theta_1 C_{t+1} + \theta_3 P_t + \theta_4 \varepsilon_t$$

and

(17) 
$$C_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 P_t + \beta_2 P_{t-1} + \beta_3 P_{t+1} + \beta_4 C_{t-1} + \delta \beta_4 C_{t+1} + \varepsilon_t.$$

In both equations the restrictions are directly implemented regarding the proportion of future and past consumption. Adding seasonal and yearly dummies equation (16) and (17) are calculated using the generalized methods of moments. Moreover, both specifications are repeated using fixed effects.

First regarding the estimates using a common intercept for all magazines (see Table 4). The Becker specification repeatedly rejects the hypothesis of rational

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Under the null hypothesis that the overidentifying restrictions are justified, the statistic is asymptotically  $\chi^2$  distributed with degrees of freedom equal to the number of instruments (restrictions) minus the number of regressors (see Hamilton 1995).

addiction concerning current price. Seemingly current prices have no influence on consumption. That is implausible and probably attributed to the poor specification of Becker, Grossman and Murphy. For this reason it is nonsense to calculate the roots of the difference equation or the elasticities. Interestingly the coefficients of past consumption are nearby 0.5 assuming a discount rate of 100%, so that one can conclude that addiction is relatively strong. Increasing the discount rate the coefficient of future consumption, consequently, also increases. As above the application of GMM is feasible, the null of valid overidentification can not be rejected in each equation at the 5% level.

#### \*\*\* Insert Table 4 about here \*\*\*

The Chaloupka specification, in contrast, leads to more distinct results. All relevant variables are statistically significant at the 5% level and carrying the expected sign. Albeit here, the coefficients of past consumption are relatively high, however, this results could be interpreted as some evidence for rational addictive behaviour.

The response on anticipated shocks in future consumption (by 10%) on current consumption lies between about 5 and 7%. The reaction of current consumption as a response on a variation of past consumption lies between 7 and 8%. Of course the magnitude of this parameters strongly depends on the different restrictions implemented, they do not vary much. Also the long-run elasticities are very similar, for  $\delta = 100\%$   $\eta_l = -1.36$  and for  $\delta = 80\%$  and  $\delta = 60\%$   $\eta_l = -1.39$  and  $\eta_l = -1.43$  respectively.

Overall almost the same results can be observed using a fixed effects estimation (see Table 5). Current prices in the Becker equation are not significantly different from zero and the coefficients of past consumption are of similar magnitude. Moreover the outcomes of the Chaloupka specification are very similar to the results above. Overall the past consumption seems to have a slight stronger influence as using a common intercept.

#### \*\*\* Insert Table 5 about here \*\*\*

Summarizing, weekly women magazines show some empirical evidence for the BMM, but in respect with the insignificance of the price variables (especially in the Becker equations) this evidence is only weak. On the other hand past and future consumption seems to have impact on current consumption and the long-run price elasticities predicted by the distinct specifications are of usual magnitude. Furthermore, the most considerable specification using past and future prices and consumption shows indeed evidence for the BMM. Thus, the hypothesis of rational addiction regarding weekly women magazines can not be rejected, moreover, the results rather support for the BMM than for myopic behaviour.

The reported genre of news magazines is exemplary for all other estimations. Additionally, eleven other genres were used to determine evidence for the BMM. Table 2 summarizes the results from all genres, whereby also an ARMA approach is used to test for myopic bahaviour. The ARMA specification includes an autoregressive term (AR(1)) to test for habit effects and a moving average element (MA(1)) because of the probable time aggregation bias, as we use quarterly data. More exact, if magazines are sold more frequently than once a quarter, the errors could have a moving average structure. Thus the orthogonality condition could be violated (see Wickens and Molana 1984). If the MA terms are significant it could be an indicator for biased results in the rational addiction models.

The first column in Table 2 reports the results from the ARMA specifications, where AR means a significant autoregressive term at the 5% level and (AR) means a significant term at the 10% level, the same is true for the MA terms. Also a - represents a negative coefficient. In about the half of the regressions the MA terms are statistically significant. Only few models support directly for the BMM but only news and cars magazine do not show any support for rational addiction. Again it is the prices that are insignificant regarding the most regressions. In almost every estimation, both, past and future consumption seem to have influence on circulation. In each genres a habit effect is obviously.

#### \*\*\* Insert Table 6 about here \*\*\*

#### Cross Genre Estimation

In order to analyse consumer behaviour in a broader context all genres presented above are used to calculate a cross genres model in respect with rational addiction. Therefore a new sample was built using information of the genres mentioned above with a resulting number of 9641 observations. Because of the variable PAGE to calculate the Chaloupka model II the number of obervation reduced to 8342. As one can see from Table 7, cross genres estimates using GMM in a fixed effects framework support for the BMM following Chaloupka with respect to the coefficients and its signs. Current prices have negative and future and past prices have positive impact on consumption as predicted by Becker and Murphy. Moreover, past and future consumption is significant and positive related to circulation, solely the addictive stock has seemingly no influence. Regarding the Becker specification prices have no impact but intertemporal consumption is positive related to current consumption pattern at all common level.

The characteristic roots of the difference equations are respectively less or larger than unity, thus, stability is guaranteed for two out of three specifications.<sup>11</sup> The magnitude of the roots indicate that a change in future consumption by 10% leads to a change in current consumption by about 9-10%. And a change of 10% in past consumption leads to a variation in current consumption by also about 10%.

Furthermore, two specifications allow to calculate the rate of time preference and the discount rate respectively. Overall, both values of the discount rates are reasonably (0.97 and 0.9). The third specification can only be regressed when ror  $\delta$  is predeterminated, therefore we assumed  $\delta = 0.9$  to be as high as in the Chaloupka I model. Because of a positive and insignificant price coefficient in the Becker specification the calculation of the elasticities is nonsense, however, both other models allow for the calculation of  $\eta_l$ . According to this the long

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>The larger root of the Chaloupka specification II is negative and implausible high, that depends on the small and negative value of PAGES.

run elasticity lies between -0.71 and -0.48, that is relatively low in comparison with the single genre estimation of weekly women magazines but not surprising in respect with comparable studies of (rational) addictive products.

To analyse a valid overidentification by using more restrictions (instruments) than regressors, again,  $\chi^2$ -Tests were realized. None of the statistics rejects the null at the 5% level. Therefore the use of 4 lagged and led prices is a feasible proceeding. Using the 2SLS technique leads to the same outcomes regarding the signs of the estimated coefficients but not in respect with its magnitudes.

Finally, not all of the above results are consistent with the Becker-Murphy model of rational addictive behaviour. Particular prices are often problematic as in the Becker specification but also in many regressions of the single genres estimates. One reason, as reported, could be the low variation of prices at large. Also the measure of the addictive stock is seldom successful, here, a better approximation would be indicated. At least all of the results have shown that past consumption influences the current circulation and therefore a habituation effect can not be neglected. But there is also some evidence for rational behaviour. Future consumption is connected positively with circulation and mostly it is of lower magnitude than past consumption. The roots of the difference equations show evidence for stability on the most cases and long-run elasticities are of usual magnitude. The discount factor of about 0.9 is quite reasonable. For this reasons, the conjecture of some rationality in spite of habit can not be rejected.

## 4 Conclusions

In this paper an analysis on consumer behaviour of the readers of German magazines has been presented in order to shed some light on the kind of habit effect that is usually inherent with print media usage. Using quarterly data for a period from 1972:3 to 2001:3 and 123 magazines out of 12 genres, we applied simple OLS regressions, two-stage least squares, and the generalized method of moments serving to test different specifications of the Becker-Murphy model of rational addiction. Starting with single genres estimates, we found ambiguous symptoms for the validity of the BMM in the context of print media consumption. Only few genres support the Becker-Murphy model in more than one specification. However, the rejection of the rational addiction hypothesis is seldom founded in consumption variables but in prices. Nominal copy prices of German magazines are used to vary approximately only once a year. Because of this rigidity, demand reactions induced by price changes are hardly measurable. Moreover, if appropriate we calculated long-run price elasticities varying from -1.20 to -1.97. Also the relatively low magnitude of the short-run elasticities support the habit hypothesis.

While the most single genres estimates produces only weak, at least partly different evidence regarding *all* specifications of the BMM, the regression results using a complete panel consisting each magazine supports for the hypothesis of rational addiction in a more considerable way. Refrained of the Becker specification that missed to confirm an impact of current prices on consumption, both other specification drew results agreeing with rational addiction. Furthermore, past and future consumption estimates confirmed an intertemporal dependency in respect with circulation. So that it is probable that print media consumption is not only addictive but rational.

Long-run elasticities estimates using the Chaloupka specifications lie between -0.48 and -0.71 at the sample means, that is not very high, but in comparison with other studies of addictive products those elasticities are usual outcomes. Becker et al. (1994) for example measure long-run elasticities of -0.73to -0.79 for the case of cigarette consumption. Much lower values (-0.27 to -0.46) are calculated by Chaloupka (1991) also regarding smoking behaviour. Labeaga (1999) measures price elasticities for Spanish cigarette demand of about -0.113 to -0.665 for different types of consumers. For the case of opium van Ours (1995) found the long-run price elasticities according to different kind of alcoholic drinks for the nordic countries that lie between -1.2 and -1.9.

Uncontentiously it has been shown that, however, the readers of German magazines are subject to a considerable habit effect. Independently of the single genres, past consumption has always a significant impact on current consumption. Hence, at least habituation does play an important role for consumer behaviour in respect with print media, even if switching costs are negligible small. Moreover, there is also some evidence for *rational* addictive behaviour according to Becker and Murphy (1988). Almost every estimation using past and future consumption simultaneously, yields to significant measures of impact. Hence, again, the hypothesis of rational addiction in print media can not (completely) be rejected. Even more one can understand the outcomes as evidence for non-myopic and probably *bounded* rational behaviour.

Regardless of the evidence discussed above, there are many points that can be improved to receive more precise results. An useful extension of this analysis would be to consider individual data of magazine consumption in order to determine a more exact measure of habitutation effects. Due to the aggregation of circulation data it is not clear whether and of what magnitude new readers enter the market and over which period the magazines are used by consumers. Hence, the results could be biased because of aggregation individual behaviour. Furthermore it would be appropriate to control for heterogeneous effects concerning the single magazines, but unfortunately, it is hard to find additional information to control for heterogeinity because of the dependency of reader and advertising markets in the media sector, therefore problems of endogeneity would arise.

Regarding further research it would be of particular interest to analyse the consumption behaviour of other mass media than magazines. Especially daily newspapers are suitable objects because of the high number of subscription rates and their typically daily use. It is, though, much more difficult to receive the corresponding data. But also media like television or cinemas could be of further interest in respect with rational addiction.

Moreover, from a theoretical point of view, it would be useful to model the behaviour of a media firm being confronted with addicted consumers. In contrast to usual firms dealing with addictive goods as considered by Showalter (1999), the optimization process of media firms is obviously different because of the mutual dependence of the (interrelated) reader and advertising markets (see Blair and Romano 1993 or Dewenter and Kraft 2001). Therefore the pricing decisions should also depend on addiction and habits.

## References

- Arthur, B., 1994, Increasing returns and path dependence in the economy, University of Michigan Press.
- [2] Baltagi, B.H. and D. Levin, 1986, Estimating Dynamic Demand for Cigarettes Using Panel Data: The Effects of Bootlegging, Taxation, and Advertsing Reconsidered, The Review of Economics and Statistics, 68, 148-155.
- [3] Banerjee, A., 1999, Panel Data Unit Roots and Cointegration, Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, Special Issue, 607–629.
- [4] Becker, G.S and K.M. Murphy, 1988, A Theory of Rational Addiction, *Journal of Political Economy*, 96, 675–700.
- [5] Becker, G.S, M. Grossman and K.M. Murphy, 1994, An Empirical Analysis of Cigarette Addiction, *American Economic Review*, 84(3), 369–418.
- [6] Bertrand, M., Luttmer, E.F.P and S. Mullainthan, 2000, Network Effects and Welfare Cultures, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 115(3), 1019-1055.
- [7] Blair R.D. und R.E. Romano, 1993, Pricing Decisions of the Newspaper Monopolist, *Southern Economic Journal*, Vol. 95, No.4, S. 721-32.
- [8] Bentzen, J., T. Eriksson and V. Smith, 1999, Rational Addiction and Alcohol Consumption: Evidence from the Nordic Countries, *Journal of Consumer Policy*, 22, 257–279.
- [9] Cameron, S., 1988, Estimation of the Demand for Cigarettes: A Review of the Literature, Economic Issues, 3(2), 56–71.
- [10] Cameron, S., 1999, Rational Addiction and the Demand for Cinema, Applied Economics Letters, 1999(6), 616–620.

- [11] Cameron, S., 2000, Nicotine Addiction and Cigarette Consumption: A Psycho-Economic Model, *Journal of Economic Behaviour* and Organization, 41(2000), 211–219.
- [12] Case, A.C. and L.F. Katz, 1991, The Company You Keep: The Effects of Family and Neighborhood on Disadvantaged Youths, *NBER Working Paper 3705.*
- [13] Chaloupka, F., 1991, Rational Addictive Behaviour and Cigarette Smoking, *Journal of Political Economy*, 99(4), 722–742.
- [14] Cawley, J.H., 1999, Rational Addiction, the consumption of Calories, and Body Weight, University of Chicago, PhD. Thesis.
- [15] Dewenter, R. and K. Kraft, 2001, Pricing in Interrelated Markets, mimeo.
- [16] Greene, W., 1993, Econometric Analysis, New York, Macmillan.
- [17] Ferguson, B.S., 2000, Interpreting the Rational Addiction Model, *Health Economics*, 9, 587-598.
- [18] Glaeser, E.L., Sacerdote, B. and J.A. Scheinkman, 1996, Quarterly Journal of Economics, 111(2), 507-48.
- [19] Gorman W.M., 1967, Tastes, Habits and Choices, International Economic Review, 8, 218-22.
- [20] Grossman, M., F.J. Chaloupka and I. Sirtalan, 1995, An Empirical Analysis of Alcohol Addiction: Results from the Monitoring the Future Panels, NBER Working Paper, 5200.
- [21] Grossman, M. and F.J. Chaloupka, 1998, The Demand for Cocain by Young Adults: A Rational Addiction Approach, *Journal* of *Health Economics*, 17(4), 427–474.

- [22] Ichino, A. and G. Maggi, 2000, Work environment and Individual Background: Explaining Regional Shirkink Differentials in a large Intalian Bank, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 115(3), 1057-1090.
- [23] Im, K.S., M.H. Pesaran and Y. Shin, 1997, Testing for Unit Roots in Heterogenuous Panels, Mimeo, Department of Applied Economics, University of Cambridge.
- [24] Katz, L., J. Kling and J. Liebman, 2001, Moving to Opportunity in Boston: Early Results of a Randomized Mobility Experiment, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 116(2), 607-654.
- [25] Labaega, J.M., 1999, A Double-Hurdle Rational Addiction Model with Heterogeneity: Estimating the Demand For Tobacco, *Journal* of Econometrics, 93, 49–72.
- [26] Levin, A. and C.F. Lin, 1992, Unit Root Tests in Panel Data: Asymptotic and Finite Sample Properties, University of California at San Diego, Discussion Paper No. 92-93.
- [27] Liu, J.-L., Liu, J.-T., Hammit, J.K. and S.-Y. Chou, 1999, The Price Elasticity of Opium in Taiwan, 1914-1942, Journal of Health Economics, 18, 795-810.
- [28] Ludwig, J., G. Duncan and P. Hirschfeld, 2001, Urban Poverty and Juvenile Crime: Evidence from a Randomized Housing-Mobility Experiment, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 116(2), 655-679.
- [29] Maddala, G.S. and S. Wu, 1999, A Comparative Study of Unit Root Tests with Panel Data and a New Simple Test, Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, Special Issue, 1999, 631–652.
- [30] Messinis, G., 1999, Habit Formation and the Theory of Addiction, Journal of Economic Surveys, 13(4), 417-442.

- [31] Mobilia, P., 1993, Gambling As a Rational Addiction, Journal of Gambling Studies, 9,121-151.
- [32] Muellbauer, J., 1988, Habits, Rationality and Myopia in the Life-Cycle Consumption Function, Annales d'Economie et de Statistique, 9, 47-70.
- [33] Newey, W. and K. West, 1987, Hypothesis Testing with Efficient Method of Moments Estimation, *International Economic Review*, 28, 777-787.
- [34] Phillips, P.C.B., 1987, Time Series Regression with a Unit Root, Econometrica, 55, 277–301.
- [35] perron Phillips, P.C.B. and P. Perron, 1988, Testing for a Unit Root in Time Series Regression, *Biometrica*, 75, 335–46.
- [36] Pollak, R.A., 1970, Habit Formation and Dynamic Demand Functions, Journal of Political Economy, 78(4), pt. 1, 745-63.
- [37] Pollak, R.A., 1976, Habit Formation and Long-run Utility Functions, Journal of Economic Theory, 13, 272-97.
- [38] Showalter, M.H., 1999, Firm Behaviour in a Market with Addiction: the Case of Cigarettes, *Journal of Health Economics*, 18, 409-427.
- [39] Shy, O., 2002, A quick-and-easy method for estimating switching costs, International Journal of Industrial Organization, 20, 71-87.
- [40] Spinnewyn, F., 1981, Rational Habit Formation, European Economic Review, 15, 91-109.
- [41] Stigler, G.J. and G.S. Becker, 1977, De Gustibus Non Est Disputandunm, American Economic Review, 67, 76-90.

- [42] van Ours, J.C., 1995, The Price Elasticity of Hard Drugs; The Case of Opium in the Dutch East Indies, 1923-1938, *Journal of Political Economy*, 103, 261-279.
- [43] Villani, A., 1992, Rational Addiction in the Arts, Richerche Economiche, 46(1-2), 41-54.
- [44] Waters, T.M. and F.A. Sloan, 1995, Why do People Drink? Tests of the Rational Addiction Model, *Applied Economics*, 27, 727–736.
- [45] Winston, G.C., 1980, Addiction and Backsliding: A Theory of Compulsive Consumption, Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, 1(4), 295-324.
- [46] Wickens, R. and H. Molana, 1984, Stochastic life-cycle model with varying interest rates and prices, *Economic Journal*, 94, supplement, 133-47.
- [47] Yen, S.T. and A.M. Jones, 1996, Individual Cigarette Consumption and Addiction: A flexible limited dependent variable approach, *Health Economics*, 5, 105-117.

## A Tables

### A.1 Switching costs of monthly women magazines

	Circulation	Copy price	Switching costs	
	Ν	p	$S_{i,i} = p_i - \frac{p_j N_j}{N_j}$	
Title		Г	$\sim i,j$ $Pi$ $N_i+N_j$	
Brigitte	858726	4	2.84	
Freundin	534364	4	2.42	
Für Sie	522324	4	2.40	
Journal für die Frau	349130	4	1.16	

Table 1: Switching Costs of monthly women magazines

Notes: To receive the highest switching costs possible, all  $S_{i,j}$  are calculated using data of *Journal für die Frau*, the magazine with the lowest circulation, as substitute. Data of *Brigitte* is used to calculate the switching costs for *Journal für die Frau*, respectively.

	Rational Addiction Models						
	Bec OLS	cker IV	Chalo OLS	upka I IV	Chalou OLS	ıpka II IV	
Constant	0.0001 (0.03)	0.0017 (0.88)	-0.0005 (-0.71)	-0.0007 (-0.49)	$0.0065 \\ (3.05)$	-1.7071 (-2.71)	
P(t)	-0.0009 (-2.61)	-0.0028 (-2.54)	-0.0046 (-1.78)	-0.0043 (-1.64)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0005 \ (0.39) \end{array}$	-0.3208 (-1.67)	
P(t-1)	-	-	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0012 \\ (0.95) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0005 \\ (0.35) \end{array}$	-	-	
P(t+1)	-	-	0.0029 (1.86)	0.0029 (1.74)	-0.0020 (-1.49)	-0.2437 (-2.66)	
CIRC(t-1)	0.5153 (12.69)	$0.6766 \\ (7.07)$	0.4683 (17.19)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4612 \\ (6.78) \end{array}$	-	-	
CIRC(t+1)	0.4224 (10.34)	$0.1081 \\ (1.48)$	$0.4802 \\ (17.97)$	0.4613 (5.12)	0.8248 (17.87)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6951 \\ (5.99) \end{array}$	
PAGE	-	-	-	-	8.22E-07 (1.82)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00051 \\ (2.61) \end{array}$	
$ar{R^2}$ Obs	0.98 1510	$0.97 \\ 1425$	$0.98 \\ 1491$	$0.97 \\ 1425$	$0.95 \\ 1388$	$0.91 \\ 1320$	
$egin{array}{l} \lambda_1 \ \lambda_2 \ \eta_s \end{array}$	0.62 1.31 -0.47	0.11 1.36 -0.43	0.73 1.40	0.66 1.50 -	- -	- - -	
$\eta_l$	-1.97	-1.62	-1.20	-1.24	-	-	

 Table 2: Single Genres Estimates - Weekly Women Magazines

Single Genres Estimates

A.2

Notes: t-Statistics in brackets. Dummy variables are not reported. Robust covariance matrices are calculated using the Newey-West estimator. Instruments used are: 4 lagged and 4 led prices as required.

	Rational Addiction Models					
	Becker	Chaloupka I	Chaloupka II			
Constant	-0.0011 $(-1.79)$	-0.0008 $(-1.35)$	-0.8306 $(-4.31)$			
P(t)	0.0002 (0.83)	-0.007	-0.2472 (-2.71)			
P(t-1)	-	0.0032 (2.21)	-			
P(t+1)	-	(2.21) 0.0039 (2.53)	-0.0408			
CIRC(t-1)	0.5960	(2.03) 0.4774 (11.70)				
$\operatorname{CIRC}(t+1)$	0.4356	0.5419	0.8547			
PAGE	(8.03)	(2.53)	(12.08) 0.0002			
			(3.57)			
$\bar{R^2}$	0.98	0.98	0.95			
$\chi^2(J)$	7.41	6.23	7.80			
p-value	(0.06)	(0.10)	(0.05)			
Obs	1425	1425	1365			

 Table 3: GMM Estimates - Weekly Women Magazines

Notes: t-Statistics in brackets. Dummy variables are not reported. Robust covariance matrices are calculated using the Newey-West estimator. Instruments used are: 4 lagged and 4 led prices as required.  $\chi^2(J)$ -statistics reports for valid overidentification.

	Becker			Chaloupka I		
	$\delta = 100\%$	$\delta = 80\%$	$\delta = 60\%$	$\delta = 100\%$	$\delta = 80\%$	$\delta = 60\%$
Constant	-0.0002 (-0.23)	-1.82E-05 (-0.01)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0004 \\ (0.03) \end{array}$	$0.0006 \\ (0.41)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0009 \\ (0.55) \end{array}$	0.0013 (0.71)
P(t)	-1.26E-05 (-0.02)	-0.0001 (-0.24)	-0.0003 $(-0.52)$	-0.0054 (-2.43)	-0.0054 $(-2.45)$	-0.0054 (-2.46)
P(t-1)	-	-	-	0.0022 (2.65)	0.0024 (2.14)	0.0027 (2.07)
P(t+1)	-	-	-	0.0027 (2.49)	0.0023 (2.21)	0.0019 (2.21)
CIRC(t-1)	0.4987 (19.89)	$0.5466 \\ (18.40)$	0.6019 (16.05)	$0.4765 \\ (14.65)$	0.5219 (12.77)	0.5750 (11.08)
$\bar{R^2}$	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
$\chi^2(J)$	6.46	6.24	6.07	4.54	4.41	7.33
p-value	0.19	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.22	0.062
Obs	1417	1417	1417	1417	1417	1417

 Table 4: Restricted Estimates - Weekly Women Magazines

Notes: t-Statistics in brackets. Dummy variables are not reported. Robust covariance matrices are calculated using the Newey-West estimator. Instruments used are: 4 lagged and 4 led prices as required.

	Becker			Chaloupka I		
	$\delta = 100\%$	$\delta = 80\%$	$\delta = 60\%$	$\delta = 100\%$	$\delta = 80\%$	$\delta=60\%$
P(t)	-8.34E-06 (-0.02)	4.96E-05 (0.11)	4.39E-05 (0.08)	-0.0054 $(-2.37)$	-0.0053 (-2.18)	-0.0053 (-2.15)
P(t-1)	-	-	-	$0.0029 \\ (2.45)$	0.0031 (2.27)	0.0034 (2.37)
P(t+1)	-	-	-	$0.0026 \\ (1.80)$	$0.0022 \\ (1.99)$	0.0019 (2.18)
CIRC(t-1)	$0.5044 \\ (43.04)$	$0.5678 \\ (36.71)$	$0.6452 \\ (30.62)$	0.5124 (29.36)	$0.5685 \\ (32.04)$	$0.6374 \\ (30.68)$
$\bar{R^2}$	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
$\chi^2(J)$	7.34	7.56	7.79	3.53	5.11	5.17
p-value	0.061	0.055	0.05	0.31	0.16	0.16
Obs	1417	1417	1417	1417	1417	1417

Table 5: Restricted Estimates - Weekly Women Magazines - Fixed Effects

Notes: t-Statistics in brackets. Dummy variables are not reported. Robust covariance matrices are calculated using the Newey-West estimator. Instruments used are: 4 lagged and 4 led prices as required.  $\chi^2(J)$ -statistics reports for valid overidentification.

	Myopic	Rational Addiction			
		Becker	Chaloupka I	Chalpula II	
Genres/	ARMA	GMM	GMM	GMM	
Cars	AR,-MA	-	-	-	
Computer	$\operatorname{AR}$	$^{+,\mathrm{P}}$	-	+,P	
Eroticism	$\operatorname{AR}$	$^{+,\mathrm{P}}$	-	-	
Lifestyle	AR	$^{+,\mathrm{P}}$	-	+,P	
News	AR,-MA	-	-	-	
Parents	AR,MA	$^{+,\mathrm{P}}$	$^{+,\mathrm{P}}$	-	
Sports	AR	-	(+),P	+,P	
TV Guides	AR	-	_	+	
Women (fortnightly)	AR,MA	$^{+,\mathrm{P}}$	$^{+,\mathrm{P}}$	+,P	
Women (monthly)	AR,(-MA)	$^{+,\mathrm{P}}$	-	+,P	
Youth	AR,-MA	+, P	-	+,P	

 Table 6: Single Genres Estimates - Summary

Notes: If myopic models are indicated with AR or (AR) resp. MA or (MA) the autoregressive or moving average term is statistically significant at the 5% (10%) level. Furthermore + means a significance level of 5% and (+) a significance level of 10%, where +,P means significant consumption variables and insignificant prices.

	Rational Addiction Models					
	Becker	ker Chaloupka I Chaloupk				
P(t)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0000 \\ (0.34) \end{array}$	-0.000644 (-3.14)	-0.000560 (-1.93)			
P(t-1)	-	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000332 \\ (3.19) \end{array}$	-			
P(t+1)	-	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000311 \\ (2.16) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0000652\\(2.04)\end{array}$			
CIRC(t-1)	$0.5070 \\ (12.70)$	$0.5242 \\ (6.05)$	-			
$\operatorname{CIRC}(t+1)$	0.4923 (12.49)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4752 \\ (5.64) \end{array}$	1.0743 (15.07)			
PAGES	-	-	-3.73E-08 (-0.42)			
$egin{array}{l} \lambda_1 \ \lambda_2 \ r \ \delta \ \eta_l \end{array}$	0.94 1.02 0.03 0.97 -	0.89 1.00 0.10 0.90 -0.711	1.07 -7182801 <b>0.10</b> <b>0.90</b> -0.48			
$ar{R^2}$ $\chi^2(J)$ p-value Obs	$\begin{array}{c} 0.99 \\ 7.81 \\ (0.05) \\ 9641 \end{array}$	$0.99 \\ 5.63 \\ (0.13) \\ 9641$	0.97 8.00 (0.047) 8342			

Table 7: Cross Genres GMM Estimates - Fixed Effects

**Cross Genres Estimates** 

**A.3** 

Notes: t-Statistics in brackets. Dummy variables are not reported. Robust covariance matrices are calculated using the Newey-West estimator. Instruments used are: 4 lagged and 4 led prices as required.  $\chi^2(J)$ -statistics reports for valid overidentification. The rate of time preference and therefore the discount rate are exogenously assumed in the Chaloupka model II.

	Rational Addiction Models					
	Becker	Chaloupka I	Chaloupka II			
P(t)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5093 \\ (0.50) \end{array}$	-0.000675 (-2.57)	-0.000732 (-2.34)			
P(t-1)	-	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000310 \\ (2.13) \end{array}$	-			
P(t+1)	-	0.000336 (2.15)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.000818 \\ (2.38) \end{array}$			
CIRC(t-1)	$0.5093 \\ (11.76)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4751 \\ (4.76) \end{array}$	-			
$\operatorname{CIRC}(t+1)$	0.4874 (11.37)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5214 \\ (5.34) \end{array}$	$1.0475 \\ (9.62)$			
PAGES	-	-	-5.49E-08 (-0.22)			
$egin{array}{l} \lambda_1 \ \lambda_2 \ r \ \delta \ \eta_l \end{array}$	0.89 1.06 0.04 0.95	0.95 1.15 -0.08 1.09 -0.20	1.04 -11591915 <b>0.10</b> <b>0.90</b> -0.62			
$\bar{R}^2$ Obs	$0.99 \\ 9641$	$0.99 \\ 9641$	$0.97 \\ 8333$			

 Table 8: Cross Genres 2SLS Estimates - Fixed Effects

Notes: t-Statistics in brackets. Dummy variables are not reported. Robust covariance matrices are calculated using the Newey-West estimator. Instruments used are: 4 lagged and 4 led prices as required.  $\chi^2(J)$ -statistics reports for valid overidentification. The rate of time preference and therefore the discount rate are exogenously assumed in the Chaloupka model 2.

# A.4 Descriptive Statistics and Data DescriptionA.4.1 Data Descriptions

-

GENRES	Magazines	CIRC	Р	PAGE
Cars	11	1143	1143	1001
Commutans	11	465	465	3/3
		400	400	040
Eroticism	4	300	300	281
Lifestyle	9	485	485	404
News	9	830	830	793
Parents	4	364	364	340
Sports	10	585	585	463
TV Guides	15	1106	1106	1024
Women Weekly	20	1560	1560	1456
Women Fortnightly	5	446	446	415
Women Monthly	28	1792	1792	1412
Youth	7	649	649	446

Table 9: Data Description

## A.4.2 Cross Genres Sample

	Circulation per Capita (C)	Real Prices (P)	Pages (PAGE)
Mean Std. Dev. Observations	$\begin{array}{c} 0.009118 \\ 0.010825 \\ 9681 \end{array}$	$3.152678 \\ 1.967447 \\ 9681$	$880.1764 \\ 601.3535 \\ 8374$

Table 10: Descriptive Statistics of the Cross Genres Sample

Table 11: Correlation Matrix of the Cross Genres Sample

	C	$C_{t-1}$	$C_{t+1}$	Р	$P_{t-1}$	$P_{t+1}$	PAGES
$C \\ C_{t-1} \\ C_{t+1} \\ P \\ P_{t-1} \\ P_{t+1}$		0.9953	0.9897 0.9859	-0.3529 -0.3528 -0.3527	-0.3529 -0.3532 -0.3526 0.9973	-0.3508 -0.3507 -0.3532 0.9906 0.9883	0.4437 0.4459 0.4402 -0.1694 -0.1694 -0.1694

Magazine					
Genres	$p_{\lambda}(CIRC_t)$	$p_{\lambda}(\Delta CIRC_t)$	$p_{\lambda}(P_t)$	$p_{\lambda}(\Delta P_t)$	$\chi^2_{2N}(0.05)$
Cars	43.32	-	23.07	146.59	33.92
Computer	47.85	-	24.13	148.98	33.92
Eroticism	13.26	-	13.25	-	12.59
Lifestyle	29.05	-	14.44	157.68	28.86
News	70.14	-	53.20	-	28.87
Parents	5.45	56.64	13.51	-	12.59
Sport	73.60	-	45.82	-	31.41
TV	41.59	-	88.35	-	31.41
Women (Fortn.)	45.20	-	24.94	-	18.37
Women (Monthly)	220.03	-	80.06	-	79.08
Women (Weekly)	178.36	-	79.13	-	67.50
Youth	34.64	-	21.06	130.41	23.68
All Genres	85.68	-	102.64	-	36.41
All Magazines	805.96	-	481.01	-	298.6

Table 12:Maddala-Wu panel unit root tests

#### **Bisher erschinen:**

#### Diskussionspapiere der Fächergruppe Volkswirtschaftslehre

- Kruse, Jörn, Regulierung der Terminierungsentgelte der deutschen Mobilfunknetze?, Nr. 1 (Juni 2003).
- Dewenter, Ralf, Rational Addiction to News?, Nr. 2 (Juni 2003).
- Josten, Stefan Dietrich und Achim Truger, Inequality, Politics, and Economic Growth. Three Critical Questions on Politico-Economic Models of Growth and Distribution, Nr. 3 (Juni 2003).
- Haucap, Justus, The Economics of Mobile Telephone Regulation, Nr. 4 (Juni 2003).
- Dewenter, Ralf, Media Markets with Habit Formation, Nr. 5 (Juni 2003).
- Bräuninger, Michael, A Note on Health Insurance and Growth, Nr. 6 (Juni 2003).
- Dewenter, Ralf, Quality Provision in Interrelated Markets, Nr. 7 (Juni 2003).
- Haucap, Justus und Tobias Just: Not Guilty? Another Look at the Nature and Nurture of Economics Students, Nr. 8 (Juni 2003).
- Josten, Stefan Dietrich, Dynamic Fiscal Policies, Unemployment, and Economic Growth, Nr. 9 (Juni 2003).
- Dewenter, Ralf, The Economics of Media Markets, Nr. 10 (Juni 2003).
- Otto, Alkis, Foreign Direct Investment, Production, and Welfare, Nr. 11 (Juni 2003).
- Dewenter, Ralf, Estimating the Valuation of Advertising, Nr. 12 (Juni 2003).

#### Frühere Diskussionsbeiträge zur Wirtschaftspolitik

- Bräuninger, Michael und Justus Haucap, Das Preis-Leistungs-Verhältnis ökonomischer Fachzeitschriften, Nr. 120 (2002), erschienen in: *Schmollers Jahrbuch* 123, 2003.
- Kruse, Jörn, Competition in Mobile Communications and the Allocation of Scarce Resources: The Case of UMTS, Nr. 119 (2002), erscheint in: Patrick Rey und Pierrre Buigues (Hg.), *European Telecommunications Policy*, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2003.
- Haucap, Justus und Jörn Kruse, Predatory Pricing in Liberalised Telecommunications Markets, Nr. 118 (2002).
- Kruse, Jörn, Pay-TV versus Free-TV: Ein Regulierungsproblem?, Nr. 117 (2002), erscheint in: Mike Friedrichsen (Hg.), Kommerz - Kommunikation - Konsum. Zur Zukunft des Fernsehens in konvergierenden Märkten, 2003.
- Kruse, Jörn, Regulierung der Verbindungsnetzbetreiberauswahl im Mobilfunk, Nr. 116 (2002), als Kurzform erschienen in: *Multimedia und Recht*, Januar 2003, S. 29-35.
- Haucap, Justus und Jörn Kruse, Verdrängungspreise auf liberalisierten Telekommunikationsmärkten, Nr. 115 (2002).
- Haucap, Justus und Helmmar Schmidt, Kennzeichnungspflicht für genetisch veränderte Lebensmittel: Eine ökonomische Analyse, Nr. 114 (2002), erschienen in: *Zeitschrift für Wirtschaftspolitik* 53, 2002, S. 287-316.
- Kruse, Jörn und Jörn Quitzau, Zentralvermarktung der Fernsehrechte an der Fußball-Bundesliga, Nr. 113 (2002), erschienen in: Zeitschrift für Betriebswirtschaft, Ergänzungsheft zur Sportökonomie, 2002, S. 63-82.
- Kruse, Jörn und Justus Haucap, Zuviel Wettbewerb in der Telekommunikation? Anmerkungen zum zweiten Sondergutachten der Monopolkommission, Nr. 112 (2002), erschienen in: *Wirtschaftsdienst* 82, 2002, S. 92-98.
- Bräuninger, Michael und Justus Haucap, What Economists Think of Their Journals and How They Use Them: Reputation and Relevance of Economics Journals, Nr. 111 (2002), erschienen in *Kyklos* 56, 2003, S. 175-197.
- Haucap, Justus, Telephone Number Allocation: A Property Rights Approach, Nr 110 (2001), erschienen in: *European Journal of Law and Economics* 15, 2003, S. 91-109.
- Haucap, Justus und Roland Kirstein, Government Incentives when Pollution Permits are Durable Goods, Nr. 109 (2001), erschienen in: *Public Choice* 115, 2003, S. 163-183.
- Haucap, Justus, Konsum und soziale Beziehungen, Nr. 108 (2001), erschienen in: Jahrbuch f
  ür Wirtschaftswissenschaften 52, 2001, S. 243-263.
- Bräuninger, Michael und Justus Haucap, Was Ökonomen lesen und schätzen: Ergebnisse einer Umfrage, Nr. 107 (2000), erschienen in: *Perspektiven der Wirtschaftspolitik* 2, 2001, S.185-210.
- Haucap, Justus, Uwe Pauly und Christian Wey, Collective Wage Setting When Wages Are Generally Binding: An Antitrust Perspective, Nr. 106 (2000), erschienen in: *International Review of Law and Economics* 21, 2001, S. 287-307.

- Haucap, Justus, Selective Price Cuts and Uniform Pricing Rules in Network Industries, Nr. 105 (2000).
- Bräuninger, Michael, Unemployment Insurance, Wage Differentials and Unemployment, Nr. 104 (2000) erschienen in: *Finanzarchiv* 75, 2000, S. 485-501.
- Kruse, Jörn, Universaldienstlast etablierter Postunternehmen, Nr. 103 (2000) erschienen in: Zeitschrift für Betriebswirtschaft, Ergänzungsheft 3, 2002, S. 99-117.
- Kruse, Jörn, Sportveranstaltungen als Fernsehware, Nr. 102 (2000) erschienen in: Schellhaaß, Horst-Manfred (Hg.), *Sportveranstaltungen zwischen Liga- und Medien-Interessen*, Schorndorf 2000, S. 15-39.

#### Frühere Diskussionsbeiträge aus dem Institut für Theoretische Volkswirtschaftslehre

- Bräuninger, Michael, Social Capital and Regional Mobility, Nr. 4/2002.
- Schäfer, Wolf, EU-Erweiterung: Anmerkungen zum Balassa-Samuelson-Effekt, Nr. 3/2002.
- Bräuninger, Michael, The Budget Deficit, Public Debt and Endogenous Growth, Nr. 2/2002.
- Rösl, Gerhard, Die Umverteilung der Geldschöpfungsgewinne im Eurosystem: Das Earmarking-Verfahren seit dem 1.1.2002, Nr. 1/2002, als Kurzform erschienen in: *Wirtschaftsdienst* 82, 2002, S.352-356.
- Schniewindt, Sarah, Two-Way Competition in Local Telecommunication Networks, Nr. 2/2001.
- Reither, Franco, Optimal Monetary Policy when Output Persists: On the Equivalence of Optimal Control and Dynamic Programming, Nr. 1/2001.
- Schäfer, Wolf, MOEL-Wechselkursarrangements, Nr. 1/2000, erschienen in: Günther Engel und Peter Rühmann (Hg.): *Geldpolitik und Europäische Währungsunion*, Göttingen 2000, S.217-228.
- Heppke, Kirsten, On the Existence of the Credit Channel in Poland, Nr. 8/1999.
- Bräuninger, Michael, Unemployment and International Lending and Borrowing in an Overlapping Generations Model, Nr. 8/1999.
- Henning, Andreas und Wolfgang Greiner, Organknappheit im Transplantationswesen Lösungsansätze aus ökonomischer Sicht, Nr. 7/1999.
- Chung, Un-Chan, East Asian Economic Crisis What is and What Ought to be Done: The Case of Korea, Nr. 6/1999, erschienen in: *Research in Asian Economic Studies* 10, 2002, S. 93-121.
- Carlberg, Michael, Europäische Währungsunion: Der neue Policy Mix, Nr. 5/1999, erschienen in *Wirtschaftswissenschaftliches Studium (WiSt)* 29(1), 2000, S. 8-13.
- Carlberg, Michael, European Monetary Union: The New Macroeconomics, Nr. 4/1999, erschienen in: Gerhard Rübel (Hg.), *Real and Monetary Issues of International Economic Integration*, Berlin 2000, S. 155-175.
- Bräuninger, Michael und J.-P. Vidal, Private versus Financing of Education and Endogenous Growth, Nr. 3/1999, erschienen in: *Journal of Population Economics* 13, 2000, S. 387-401.

- Reither, Franco, A Monetary Policy Strategy for the European Central Bank, Nr. 2/1999 erschienen in: Rolf Caesar und Hans-Eckart Scharrer (Hg.), *European Economic and Monetary Union: Regional and Global Challenges*, Baden-Baden 2001, S. 213-226.
- Bräuninger, Michael, Wage Bargaining, Unemployment and Growth, Nr. 1/1999 erschienen in: *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics* 156, 2000, S. 646-660.

#### Frühere Diskussionsbeiträge zur Finanzwissenschaft

- Josten, Stefan, Crime, Inequality, and Economic Growth. A Classical Argument for Distributional Equality, 2002, erscheint in: *International Tax and Public Finance*, 2003.
- Zimmermann, Klaus W. und Tobias Thomas, Öffentliche Güter, natürliche Monopole und die Grenze marktlicher Versorgung, 2002, erscheint in: *Wirtschaftswissenschaftliches Studium (WiSt)* 32, 2003.
- Holm-Müller, Karin und Klaus W. Zimmermann, Einige Anmerkungen zur Internalisierungsstrategie mit dem produktorientierten Konzept der Pigousteuer, 2002, erschienen in: Zeitschrift für Umweltpolitik und Umweltrecht 25, 2002, S. 415-420
- Josten, Stefan, Nationale Schuldenpolitik in der EWU, 2002, erschienen in: *Wirtschaftsdienst* 82, 2002, S. 219-225.
- Hackmann, Johannes, Der Sonderabgabenbezug nach dem Lebenspartnerschaftsergänzungsgesetz, 2002, erschienen in: *Wirtschaftsdienst*, 82, 2002, S. 241-248.
- Josten, Stefan, Das Theorem der Staatsschuldneutralität. Eine kritisch-systematische Rekonstruktion, 2001, erschienen in: *Jahrbuch für Wirtschaftswissenschaften* 53, 2002, S. 180-209.
- Zimmermann, Klaus W., Komplikationen und Fallstricke in der Pigou-Analyse von Externalitäten, 2001, erschienen in: *Jahrbuch für Wirtschaftswissenschaften* 53, 2002, S. 245-267
- Josten, Stefan, National Debt in an Endogenous Growth Model, 2001, erschienen in: *Jahrbuch für Wirtschaftswissenschaften* 53, 2002, S. 107-123.
- Hackmann, Johannes, Vom Ehegattensplitting zum Partnerschaftssplitting?, 2001, erschienen in: Volker Arnold (Hg.), *Wirtschaftsethische Perspektiven VI*, Schriften des Vereins f
  ür Socialpolitik 228/VI, 2002, S. 189-222.
- Zimmermann, Klaus W. und Tobias Just, Politische Glaubwürdigkeit und der Euro: Eine verfassungsökonomische Perspektive, 2000, erschienen in: Fritz Söllner und Arno Wilfert (Hg.), *Die Zukunft des Steuer- und Sozialstaates*, Physica, 2001, S. 373-397.
- Josten, Stefan, National Debt, Borrowing Constraints, and Human Capital Accumulation in an Endogenous Growth Model, 2000, erschienen in: *FinanzArchiv* 58, 2001, S. 317-338.

- Zimmermann, Klaus W. und Tobias Just, The Euro and Political Credibility in Germany, 2000, erschienen in: *Challenge* 44, 2001, S. 102-120
- Josten, Stefan, Public Debt Policy in an Endogenous Growth Model of Perpetual Youth, 1999, erschienen in *FinanzArchiv* 57, 2000, S. 197-215.
- Zimmermann, Klaus W., Internalisierung als Nirwana-Kriterium der Umweltpolitik, 1999, erschienen in: Kilian Bizer, Bodo Linscheidt und Achim Truger (Hg.), *Staatshandeln im Umweltschutz. Perspektiven einer institutionellen Umweltökonomik*, Duncker & Humblot, 2000
- Hackmann, Johannes, Die unterlassene Besteuerung der Nutzungswerte selbstgenutzten Wohnungseigentums: Vergebene Reformpotentiale, 1999, erschienen in: R. Lüdeke, W. Scherf und W. Steden (Hg.), Wirtschaftswissenschaft im Dienste der Verteilungs-, Geld- und Finanzpolitik, Festschrift für A. Oberhauser, Berlin 2000, S. 387-412.
- Zimmermann, Klaus W. und Tobias Just, Interest Groups, Referenda, and the Political Process: On the Efficiency of Direct Democracy, 1999, erschienen in: *Constitutional Political Economy* 11, 2000, S. 147-163
- Josten, Stefan, Staatsverschuldung und Wirtschaftswachstum in einem Diamond-OLG-Modell mit AK-Technologie, 1999, erschienen in: *Jahrbuch für Wirtschaftswissenschaften* 51, 2000, S. 237-254.