

Stark, Oded

**Working Paper**

## On the Economics of Refugee Flows

ZEF Discussion Papers on Development Policy, No. 84

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung / Center for Development Research (ZEF), University of Bonn

*Suggested Citation:* Stark, Oded (2004) : On the Economics of Refugee Flows, ZEF Discussion Papers on Development Policy, No. 84, University of Bonn, Center for Development Research (ZEF), Bonn

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/21840>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



ZEF Bonn  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung  
Center for Development Research  
Universität Bonn

Oded Stark

Number **On the Economics of**  
**84** **Refugee Flows**

ZEF – Discussion Papers on Development Policy  
Bonn, February 2004

The CENTER FOR DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH (ZEF) was established in 1995 as an international, interdisciplinary research institute at the University of Bonn. Research and teaching at ZEF aims to contribute to resolving political, economic and ecological development problems. ZEF closely cooperates with national and international partners in research and development organizations. For information, see: <http://www.zef.de>.

---

ZEF – DISCUSSION PAPERS ON DEVELOPMENT POLICY are intended to stimulate discussion among researchers, practitioners and policy makers on current and emerging development issues. Each paper has been exposed to an internal discussion within the Center for Development Research (ZEF) and an external review. The papers mostly reflect work in progress.

**Oded Stark: On the Economics of Refugee Flows. ZEF – Discussion Papers on Development Policy No. 84, Center for Development Research, Bonn, February 2004, pp. 8.**

**ISSN: 1436-9931**

**Published by:**

Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF)  
Center for Development Research  
Walter-Flex-Strasse 3  
D – 53113 Bonn  
Germany  
Phone: +49-228-73-1861  
Fax: +49-228-73-1869  
E-Mail: [zef@uni-bonn.de](mailto:zef@uni-bonn.de)  
<http://www.zef.de>

**The author:**

**Oded Stark**, University of Bonn; University of Vienna; and ESCE Economic and Social Research Center, Cologne and Eisenstadt.  
(contact: [ostark@uni-bonn.de](mailto:ostark@uni-bonn.de))

## Contents

Acknowledgements

Abstract	1
Kurzfassung	1
1 Introduction	2
2 Analysis	3
3 Complementary Reflections	6
References	7
Notes	8

## Acknowledgements

---

Partial financial support from the Humboldt Foundation and the Sohmen Foundation is gratefully acknowledged.

## Abstract

---

Although the phenomenon of refugee flows is not devoid of economic connotations, it has so far been investigated primarily by political scientists and sociologists. The analytical tools of economic inquiry have not yet been applied to this subject, although it stands to reason that such a study will contribute to our understanding of why refugee flows occur and will guide the policy response. This note illustrates how economic analysis can be brought to bear on three key aspects of refugee flows: fear, poverty, and group movement.

## Kurzfassung

---

Obwohl das Phänomen der Flüchtlingsbewegungen nicht frei von ökonomischen Konnotationen ist, wurde es bislang vorwiegend von Politologen und Soziologen untersucht. Die analytischen Werkzeuge ökonomischer Forschung sind auf dieses Forschungsfeld noch nicht angewandt worden, obwohl es zu vermuten steht, dass eine solche Untersuchung zu unserem Verständnis darüber, wie es zu Fluchtwellen kommt, beitrüge und die politischen Reaktionen lenken helfen könnte. Dieser Beitrag illustriert, wie die ökonomische Analyse für die drei Schlüsselaspekte von Flucht fruchtbar gemacht werden kann: Angst, Armut und Gruppenmobilität.

# 1 Introduction

---

The phenomenon of refugee flows has eluded economic analysis for a long time. Yet both the causes and the consequences of refugee flows lend themselves to economic analysis. This note takes a step in this direction.

The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) estimates that by the end of 2000 there were 11.7 million refugees in the world. Since its founding in 1951 (to assist about one million Europeans who were still homeless five years after the end of World War II) the agency reports that “the number of uprooted people climbed ... to eight million by the start of the 1980s and then to a peak of more than 27 million in 1995.” In many particular settings the numbers involved are very large. For example, from 1979 onwards, Afghanistan produced more than six million refugees, and in 1994 more than one million refugees crossed into Zaire in a mere few days (Wilkinson, 2000). It is inconceivable that a phenomenon that is neither trivial nor random is devoid of economic underpinnings or is not deserving of economic analysis.

Refugee flows differ from standard migration (henceforth migration) in two important respects: the flow of refugees is typically a group movement – a large number of people move simultaneously – as opposed to a sequenced movement of individuals; and refugee flows are overwhelmingly from distinctly poor economies.

Refugee flows typically arise from the capriciousness of nature and the ferocious hostility of fellow human beings. A deleterious event that impacts harshly on a few (say casualties in a civil strife) triggers a movement by many refugees. The key terms used to account for refugee flows are impoverishment and fear. In a way, this note sketches heuristic economic equivalents of these terms.

A breakdown of newly arrived refugees by country of origin in 2000 (UNHCR 2001, Table 7) reveals that five countries produced more than 50,000 refugees each, and nine countries produced more than 10,000 refugees each. All nine countries are very poor (eight are in Africa, one – Afghanistan – is in Asia). What is even more tantalizing is that the list of countries producing more than 10,000 refugees each in 2000 is not all that different from the corresponding list four years earlier: Burundi, Rwanda, The Democratic Republic of the Congo, Afghanistan, Sudan, and Somalia feature in both the 1996 list and in the 2000 list. (The calculations for 1996 are based on UNHCR 1997, Table 3). It is as if a substantial refugees flow at one point in time gives rise to a substantial subsequent flow.

## 2 Analysis

---

Typically, in poor economies where markets are not well developed production takes place in smaller units (villages) than in well-to-do economies. Production is also subject to strong interdependencies or externalities *within* the production units.<sup>1</sup> The intersection of a *small* size of the economic unit within which output is generated and *spillovers* implies that a decline in the productive attribute of one individual affects adversely the productivity of all other individuals. This correlation raises the likelihood of refugee flows. To see how, for a *given* degree of externalities, an adverse shock affecting the human capital of one individual will have a small effect on other individuals' productivity in a large economy but a profound effect on other individuals' productivity in a small economy, consider an economy in which there are  $n$  workers and the single production input is labor. Worker  $i$ 's human capital (the sum total of his efficiency units of labor) is  $\theta_i$ , and the per-worker concave production function is

$$f(\theta_i) = \alpha \ln(\theta_i + 1) + \eta \ln(\bar{\theta} + 1) \quad \text{for } \theta_i > 0$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$  are constants, and  $\eta$  represents the externalities accruing from the

average level of human capital  $\bar{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i}{n}$ . Assuming (for now) that all the  $\theta_i$ 's have already been determined, the effect of a decline of the human capital of worker  $i$  (whose human capital is  $\theta_i$ ) on the output of worker  $j$  is

$$\frac{\partial f(\theta_j)}{\partial \theta_i} = \eta \frac{\frac{1}{n}}{\frac{\sum \theta_i}{n} + 1} = \frac{\eta}{n(\bar{\theta} + 1)} > 0;$$

the effect of an adverse shock to  $i$ 's human capital on  $j$ 's productivity is negative and is larger the smaller is  $n$ . Thus, in a large economy, the outcome of  $\Delta\theta_i < 0$  is more likely to be an individualistic migration as it will possibly prompt only  $i$  to leave, whereas in a small economy the outcome is more likely to be a refugee flow as other workers, along with  $i$ , will be prompted to leave.

An economy whose workers are vulnerable to the *prospect* of becoming refugees will be poorer than an economy not facing such a prospect. To see how this happens relax the assumption that the  $\theta_i$  are given. Let  $\theta_i = \theta \forall i$ . Workers choose how much human capital to



form taking into consideration the (gross) returns to human capital,  $f(\theta)$ , and the costs of forming human capital. Let these costs be  $c(\theta) = k\theta$ , where  $0 < k < \alpha$  is a constant. To find out first how much human capital is formed by a worker if there is no prospect that the worker will end up as a refugee, we write

$$W(\theta) = \alpha \ln(\theta + 1) + \eta \ln(\bar{\theta} + 1) - k\theta \quad \text{for } \theta > 0.$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial W(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\alpha}{\theta + 1} - k,$$

the worker's chosen level of human capital is

$$\theta^* = \frac{\alpha}{k} - 1 > 0.$$

Suppose, alternatively, that workers face the prospect,  $p$ , of becoming refugees – for example because such an event pervaded a neighboring economy in the past and the workers consider their own economy vulnerable to the same exogenous forces. As a refugee, the private returns to a worker's human capital – the reward to a worker's skill and know-how – are lowered, say from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ , where  $k < \beta < \alpha$  is a constant. Assuming that workers depart as a group, the production externalities will be retained. (This argument views externalities as a community asset rather than as a geographical attribute; the externalities are specific to a group, not to a locale.) A worker's expected net earnings will therefore be

$$\tilde{W}(\theta) = p[\beta \ln(\theta + 1) + \eta \ln(\bar{\theta} + 1)] + (1 - p)[\alpha \ln(\theta + 1) + \eta \ln(\bar{\theta} + 1)] - k\theta.$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{W}(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{p(\beta - \alpha) + \alpha}{\theta + 1} - k,$$

the worker's chosen level of human capital is

$$\tilde{\theta}^* = \frac{p(\beta - \alpha) + \alpha}{k} - 1 > 0,$$

assuming that  $0 < \frac{k - \alpha}{\beta - \alpha} < p < 1$ . Since  $p > 0$  and  $\beta < \alpha$ ,  $\tilde{\theta}^* < \theta^*$ ; the discouraging effect of the refugee eventuality lowers the level of human capital that workers choose to form.

It is further possible to show that not only does poverty raise the likelihood of a refugee flow, as argued in the beginning of this section, but also the prospect of a refugee status brings about poverty. Let the level of poverty (social welfare) be measured by net earnings per worker, that is, the output per worker less the cost of acquiring the human capital used to generate the output. If workers do not expect to end up as refugees, their net earnings are given by

$$W(\theta^*) = \alpha \ln \frac{\alpha}{k} + \eta \ln \frac{\alpha}{k} - \alpha + k.$$

By substituting  $x = \frac{\alpha}{k} > 1$  into the first and third terms of the right-hand side of  $W(\theta^*)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} W(\theta^*) &= \eta \ln \frac{\alpha}{k} + kx \ln x - (kx - k) \\ &= \eta \ln \frac{\alpha}{k} + k[x \ln x - (x - 1)] > 0 \end{aligned}$$

since for any  $x > 1$ ,  $x \ln x > x - 1$ .<sup>2</sup>

When the refugee probability looms, workers' net earnings are

$$W(\tilde{\theta}^*) = p(\beta + \eta) \ln \frac{p(\beta - \alpha) + \alpha}{k} + (1 - p)(\alpha + \eta) \ln \frac{p(\beta - \alpha) + \alpha}{k} - [p(\beta - \alpha) + \alpha] + k.$$

These net earnings are highest when  $p$  is at its lower bound. Therefore, if welfare evaluated at this bound is lower than  $W(\theta^*)$  then welfare evaluated at any other  $p$  will afortiori be lower than  $W(\theta^*)$ . Since

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \frac{k-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha}} W(\tilde{\theta}^*) = 0,$$

it follows that  $W(\tilde{\theta}^*) < W(\theta^*)$ ; welfare is affected adversely by the prospect of ending up as a refugee even if no worker actually does become a refugee.

### 3 Complementary Reflections

---

There can, of course, be other reasons why a refugee flow in a given period invites, rather than dampens, a refugee flow in a subsequent period. Once a relief response consisting of support structures, facilities, and amenities (such as camps, schools, clinics, wells, and other infrastructure – sometimes referred to by UNHCR as QIPs – quick impact projects) that caters for the needs and welfare of refugees is in place, the refugee route becomes more inviting. It is a moral hazard of sorts. For example, the construction of camps and associated facilities in Iran and Pakistan for refugees who fled Afghanistan in the wake of the 1979 Soviet invasion may have contributed to the considerable follow-up refugee flows taking place in the wake of the dramatic rise of the Taliban in 1994-96. A response of this type is not without a historical precedent. There is interesting evidence that in Europe, from the Middle Ages until the seventeenth century, changes in the manner in which prisoners of war were treated (including the ease with which they were ransomed) affected the incidence - and apparently the incentive - of being taken prisoner (Frey and Buhofer, 1988). For example, two religious orders founded at the turn of the twelfth century were prominent until the French Revolution in the ransom and release of prisoners of war. These orders were reported to have arranged for the ransom and release of about one million prisoners. Such activities appear to have contributed positively to the likelihood of falling prisoner, just as the increased brutality of the Revolutionary and Napoleonic Wars and the lower likelihood of exchanges of prisoners led to a decline in the likelihood of falling prisoner. To some extent, a soldier may *choose* to seek refuge in a prison camp and a civilian may *choose* to seek relief in a refugee camp.

A complete analysis of the dynamics of refugee flows is beyond the scope of this note. But it is tempting to speculate on the nature of this dynamics, especially as it may involve interactions between refugee flows and migration. A significant explanatory variable of the destination choice of migrants is the presence and size of a stock of past migrants. The stock may well consist of refugees who were integrated economically in the receiving country. Thus, if B had been the destination of refugees from A at time  $t$ , this event could account for the migration from A to B of workers at points in time subsequent to  $t$ . To the extent that labor migration alleviates conditions that otherwise could evolve to induce a flow of refugees, labor migration could preempt a subsequent refugee movement. For example, considerable evidence suggests that labor migration is shadowed by remittance flows in a reverse direction, and that these remittances mitigate the impact of droughts, alleviate poverty, and facilitate technological change in agricultural production.<sup>3</sup> Events may so unfold that return may become an appealing option for refugees. It will be helpful to analyze return flows, to explain why some refugees return while others do not, and to characterize the returnees.

## References

---

Frey, Bruno S. and H. Buhofer. 1988. Prisoners and Property Rights, *The Journal of Law and Economics* 31, pp. 19-46.

Myrdall, Gunnar. 1968. *Asian Drama: An Inquiry into the Poverty of Nations*, New York: The Twentieth Century Fund.

United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees. 1997. *Populations of Concern to UNHCR: A Statistical Overview (1997)*, Geneva.

United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees. 2001. *2000 Global Refugee Trends*, Geneva.

Wilkinson, Ray. 2000. Cover Story, *Refugees Magazine* 3 (120), pp. 6-21.

## Notes

---

1. Vivid accounts of the strong production interdependencies in villages in developing countries are provided by Myrdall (1968, especially chapter 26).

2. Showing that for any  $x > 1$ ,  $x \ln x > x - 1$  is equivalent to showing that for any  $x > 1$ ,

$\ln \left[ e \left( \frac{x}{e} \right)^x \right] > 0$ . Since when  $x = 1$ ,  $\ln \left[ e \left( \frac{x}{e} \right)^x \right] = 0$ , and since  $\frac{\partial \ln \left[ e \left( \frac{x}{e} \right)^x \right]}{\partial x} = 1 > 0$ , it follows that

for any  $x > 1$ ,  $\ln \left[ e \left( \frac{x}{e} \right)^x \right]$  must be strictly positive.

3. The econometric implication of this argument is that in estimating the incidence of refugee flows for a sample of economies, previous migration (the economy's prevailing "migration stock") should appear as a right-hand side explanatory variable with the associated coefficient having a negative sign.

The following papers have been published so far:

- |        |  |  |
|--------|--|--|
| No. 1  | Ulrike Grote,<br>Arnab Basu,<br>Diana Weinhold               | Child Labor and the International Policy Debate<br>Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,<br>September 1998, pp. 47.   |
| No. 2  | Patrick Webb,<br>Maria Iskandarani                           | Water Insecurity and the Poor: Issues and Research Needs<br>Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,<br>Oktober 1998, pp. 66.  |
| No. 3  | Matin Qaim,<br>Joachim von Braun                             | Crop Biotechnology in Developing Countries: A<br>Conceptual Framework for Ex Ante Economic Analyses<br>Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,<br>November 1998, pp. 24.    |
| No. 4  | Sabine Seibel,<br>Romeo Bertolini,<br>Dietrich Müller-Falcke | Informations- und Kommunikationstechnologien in<br>Entwicklungsländern<br>Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,<br>January 1999, pp. 50.                                  |
| No. 5  | Jean-Jacques Dethier   | Governance and Economic Performance: A Survey<br>Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,<br>April 1999, pp. 62.   |
| No. 6  | Mingzhi Sheng  | Lebensmittelhandel und Kosumtrends in China<br>Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,<br>May 1999, pp. 57.   |
| No. 7  | Arjun Bedi   | The Role of Information and Communication Technologies<br>in Economic Development – A Partial Survey<br>Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,<br>May 1999, pp. 42.        |
| No. 8  | Abdul Bayes,<br>Joachim von Braun,<br>Rasheda Akhter         | Village Pay Phones and Poverty Reduction: Insights from<br>a Grameen Bank Initiative in Bangladesh<br>Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,<br>June 1999, pp. 47.         |
| No. 9  | Johannes Jütting   | Strengthening Social Security Systems in Rural Areas of<br>Developing Countries<br>Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,<br>June 1999, pp. 44.                            |
| No. 10 | Mamdouh Nasr   | Assessing Desertification and Water Harvesting in the<br>Middle East and North Africa: Policy Implications<br>Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,<br>July 1999, pp. 59. |
| No. 11 | Oded Stark,<br>Yong Wang                                     | Externalities, Human Capital Formation and Corrective<br>Migration Policy<br>Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,<br>August 1999, pp. 17.                                |

- No. 12      John Msuya      Nutrition Improvement Projects in Tanzania: Appropriate Choice of Institutions Matters  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
August 1999, pp. 36.
- No. 13      Liu Junhai      Legal Reforms in China  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
August 1999, pp. 90.
- No. 14      Lukas Menkhoff      Bad Banking in Thailand? An Empirical Analysis of Macro Indicators  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
August 1999, pp. 38.
- No. 15      Kaushalesh Lal      Information Technology and Exports: A Case Study of Indian Garments Manufacturing Enterprises  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
August 1999, pp. 24.
- No. 16      Detlef Virchow      Spending on Conservation of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture: How much and how efficient?  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
September 1999, pp. 37.
- No. 17      Arnulf Heuermann      Die Bedeutung von Telekommunikationsdiensten für wirtschaftliches Wachstum  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
September 1999, pp. 33.
- No. 18      Ulrike Grote,  
Arnab Basu,  
Nancy Chau      The International Debate and Economic Consequences of Eco-Labeling  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
September 1999, pp. 37.
- No. 19      Manfred Zeller      Towards Enhancing the Role of Microfinance for Safety Nets of the Poor  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
October 1999, pp. 30.
- No. 20      Ajay Mahal,  
Vivek Srivastava,  
Deepak Sanan      Decentralization and Public Sector Delivery of Health and Education Services: The Indian Experience  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
January 2000, pp. 77.
- No. 21      M. Andreini,  
N. van de Giesen,  
A. van Edig,  
M. Fosu,  
W. Andah      Volta Basin Water Balance  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
March 2000, pp. 29.
- No. 22      Susanna Wolf,  
Dominik Spoden      Allocation of EU Aid towards ACP-Countries  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
March 2000, pp. 59.

- No. 23 Uta Schultze Insights from Physics into Development Processes: Are Fat Tails Interesting for Development Research?  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
March 2000, pp. 21.
- No. 24 Joachim von Braun,  
Ulrike Grote,  
Johannes Jütting Zukunft der Entwicklungszusammenarbeit  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
March 2000, pp. 25.
- No. 25 Oded Stark,  
You Qiang Wang A Theory of Migration as a Response to Relative  
Deprivation  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
March 2000, pp. 16.
- No. 26 Doris Wiesmann,  
Joachim von Braun,  
Torsten Feldbrügge An International Nutrition Index – Successes and Failures  
in Addressing Hunger and Malnutrition  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
April 2000, pp. 56.
- No. 27 Maximo Torero The Access and Welfare Impacts of Telecommunications  
Technology in Peru  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
June 2000, pp. 30.
- No. 28 Thomas Hartmann-  
Wendels  
Lukas Menkhoff Could Tighter Prudential Regulation Have Saved Thailand's  
Banks?  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
July 2000, pp. 40.
- No. 29 Mahendra Dev Economic Liberalisation and Employment in South Asia  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
August 2000, pp. 82.
- No. 30 Noha El-Mikawy,  
Amr Hashem,  
Maye Kassem,  
Ali El-Sawi,  
Abdel Hafez El-Sawy,  
Mohamed Showman Institutional Reform of Economic Legislation in Egypt  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
August 2000, pp. 72.
- No. 31 Kakoli Roy,  
Susanne Ziemek On the Economics of Volunteering  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
August 2000, pp. 47.
- No. 32 Assefa Admassie The Incidence of Child Labour in Africa with Empirical  
Evidence from Rural Ethiopia  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
October 2000, pp. 61.
- No. 33 Jagdish C. Katyal,  
Paul L.G. Vlek Desertification - Concept, Causes and Amelioration  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
October 2000, pp. 65.



- No. 34 Oded Stark On a Variation in the Economic Performance of Migrants by their Home Country's Wage  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
October 2000, pp. 10.
- No. 35 Ramón Lopéz Growth, Poverty and Asset Allocation: The Role of the State  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
March 2001, pp. 35.
- No. 36 Kazuki Taketoshi Environmental Pollution and Policies in China's Township and Village Industrial Enterprises  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
March 2001, pp. 37.
- No. 37 Noel Gaston,  
Douglas Nelson Multinational Location Decisions and the Impact on Labour Markets  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
May 2001, pp. 26.
- No. 38 Claudia Ringler Optimal Water Allocation in the Mekong River Basin  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
May 2001, pp. 50.
- No. 39 Ulrike Grote,  
Stefanie Kirchhoff Environmental and Food Safety Standards in the Context of Trade Liberalization: Issues and Options  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
June 2001, pp. 43.
- No. 40 Renate Schubert,  
Simon Dietz Environmental Kuznets Curve, Biodiversity and Sustainability  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
October 2001, pp. 30.
- No. 41 Stefanie Kirchhoff,  
Ana Maria Ibañez Displacement due to Violence in Colombia: Determinants and Consequences at the Household Level  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
October 2001, pp. 45.
- No. 42 Francis Matambalya,  
Susanna Wolf The Role of ICT for the Performance of SMEs in East Africa – Empirical Evidence from Kenya and Tanzania  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
December 2001, pp. 30.
- No. 43 Oded Stark,  
Ita Falk Dynasties and Destiny: On the Roles of Altruism and Impatience in the Evolution of Consumption and Bequests  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
December 2001, pp. 20.
- No. 44 Assefa Admassie Allocation of Children's Time Endowment between Schooling and Work in Rural Ethiopia  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
February 2002, pp. 75.

## ZEF Discussion Papers on Development Policy

- No. 45      Andreas Wimmer,  
Conrad Schetter      Staatsbildung zuerst. Empfehlungen zum Wiederaufbau und zur Befriedung Afghanistans. (German Version)  
State-Formation First. Recommendations for Reconstruction and Peace-Making in Afghanistan. (English Version)  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
April 2002, pp. 27.
- No. 46      Torsten Feldbrügge,  
Joachim von Braun      Is the World Becoming A More Risky Place?  
- Trends in Disasters and Vulnerability to Them –  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
May 2002, pp. 42
- No. 47      Joachim von Braun,  
Peter Wobst,  
Ulrike Grote      “Development Box” and Special and Differential Treatment for  
Food Security of Developing Countries:  
Potentials, Limitations and Implementation Issues  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
May 2002, pp. 28
- No. 48      Shyamal Chowdhury      Attaining Universal Access: Public-Private Partnership and  
Business-NGO Partnership  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
June 2002, pp. 37
- No. 49      L. Adele Jinadu      Ethnic Conflict & Federalism in Nigeria  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
September 2002, pp. 45
- No. 50      Oded Stark,  
Yong Wang      Overlapping  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
August 2002, pp. 17
- No. 51      Roukayatou Zimmermann,  
Matin Qaim      Projecting the Benefits of Golden Rice in the Philippines  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
September 2002, pp. 33
- No. 52      Gautam Hazarika,  
Arjun S. Bedi      Schooling Costs and Child Labour in Rural Pakistan  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn  
October 2002, pp. 34
- No. 53      Margit Bussmann,  
Indra de Soysa,  
John R. O Neal      The Effect of Foreign Investment on Economic Development  
and Income Inequality  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
October 2002, pp. 35
- No. 54      Maximo Torero,  
Shyamal K. Chowdhury,  
Virgilio Galdo      Willingness to Pay for the Rural Telephone Service in  
Bangladesh and Peru  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
October 2002, pp. 39
- No. 55      Hans-Dieter Evers,  
Thomas Menkhoff      Selling Expert Knowledge: The Role of Consultants in  
Singapore’s New Economy  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
October 2002, pp. 29

- No. 56      Qiuxia Zhu  
Stefanie Elbern      Economic Institutional Evolution and Further Needs for Adjustments: Township Village Enterprises in China  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
November 2002, pp. 41
- No. 57      Ana Devic      Prospects of Multicultural Regionalism As a Democratic Barrier Against Ethnonationalism: The Case of Vojvodina, Serbia´s "Multiethnic Haven"  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
December 2002, pp. 29
- No. 58      Heidi Wittmer  
Thomas Berger      Clean Development Mechanism: Neue Potenziale für regenerative Energien? Möglichkeiten und Grenzen einer verstärkten Nutzung von Bioenergieträgern in Entwicklungsländern  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
December 2002, pp. 81
- No. 59      Oded Stark      Cooperation and Wealth  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
January 2003, pp. 13
- No. 60      Rick Auty      Towards a Resource-Driven Model of Governance: Application to Lower-Income Transition Economies  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
February 2003, pp. 24
- No. 61      Andreas Wimmer  
Indra de Soysa  
Christian Wagner      Political Science Tools for Assessing Feasibility and Sustainability of Reforms  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
February 2003, pp. 34
- No. 62      Peter Wehrheim  
Doris Wiesmann      Food Security in Transition Countries: Conceptual Issues and Cross-Country Analyses  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
February 2003, pp. 45
- No. 63      Rajeev Ahuja  
Johannes Jütting      Design of Incentives in Community Based Health Insurance Schemes  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
March 2003, pp. 27
- No. 64      Sudip Mitra  
Reiner Wassmann  
Paul L.G. Vlek      Global Inventory of Wetlands and their Role in the Carbon Cycle  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
March 2003, pp. 44
- No. 65      Simon Reich      Power, Institutions and Moral Entrepreneurs  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
March 2003, pp. 46
- No. 66      Lukas Menkhoff  
Chodechai Suwanaporn      The Rationale of Bank Lending in Pre-Crisis Thailand  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
April 2003, pp. 37



- No. 78 Eric T. Craswell  
Ulrike Grote  
Julio Henao  
Paul L.G. Vlek  
Nutrient Flows in Agricultural Production and International Trade: Ecology and Policy Issues  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
January 2004, pp. 62
- No. 79 Richard Pomfret  
Resource Abundance, Governance and Economic Performance in Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
January 2004, pp. 20
- No. 80 Anil Markandya  
Gains of Regional Cooperation: Environmental Problems and Solutions  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
January 2004, pp. 24
- No. 81 Akram Esanov,  
Martin Raiser,  
Willem Buiter  
Gains of Nature's Blessing or Nature's Curse: The Political Economy of Transition in Resource-Based Economies  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
January 2004, pp. 22
- No. 82 John M. Msuya  
Johannes P. Jütting  
Abay Asfaw  
Impacts of Community Health Insurance Schemes on Health Care Provision in Rural Tanzania  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
January 2004, pp. 26
- No. 83 Bernardina Algieri  
The Effects of the Dutch Disease in Russia  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
January 2004, pp. 41
- No. 84 Oded Stark  
On the Economics of Refugee Flows  
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,  
February 2004, pp. 8

ISSN: 1436-9931

The papers can be ordered free of charge from:

Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF)  
Center for Development Research  
Walter-Flex-Str. 3  
D – 53113 Bonn, Germany

Phone: +49-228-73-1861  
Fax: +49-228-73-1869  
E-Mail: [zef@uni-bonn.de](mailto:zef@uni-bonn.de)  
<http://www.zef.de>